

CDM-EB81-AA-A01

Workplan of panels and working groups for 2014 (end-year review)

Version 03.0



United Nations
Framework Convention on
Climate Change

COVER NOTE

1. Procedural background

1. The Executive Board of the clean development mechanism (hereinafter referred to as the Board) at its seventy-seventh meeting adopted the 2014 workplan of the Accreditation Panel, the Methodologies Panel, the Small-Scale Working Group, the Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group and the Carbon Dioxide Capture and Storage Working Group, as contained in annex 2 to the report of the aforesaid meeting.

2. Purpose

2. The purpose of this document is to report on the implementation of the planned work of the panels and working groups.

3. Key issues and proposed solutions

3. Changes to the approved version of the workplan are highlighted and the rationale for changes is also provided in the document in the status/comments column.

4. Impacts

4. End-year progress review on the workplan of panels and working groups helps to ensure efficiency and transparency of the decision-making process and will facilitate the Board's management of its workload when planning work for 2015.

5. Subsequent work and timelines

5. As per the approved CDM Executive Board workplan 2014, the secretariat provides a status report on the implementation at the end of the year on workplan of panels and working groups.

6. Recommendations to the Board

6. The secretariat recommends that the Board take note of the end-year progress review on the workplan of panels and working groups and provide comments, as it deems appropriate.

1. Introduction

1. The support structure of the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism (hereinafter referred to as the Board), consisting of the Accreditation Panel (CDM-AP), the Methodologies Panel (MP), the Small-Scale Working Group (SSC WG), the Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group (A/R WG) and the Carbon Dioxide Capture and Storage Working Group (CCS WG), is established under the Board to assist in the performance of its functions related to the accreditation system (through the CDM-AP) and methodological issues concerning clean development mechanism (CDM) project activities (through the MP, SSC WG, A/R WG and CCS WG). The mandates of the panels and working groups are determined by their terms of reference, the rules of procedure of the Board, and additional guidance provided by the Board.
2. The workplan of the panels and working groups includes the routine operation activities to be performed by them as well as new activities related to the objectives of the CDM Management Plan 2014.
3. This end-year review report aims to provide transparent information to the Board and the public about the work undertaken by these bodies against the approved plans early in the year.

2. Routine operations for methodology-related panels and working groups in 2014 (MP, SSC WG, A/R WG, CCS WG)

Table 1. Routine operations for methodology-related panels and working groups in 2014

Routine operations	Product	MP/SSC WG/ A/R WG/CCS WG meeting	Board consideration	Status/comments
<i>Objective 1(c): Develop simplified and user-friendly standards and procedures that increase efficiency and ensure environmental integrity</i>				
Review of the draft development plan prepared by the secretariat for a new methodology decided to be developed by the Board (top-down process) ¹	Input to the secretariat (by two members)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Consideration of proposed new methodologies (PNMs) submitted by proponent (bottom-up process) or decided to be developed by the Board (top-down process) ¹	Recommendations to the Board	Continuous	Continuous	Recommendations: MP: 5 SSC WG: 4 A/R WG: 0 CCS WG: 0
Review of a draft development plan prepared by the secretariat for new methodological tools decided to be developed by the Board (top-down process) ¹	Input to the secretariat (by two members)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Consideration of new methodological tools decided to be developed by the Board (top-down process) ¹	Recommendations to the Board	Continuous	Continuous	Recommendations: MP: 2 SSC WG: 0 A/R WG: 0 CCS WG: 0

¹ Procedure “Development, revision and clarification of baseline and monitoring methodologies and methodological tools, version 01.1”.

Routine operations	Product	MP/SSC WG/ A/R WG/CCS WG meeting	Board consideration	Status/comments
Consideration of requests for revision of approved methodologies or methodological tools submitted by proponents (bottom-up process) or initiated by the Board (top-down process) ¹	Recommendations to the Board	Continuous	Continuous	Recommendations: MP: 8 SSC WG: 31 A/R WG: 0 CCS WG: 0
Initiation of top-down revisions of methodologies or methodological tools (based on request from panel/working group members, referring to approved tools, based on requests from the secretariat, triggered by the commenting system and other mandates from the Board)	Proposals to the Board for its decision to revise the methodology or methodological tool	Continuous	Continuous	Recommendations: MP: 3 SSC WG: 1 A/R WG: 0 CCS WG: 0
Consideration of editorial revisions to approved methodologies or methodological tools (Review of a proposed editorial revision to an approved methodology or methodological tool by the chair of the relevant panel of working groups) ¹	Editorial revisions of methodologies or methodological tools by the chair of the panel/working group (WG)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Consideration of requests for clarification of approved methodologies or methodological tools submitted by proponents (bottom-up process) or initiated by the Board (top-down process) ¹ (including fast track clarification by the panels/WGs)	Recommendations to the Board	Continuous	Continuous	Recommendations: MP: 18 SSC WG: 13 A/R WG: 1 CCS WG: 0

Routine operations	Product	MP/SSC WG/ A/R WG/CCS WG meeting	Board consideration	Status/comments
Consideration of proposed standardized baseline (PSB) submissions from designated national authorities (DNAs) ²	Recommendations to the Board by designated members of the panel/WG	Continuous	Continuous	Recommendations: MP: 0 SSC WG: 1 A/R WG: 0 CCS WG: 0
Review of the draft development plan prepared by the secretariat for draft standardized baselines (DSBs) decided to be developed by the Board (top-down process) ²	Input to the secretariat by designated members of the panel/WG	N/A	N/A	N/A
Consideration of draft standardized baselines (DSBs) decided to be developed by the Board (top-down process) ²	Recommendations to the Board by designated members of the panel/WG	Continuous	Continuous	Recommendations: MP: 0 SSC WG: 0 A/R WG: 0 CCS WG: 0
Consideration of proposed revised standardized baseline submissions from DNAs (bottom-up process) or initiated by the Board (top-down process) ²	Recommendations to the Board by designated members of the panel/WG	Continuous	Continuous	Recommendations: MP: 0 SSC WG: 0 A/R WG: 0 CCS WG: 0

² Procedure “Development, revision, clarification and update of standardized baselines, version 03.1”.

Routine operations	Product	MP/SSC WG/ A/R WG/CCS WG meeting	Board consideration	Status/comments
Initiation of top-down revisions of standardized baselines (based on requests from the panel/working group members, from the secretariat, and mandates from the Board) ²	Proposals to the Board for its decision to revise the approved standardized baselines	Continuous	Continuous	Recommendations: MP: 0 SSC WG: 0 A/R WG: 0 CCS WG: 0
Consideration of requests for clarification of standardized baselines submitted by enquirer (bottom-up process) or initiated by the Board (top-down process) ²	Recommendations to the Board	Continuous	Continuous	Recommendations: MP: 0 SSC WG: 0 A/R WG: 0 CCS WG: 0
Consideration of proposed updated standardized baseline submissions from DNAs (bottom-up process) ²	Recommendations to the Board by designated members of the panel/WG	Continuous	Continuous	Recommendations: MP: 0 SSC WG: 0 A/R WG: 0 CCS WG: 0

Routine operations	Product	MP/SSC WG/ A/R WG/CCS WG meeting	Board consideration	Status/comments
Consideration of proposed specific renewable energy technologies/measures for automatic additionality on microscale CDM project activities submitted by DNAs ³	Recommendations to the Board by designated members of the SSC WG	Continuous	Continuous	Recommendations: SSC WG: 0
Determination of fraction of non-renewable biomass (fNRB) factors for Parties with 10 or less registered CDM project activities as of 31 December 2010 (SSC WG) ⁴	Recommendations to the Board of default values for application in specific countries	Continuous	Continuous	Recommendations: SSC WG: 2
Consideration of the revised “Guidelines for demonstrating additionality of microscale project activities” (SSC WG) ⁵	Recommendations to the Board	Continuous	Continuous	Recommendations: SSC WG: 0
Consideration of the revised “Guidelines on the demonstration of additionality of small-scale project activities” (SSC WG) ⁶	Recommendations to the Board	Continuous	Continuous	Recommendations: SSC WG: 0
<i>Objective 1(a): Operate efficient project and entity assessment processes</i>				
Consultation on requests for deviation for an approved methodology ⁷	Input as applicable upon request by the secretariat	Continuous	Continuous	Input: MP: 3 SSC WG: 0 A/R WG: 0 CCS WG: 0

³ Procedure “Submission and consideration of microscale renewable energy technologies for automatic additionality, version 02.0”.

⁴ EB 67, the Board requested the secretariat, in consultation with the SSC WG, to continue to determine fNRB factors for Parties with 10 or less registered CDM project activities as of 31 December 2010 and recommend to the Board default values for application in these countries.

⁵ Decision 5/CMP.8, “Guidance relating to the clean development mechanism” at paragraph 3 encourages the Board to further extend the simplified modalities for the demonstration of additionality, including positive lists, to a wider scope of small-scale project activities, while ensuring environmental integrity.

⁶ Decision 5/CMP.8, “Guidance relating to the clean development mechanism” at paragraph 3 encourages the Board to further extend the simplified modalities for the demonstration of additionality, including positive lists, to a wider scope of small-scale project activities, while ensuring environmental integrity.

⁷ Procedure “Clean development mechanism project cycle procedure, version 05.0”.

Routine operations	Product	MP/SSC WG/ A/R WG/CCS WG meeting	Board consideration	Status/comments
Consultation on changes to registered CDM project activity or programme of activities ⁷	Input as applicable upon request by the secretariat	Continuous	Continuous	Input: MP: 2 SSC WG: 4 A/R WG: 0 CCS WG: 0
<i>Objective 1(b): Operate an effective regulatory framework resulting in reduced transaction costs for participants in the mechanism</i>				
Direct communication with stakeholders ⁸	Recommendations on responses to stakeholder communications as referred to the panel or working group by the secretariat	Continuous	Continuous	Recommendations: MP: 0 SSC WG: 0 A/R WG: 0 CCS WG: 0

⁸ Procedure “Modalities and procedures for direct communication with stakeholders, version 01”.

3. Methodologies Panel project deliverables in 2014

Table 2. Project deliverables related to the Methodologies Panel according to the CDM business plan

Project	Product	MP 62	MP 63	MP 64	MP 65	Board consideration	Status/comments
<i>Objective 1(c): Develop simplified and user-friendly standards and procedures that increase efficiency and ensure environmental integrity</i>							
Further development and implementation of standardized baselines (MAP project no. 110)	Revised guidelines for the establishment of sector specific standardized baselines (SB guidelines)	Comments on the revised draft	Discussion on revised draft	Discussion on revised draft Agreed proposal (revised guidelines)	Agreed proposal (revised guidelines)	EB 81	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposal (or options) agreed by the secretariat and the Meth Panel; Comments provided at MP 62; Considered at MP 63, MP 64 but could not be agreed. Agreed at MP 65; Recommendation to the Board moved from MP 63 (EB 79) to MP 65 (EB 81)
	Report on the results of road-testing the current approaches - SB guidelines		Discussion on draft	Discussion on draft Agreed proposal (report on road-testing)	Agreed proposal (report on road-testing)	EB 81	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposal (or options) agreed by the secretariat and the Meth Panel; Considered at MP 63 and MP 64 but could not be agreed. Agreed at MP 65; Recommendation to the Board moved from MP 63 (EB 79) to MP 65 (EB 81); New product related

Project	Product	MP 62	MP 63	MP 64	MP 65	Board consideration	Status/comments
							to revision of SB guidelines (part of EB product "Report on the results of road testing the current approaches")
	Concept note on "Consideration of facilities with registered CDM project activities for the development of a sector-specific standardized baseline"		Agreed proposal (concept note)			EB 79	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposal (or options) agreed by the secretariat and the Meth Panel; • New product related to revision of SB guidelines; • Agreed at MP 63
	Revised guidelines for quality assurance and quality control of data used in the establishment of standardized baselines (QA/QC guidelines)		Agreed proposal (revised guidelines)			EB 79	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposal (or options) agreed by the secretariat and the Meth Panel; • Considered at MP 63
	Report on the results of road-testing the current approaches - QA/QC guidelines		Agreed proposal (report on road-testing)			EB 79	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposal (or options) agreed by the secretariat and the Meth Panel; • Considered at MP 63. New product related to revision of QA/QC guidelines (part of EB product "Report on the results of road testing the current approaches")

Project	Product	MP 62	MP 63	MP 64	MP 65	Board consideration	Status/comments
	The development of two standards with a methodological framework for two specific project types		Comments on the concept note	Discussion on the revised concept note	Draft for public call	EB 81, 2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Further work will be determined when the concept note is considered, including whether standards will be considered by Meth Panel or SSC WG; • MP provided input related to the elements of the concept note at MP 63; • MP64 further discussion the note
	Further work on standardized baselines including country-specific thresholds on baseline and additionality		Comments on the concept note			EB 79	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Further work will be determined when the concept note is considered
	Analysis of different options regarding further work on standardized baseline regulatory framework, including development of top-down thresholds on baseline and additionality for underrepresented countries			Discussion on draft	Agreed proposal	EB 81	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mandate: EB 79, para 40 • Proposal (or options) agreed by the secretariat and the Meth Panel

Project	Product	MP 62	MP 63	MP 64	MP 65	Board consideration	Status/comments
	Development of standard for coverage of data and validity of SBs	Comments on the draft				EB 77	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Comments provided at MP 62
	Development of methodological tool for standardized baselines on transport sector	Draft for public call	Draft recommendation			EB 79	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Moved from MP 64 to MP 63; Call for public input at MP 62; Two top-down methodological tools were developed
	Top-down development of new methodology to be used in conjunction with PSB0002		Draft for public call	Draft recommendation		EB 81 2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mandate: EB 77, para. 51; DNA did not submit the input requested by the Meth Panel for its consideration at MP 64 and MP 65
Simplification and streamlining of methodologies, tools, and standards (MAP project no. 223)	Revision of "Guidelines on the assessment of investment analysis"			Draft recommendation		EB 81 2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mandate: EB 75, para 57; Postponed as the report from consultant is being considered.
	Revision of AM0014	Draft for public call	Discussion on revised draft		Draft recommendation	EB 81	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Workplan 2013 (MAP project 120)
	Revision of AM0048		Draft for public call	Discussion on revised draft	Draft recommendation	EB 81	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Workplan 2013 (MAP project 120)
	Revision of AM0058			Discussion on revised draft	Draft for public call	2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Workplan 2013 (MAP project 120)
	Revision of ACM0012	Discussion on revised	Discussion on revised draft	Draft for public call	Draft recommendation	EB 81	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Workplan 2013 (MAP project 120)

Project	Product	MP 62	MP 63	MP 64	MP 65	Board consideration	Status/comments
		draft					
	Revision of AM0031, AM0101 and ACM0016	Discussion	Discussion	Discussion	Draft for public call		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> EB 76 para 107, EB 70 para 56, and EB 75 para 53
	Revision of AM0029, AM0087, AM0107, AM0102		Initial discussion	Draft for public call Draft recommendation	Drafts for public call, draft recommendation	EB 81, EB 82	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> New mandate: EB 78, annex 8; AM0029 and AM0087 are being consolidated
	Revision of AM0102		Initial discussion	Further discussion	Draft for public call	2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> New mandate: EB 78, annex 8
	Revision of AM0107		Initial discussion	Further discussion	Draft for public call	2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> New mandate: EB 78, annex 8
	Revision of ACM0022 "Alternative waste treatment processes" (additionality)			Draft for public call	Draft recommendation	EB 81	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Workplan 2013 (MAP project 164)
	Revision of ACM0022 "Alternative waste treatment processes" (FOD model standardization) and methodological tool: Emissions from solid waste disposal sites		Initial discussion	Further discussion Draft for public call	Draft for public call Draft recommendation	EB 82 2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> New mandate: EB 78, annex 8; Recommended at MP 65 for call for input on the tool; MP agreed that there is no need to revise ACM0022
	Standardization of requirements on additionality in two large-scale methodologies		Initial discussion	Drafts for public call, draft recommendations	Drafts for public call, draft recommendations	EB 81, EB 82, 2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> New mandate: EB 78, annex 8 Expanded below
	- Revision of			Discussion on	Draft for public call	2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> New mandate: EB 78,

Project	Product	MP 62	MP 63	MP 64	MP 65	Board consideration	Status/comments
	ACM0002 (Standardization of requirements on additionality)			revised methodology			annex 8
	- Revision of ACM0014 (Standardization of requirements on additionality)					2015	• New mandate: EB 78, annex 8
	Negative leakage due to upstream emissions		Concept note	Drafts for public call	Draft recommendations	EB 81, 2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New mandate: EB 79, para 46; • Revision of AM0009, ACM0011 and Upstream tool: To be considered at EB 81 (MP 65); • Revision of AM0076, AM0089 and AM0091: To be considered in 2015
	Simplification and streamlining of requirements for accounting for leakage emissions from use of biomass residues/biomass from cultivation			Initial discussion	Drafts for public call, draft recommendations	EB 82, 2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New mandate: EB 78, annex 8; • The mandate lead to revision of the tool: Project emissions from cultivation of biomass
	Simplification in monitoring in small-scale and large-scale methodologies		Initial discussion	Concept note Drafts for public call, draft recommendations	Discussion Drafts for public call, draft recommendations	EB 81, EB 82, 2015	• New mandate: EB 78, annex 8

Project	Product	MP 62	MP 63	MP 64	MP 65	Board consideration	Status/comments
	Batched issuance requests for a monitoring period of a programme of activities (PoA)	Comments on the concept note				EB 78	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Comments provided at MP 62
	Negative emission reductions in large-scale methodologies		Initial discussion Information note			EB 79	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mandate: EB 78, para 31; This issue, if agreed by the Board, will lead to a revision of the PS and/or PCP
	Revision of the PoA standard <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information note on "Definition of technology types for PoAs"; Information note on "Criteria and provisions for coordinating/ managing entities (CMEs) to revise eligibility criteria in the registered PoA design document" 		Comments on the information note			EB 80	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mandate: EB 78, annex 8; Comments provided at MP 63 on two information notes on issues related to revision of PoA standard
	Revision of the PS, VVS and PCP <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information note on "Criteria and provisions for post-registration changes that allow shift to 		Comments on the information note			EB 81	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mandate: EB 78, annex 8; Comments provided at MP 63 on information note on issues related to a revision of the PS,

Project	Product	MP 62	MP 63	MP 64	MP 65	Board consideration	Status/comments
	more efficient technologies for similar applications in a PoA"						VVS and PCP
	Revision of the sampling standard <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information note on "Introduction of flexibility when multiple parameters are gathered from a single survey" 		Comments on the information note			EB 80	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mandate: EB 78, annex 8; Comments provided at MP 63 on information note on issues related to a revision of sampling standard
Simplification of the validation process for specific project activities (MAP project no. 224)	Simplification of the validation process		Comments on the concept note			EB 79	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Comments provided at MP 63
New project in the same physical geographical location at which a project whose crediting period has expired existed (MAP project no. 225)	Guidance for the new projects in the same physical geographical location at which a project whose crediting period has expired existed		Consideration of the concept note	Agreed proposal (final concept note)		EB 81	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposal (or options) agreed by the secretariat and the Meth Panel; Recommendation to EB moved from MP 63 (EB 79) to MP 64 (EB 81)
	Revision of the PS and the VVS			Comments on the draft		EB 81-2015	

4. Small-Scale Working Group project deliverables in 2014

Table 3. Project deliverables related to the Small-Scale Working Group according to the CDM business plan

Project	Product	SSC WG 43	SSC WG 44	SSC WG 45	SSC WG 46	Board consideration	Status/comments
<i>Objective 1(c): Develop simplified and user-friendly standards and procedures that increase efficiency and ensure environmental integrity</i>							
Further development and implementation of standardized baselines (MAP project no. 110)	Revised guidelines for the establishment of sector-specific standardized baselines (SB guidelines)	Comments on the revised draft				EB 79	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Comments provided at SSC WG 43
	Development of two standards with a methodological framework for two specific project types		Comments on the concept note	Discussion on the revised concept note	Draft for public call	EB 81, 2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Further work will be determined when the concept note is considered, including whether standards will be considered by Meth Panel or SSC WG; SSC WG provided input related to the elements of the concept note at SSC WG 44
	Development of standard for coverage of data and validity of SBs	Comments on the draft				EB 77	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Comments provided at SSC WG 43

Project	Product	SSC WG 43	SSC WG 44	SSC WG 45	SSC WG 46	Board consideration	Status/comments
Simplification and streamlining of methodologies, tools and standards (MAP project no. 223)	Development of eligibility criteria for PoAs for greater applicability (revision of three methodologies)	Draft for public call	Draft recommendation, draft for public call	Draft recommendation, draft for public call	Draft recommendation	EB 79, EB 81, EB 82 2015	
	Revision of the PoA standard <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information note on "Definition of technology types for PoAs" Information note on "Criteria and provisions for coordinating/managing entities (CMEs) to revise eligibility criteria in the registered PoA design document" 		Comments on the draft			EB 80	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mandate: EB 78, annex 8 Comments provided at SSC WG 44 on two information notes on issues related to revision of PoA standard
	Revision of the PS, VVS and PCP <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information note on "Criteria and provisions for post-registration changes that allow a shift to more efficient technologies for similar applications in a PoA" 		Comments on the information note			EB 81	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mandate: EB 78, annex 8; Comments provided at SSC WG 44 on information note on issues related to a revision of the PS, VVS and PCP
	Revision of sampling standard <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information note on 		Comments on the information note			EB 80	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mandate: EB 78, annex 8 Comments provided

Project	Product	SSC WG 43	SSC WG 44	SSC WG 45	SSC WG 46	Board consideration	Status/comments
	"Introduction of flexibility when multiple parameters are gathered from a single survey"						at SSC WG 44 on information note on issues related to revision of sampling standard
	Analysis of small-scale methodologies for the issue of negative emission reductions related to PoAs			Discussion	Information note	EB 81	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mandate: EB 78, para 31; • This issue, if agreed by the Board, will lead to a revision of the PS and/or PCP
	Small scale and micro-scale threshold for CPAs			Information note		EB 81	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New mandate: EB 78
	Revision to include reference to methodological tool "Project emissions from cultivation of biomass" in small-scale methodologies			Drafts for public call, Draft recommendation	Drafts for public call, Draft recommendation	EB 81, 2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EB 76, para 53; • Revision of AMS-I.G, AMS-I.H, AMS-III.T. and AMS-III.AK. at SSC WG 45; • Revision of AMS-I.D., AMS-I.E., AMS-I.F., AMS-III.A.; • AMS-III.AS., AMS-III.BF and AMS-I.B at SSC WG 46
	To make small-scale methodologies applicable under a PoA Board request			Draft recommendation		EB 81, 2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EB 67, para 76; • Revision of AMS-I.G, AMS-I.H and AMS-III.T at SSC WG 45

Project	Product	SSC WG 43	SSC WG 44	SSC WG 45	SSC WG 46	Board consideration	Status/comments
	AMS-II.J: Demand-side activities for efficient lighting		Initial discussion	Draft for public call	Draft recommendation	EB 82 EB 81	• New mandate: EB 78, annex 8
	AMS-III.AR: Substituting fossil fuel-based lighting with LED lighting systems		Initial discussion	Draft for public call	Draft recommendation	EB 82 EB 81	• New mandate: EB 78, annex 8
	AMS-III.Q: Waste energy recovery (gas/heat/pressure) projects		Initial discussion	Discussion Draft for public call	Draft recommendation Draft for public call	EB 82 2015	• New mandate: EB 78, annex 8
	AMS-III.G, AMS-III.E, AMS-III.H		Initial discussion	Drafts for public call, draft recommendations	Drafts for public call , Draft recommendations	EB 81, EB 82 , 2015	• New mandate: EB 78, annex 8
	Fuel switching methodologies in small-scale			Initial discussion	Drafts for public call, draft recommendations	EB 81, EB 82 2015	• New mandate: EB 78, annex 8 • AMS-III.B at SSC 46
	Simplification and streamlining of requirements for accounting for leakage emissions from use of biomass residues/biomass from cultivation		Initial discussion	Drafts for public call , draft recommendations Input to the Meth Panel	Drafts for public call , draft recommendations	EB 81 , EB 82 , 2015	• New mandate: EB 78, annex 8
	Simplification in monitoring in small-scale and large-scale methodologies		Initial discussion	Concept note Drafts for public call , draft recommendations	Discussion Drafts for public call , draft recommendations	EB 81 , EB 82 , 2015	• New mandate: EB 78, annex 8

Project	Product	SSC WG 43	SSC WG 44	SSC WG 45	SSC WG 46	Board consideration	Status/comments
	Graduation framework and expansion of the positive list of technologies that are currently defined under the "Guidelines on the demonstration of additionality of small-scale project activities"				Information note	EB 81	• EB 77 para 63
	Revised "General guidelines for SSC CDM methodologies"			Draft for public call	Draft recommendation	EB 81	• EB 75 para 60, EB 76, para 40, EB 77 para 62
Simplification of the validation process for specific project activities (MAP project no. 224)	Simplification of the validation process		Comments on the concept note			EB 79	• Comments provided at SSC WG 44
	Methodology specific forms			Comments on methodology specific forms		EB 81	• EB 79, para 59

5. Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group project deliverables in 2014

Table 4. Project deliverables related to the Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group according to the CDM business plan

Project	Product	A/R WG meeting	Board consideration	Status/comments
<i>Objective 1(c): Develop simplified and user-friendly standards and procedures that increase efficiency and ensure environmental integrity</i>				
Development of best practice guidance for monitoring of A/R projects (MAP project no. 219)	Field manual for measurement of forest carbon pools for monitoring of A/R project activities	Electronic comments prior to EB 82	EB 8281	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> EB members to be informed electronically
Simplification and streamlining of methodologies, tools, and standards (MAP project no. 223)	Inputs on rationale that would justify the continuation of exceptions allowed for A/R project activities and A/R PoAs	Electronic comments prior to EB 80A/R WG 39	EB 8081	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mandate: EB 75, paragraph 43
Simplification and streamlining of methodologies, tools, and standards (MAP project no. 223)	Flexibility in the timing of the verification of afforestation and reforestation project activities	Electronic comments prior to EB 80	EB80	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> EB 79, paragraph 59

6. Carbon Dioxide Capture and Storage Working Group project deliverables in 2014

Table 5. Project deliverables related to the Carbon Dioxide Capture and Storage Working Group according to the CDM business plan

Project	Product	CCS WG meeting	Board consideration	Status/comments
<i>Objective 1(c): Develop simplified and user-friendly standards and procedures that increase efficiency and ensure environmental integrity</i>				
<i>Design and implementation of CCS standards and procedures (MAP project no. 160)</i>	<i>Draft package of documents due to introduction of provisions related to carbon dioxide capture and storage project activities</i>	<i>Electronic comments prior to EB 78</i>	<i>EB 78</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Comments provided electronically</i>

7. Accreditation Panel workplan 2014 (routine operations)

Table 6. Routine operations for the Accreditation Panel in 2014

Routine operations	Product	AP 67	AP 68	AP 69	AP 70	Board consideration	Status/comments
<i>Objective 1(a): Operate efficient project and entity assessment processes</i>							
Assessment of applications for accreditation	Recommendations for accreditation of new operational entities	Continuous				Continuous	Recommendations for accreditation of new operational entities: 3
Continuous monitoring of compliance of the designated operational entities (DOEs) with the CDM accreditation standard	Recommendations for reaccreditation of DOEs	Continuous				Continuous	Recommendations for reaccreditation: 8
	Recommendations for suspension, placement under observation and withdrawal of accreditation of DOEs	Continuous				Continuous	Recommendations for suspension, placement under observation and withdrawal of accreditation: 4
	Notifications on completed assessment activities	Continuous				Continuous	Notifications on completed assessment activities: 67

Routine operations	Product	AP 67	AP 68	AP 69	AP 70	Board consideration	Status/comments
	Recommendations for additional assessment of DOEs	Continuous				Continuous	Recommendations for additional assessment: 15
Selection of experts for the accreditation roster		Continuous				Continuous	No new experts were selected in 2014
Continuous monitoring of performance and qualification of experts on the accreditation roster		Continuous				Continuous	Performance reports considered: 29 In addition the CDM-AP re-qualified all experts on the roster against technical areas of version 5.1 of the CDM accreditation standard
Setting accreditation requirements	Recommendations on accreditation requirements	Continuous				Continuous	
Improvement of the accreditation process	Recommendations on the improvement of the accreditation process	Continuous				Continuous	Regularly provided recommendations to the Board on amendments to the accreditation procedures
	Review the application accreditation fee	Recommendation		Comments on the concept note		EB 7980	Recommendation provided
	Practical means for DOEs to conduct the		Comments on the	Comments on the information		EB 78, EB 81	Comments provided

~~Consultation may be held with the panel by electronic means as appropriate.~~¹⁰ Consultation may be held with the panel by electronic means as appropriate.

Routine operations	Product	AP 67	AP 68	AP 69	AP 70	Board consideration	Status/comments
	examinations - Accreditation Standard		information note ¹⁰	note			Two panel members appointed to the task force to continue the work
	Selection and performance evaluation system of members of panels and WGs and experts included in the CDM rosters		Comments on the draft procedure			EB 79	Comments provided
	The process and role for appointment of CDM assessment teams				Joint concept note	EB 81	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mandate: EB 79, para 13; Joint concept note prepared by the secretariat and the CDM-AP
	Recommendation on JISC decision and SBI conclusion on accreditation synergy between CDM and JI			Recommendation on JISC decision	Recommendation on SBI conclusion	EB 81	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mandate: EB 80, para. 15 and electronic decision by the EB; Recommendations provided
	Concept note of the numbers, frequency and timing of assessments of DOEs				Comments on the concept note	EB 81	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mandate: EB 80, para 31
Direct interaction with stakeholders	Direct interaction with the DOE/AIE Coordination Forum		Interaction with the Forum		Interaction with the Forum		4 th Interactions took place
	Interaction with the			Interaction with			Interaction did not take

¹⁰ Consultation may be held with the panel by electronic means as appropriate.

Routine operations	Product	AP 67	AP 68	AP 69	AP 70	Board consideration	Status/comments
	Joint Implementation Accreditation Panel (JI-AP)			the JI-AP			place as the JI-AP meeting was postponed until further notice
	Interaction with the CDM Assessment Team (CDM-AT)		Interaction with the CDM-AT				Interaction took place

- - - - -

Document information

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
03.0	10 November 2014	Published as an annex to the annotated agenda of EB81. Revision to reflect the changes to the approved workplan. The changes include: additional mandates, changes to the timelines and status report of activities.
02.0	16 June 2014	As taken note by the Board at its seventy-ninth meeting. Revision to reflect the changes to the approved workplan. The changes include: additional mandates, changes to the timelines and status report of activities. With additional correction of the timeline of the product "Report on the results of road-testing the current approaches - QA/QC guidelines" from MP 64 to MP 63.
01.0	21 February 2014	EB 77, Annex 2 Initial adoption.
Decision Class: Operational Document Type: Information note Business Function: Governance Keywords: AP, ARWG, CCSWG, MP, SSCWG, panels, work programme		