



CLEAN DEVELOPMENT MECHANISM EXECUTIVE BOARD

PROPOSED AGENDA AND ANNOTATIONS

Sixty-sixth meeting

UNFCCC
Bonn, Germany
27 February–2 March 2012

CONTENTS

I. PROPOSED AGENDA

II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

- Annex 1 - Draft clean development mechanism two-year business plan 2012–2013
- Annex 2 - Draft CDM management plan 2012
- Annex 3 - Summary of the inputs received in response to the call for public inputs on the scope of the CDM policy dialogue
- Annex 4 - Compliance with indicative timelines for different processes
- Annex 5 - Concept note on improved system to predict long-term submissions
- Annex 6 - Draft workplan of the CDM Accreditation Panel (2012)
- Annex 7 - Draft workplan of the Methodologies Panel (2012)
- Annex 8 - Draft workplan of the Small-Scale Working Group (2012)
- Annex 9 - Draft workplan of the Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group (2012)
- Annex 10 - Draft guidelines for completing the project design document form
- Annex 11 - Draft guidelines for completing the small-scale project design document form
- Annex 12 - Draft guidelines for completing the afforestation and reforestation project design document form
- Annex 13 - Draft guidelines for completing the small-scale afforestation and reforestation project design document form
- Annex 14 - Draft guidelines for completing the programme of activity project design document form
- Annex 15 - Draft guidelines for completing the small-scale programme of activity project design document form
- Annex 16 - Draft guidelines for completing the afforestation and reforestation programme of activity project design document form
- Annex 17 - Draft guidelines for completing the small-scale afforestation and reforestation programme of activity project design document form
- Annex 18 - Draft guidelines for completing the component project activity design document form
- Annex 19 - Draft guidelines for completing the small-scale component project activity design document form
- Annex 20 - Draft guidelines for completing the afforestation and reforestation component project activity design document
- Annex 21 - Draft guidelines for completing the small-scale afforestation and reforestation component project design document form
- Annex 22 - Draft guidelines for completing the monitoring report form
- Annex 23 - Draft general principles for bundling
- Annex 24 - Draft guidelines for completing the small-scale project activities bundling form
- Annex 25 - Draft general guidelines for small-scale CDM methodologies



- Annex 26 - Draft guidelines on accounting of specified types of changes in afforestation and reforestation CDM project activities from the description in registered project design documents
- Annex 27 - Draft best practices examples focusing on sample size and reliability calculations
- Annex 28 - Draft methodological tool “Combined tool to identify the baseline scenario and demonstrate additionality”
- Annex 29 - Draft guidelines for quality assurance and quality control of data used in the establishment of standardized baselines
- Annex 30 - Draft glossary of CDM terms
- Annex 31 - Concept note on recording host Parties in certified emission reductions issued for programmes of activities



I. PROPOSED AGENDA

1. Agenda and meeting organization
 - 1.1. Quorum
 - 1.2. Adoption of the agenda
 - 1.3. External input to matters on the agenda of the meeting
2. Governance and management matters
 - 2.1. Membership issues
 - 2.2. Strategic planning/direction
 - 2.3. Performance management
 - 2.4. Operational issues related to the Board and its support structure
 - 2.5. Reports of panels and working groups
3. Rulings (case-specific matters)
 - 3.1. Accreditation
 - 3.2. Programme of activities
 - 3.3. Registration
 - 3.4. Issuance
4. Regulatory matters
 - 4.1. Standards/tools
 - 4.2. Procedures
 - 4.3. Policy issues
5. Relations with forums and other stakeholders
 - 5.1. Designated National Authorities
 - 5.2. Designated Operational Entities
 - 5.3. Communications and activities with stakeholders
 - 5.4. Interaction with registered observers
6. Other matters
7. Conclusion of the meeting



II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

1. Agenda and meeting organization

1.1. Quorum

1. ► **Action:** The Secretary of the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism (CDM) (hereinafter referred to as the Board) shall ascertain whether (a) the members present constitute a quorum, and (b) the absence of any member or alternate member is “without proper justification”.¹

Background: Rule 12 of the Rules of procedure of the Board (decision 4/CMP.1) stipulates that the Secretary of the Board shall preside over the opening of the first Board meeting of a calendar year. According to the Rules of procedure of the Board, at least two-thirds of the members of the Board, representing a majority of members from Parties included in Annex I to the Convention and a majority of members from Parties not included in Annex I, must be present to constitute a quorum.

1.2. Adoption of the agenda

2. ► **Action:** Members may wish to adopt the proposed agenda for the sixty-sixth meeting.

Background: At its last meeting, the Board agreed on items to be included in the provisional agenda for its sixty-sixth meeting. The proposed agenda was transmitted to the Board on 6 February 2012 and thereafter posted on the UNFCCC CDM website in accordance with rule 21 of the Rules of procedure of the Board.

1.3. External input to matters on the agenda of the meeting

3. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider external inputs to the annotated agenda of this Board meeting.

Background: Following the “Modalities and procedures for direct communication with stakeholders”, stakeholders have the opportunity to provide comments to the annotated agenda. Comments received will be posted on the UNFCCC CDM website. In addition, the DOE/AIE Coordination Forum Chair may provide comments on issues on the agenda of the Board meeting.

2. Governance and management matters

2.1. Membership issues

4. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to (a) welcome new members and alternates; (b) elect its Chair, for the year 2012, from among the members from Parties not included in Annex I, and its Vice-Chair from among the members from Parties included in Annex I; and (c) take note of their oaths of service.

Background: Rule 12 of the Rules of procedure of the Board stipulates that the Secretary of the Board shall conduct the election of the new Chair and Vice-Chair.

The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol (CMP) at its seventh session, in accordance with paragraphs 7 and 8 (b) of the CDM modalities and procedures, has elected to the Board the following members and alternate members:

¹ Please refer to rules 7 and 28 of the Rules of procedure of the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism, 4/CMP.1 <FCCC/KP/CMP/2005/8/Add.1>.



- (a) Ms. Diana Harutyunyan (second term) as member and Ms. Natalie Kushko (first term) as alternate member from the Eastern European regional group;
- (b) Mr. Hugh Sealy (first term) as member and Mr. Amjad Abdulla (first term) as alternate member, from the small island developing States;
- (c) Mr. Martin Cames (first term) as member and Ms. Pauline Kennedy (first term), as alternate member, from Parties included in Annex I;
- (d) Mr. Maosheng Duan (second term) as a member from Parties not included in Annex I;
- (e) Mr. José Domingos Miguez (first term) as a member from Parties not included in Annex I.

As reflected in the report of the sixth session of the CMP in Cancun, Mexico, the following nominations will take up the split seat of the Latin American and Caribbean Group constituency:

- (a) Mr. Antonio Goldman (first term) as member;
- (b) Mr. Eduardo Calvo (first term) as alternate member.

The two nominations for the alternate member seats of the Non-Annex I constituency were not received at the seventh session of the CMP. Pursuant to rule 4 of the Rules of procedure of the Board, the two current alternate members on these seats, Mr. Paulo Manso and Ms. June Hughes, shall remain in office until their successors are elected.

In accordance with paragraph 8 (e) of the CDM modalities and procedures and rule 10 of the Rules of procedure of the Board, members and alternate members have to take a written oath of service before being able to participate in the work of the Board. The UNFCCC secretariat has received the written oaths from all newly elected members and alternate members.

At the first Board meeting of each calendar year, i.e. at this sixty-sixth meeting, the Board shall elect a Chair and a Vice-Chair from among its members. In accordance with rule 12 of the Rules of procedure, the Board shall elect its own Chair and Vice-Chair, with one being a member from a Party not included in Annex I and the other being from a Party included in Annex I. The positions of Chair and Vice-Chair shall alternate annually between a member from a Party included in Annex I and a member from a Party not included in Annex I. As of 27 February 2012, the newly elected Chair shall therefore be from a Party not included in Annex I and the Vice-Chair from a Party included in Annex I.

5. ► **Action:** Members of the Board may wish to provide statements on any potential conflict of interest concerning the issues on the agenda for the meeting, and take action, as appropriate.

Background: Following the request by the CMP, through its decision 2/CMP.5, to publish statements of conflict of interest on the UNFCCC CDM website, members and alternate members are requested to disclose whether they have any conflict of interest relating to the work of the Board at each meeting.

2.2. Strategic planning/direction

6. ► **Action:** Following the seventh session of the CMP, the Board may wish to consider the decisions related to CDM:
- (a) Decision 8/CMP.7 - Further guidance relating to the clean development mechanism;
 - (b) Decision 9/CMP.7 - Materiality standard under the clean development mechanism;
 - (c) Decision 10/CMP.7 - Modalities and procedures for carbon dioxide capture and storage in geological formations as clean development mechanism project activities.

Background: The CMP, at its seventh session, took note of the annual report (2010–2011) of the Board and adopted its decisions. The decisions adopted by the CMP are available on the UNFCCC CDM website at <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/COPMOP/index.html>>.

7. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft CDM two-year business plan for 2012–2013 and the draft CDM management plan (CDM-MAP) for 2012, as contained in annex 1 and annex 2 to these annotations. The Board may also wish to have an initial discussion on the policy issues contained in the draft CDM business plan in order to advance the definition of its strategic priorities for the year 2012 and determine the deliverables and the workload of the remaining meetings of the year.

Background: The Board, at its sixty-fifth meeting, considered the initial draft CDM two-year business plan for 2012–2013 and agreed on the need to further refine and focus the vision statement contained in it. The Board also agreed to consolidate the objectives and related deliverables and gave further guidance to the secretariat to be included in the draft business plan and the draft CDM-MAP.

8. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of an update on the work related to the CDM policy dialogue and a summary of the inputs received in response to the call for public inputs on the scope of the dialogue, as contained in annex 3 to these annotations.

Background: The Board, at its sixty-fourth meeting, adopted the terms of reference for the CDM policy dialogue and agreed to launch the dialogue at the seventh session of the CMP. The call for public inputs was open from 27 October 2011 to 21 January 2012.

9. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an update on recent developments and prospects in the carbon market and related governmental policies.

Background: The Board, at its sixty-fifth meeting, requested the secretariat to continue providing reports and analyses of market and policy developments, providing more detail where necessary and highlighting implications for the work of the Board.

10. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft CDM communication and outreach plan for 2012.

Background: The Board, at its sixty-fifth meeting, requested the secretariat to continue providing updates on the implementation of the CDM communication strategy on a regular basis.

2.3. Performance management

11. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an assessment of compliance with indicative timelines set by the Board in different processes, as contained in annex 4 to these annotations.

Background: The Board, at its sixty-fifth meeting, took note of the assessment of compliance with indicative timelines set by the Board and requested the secretariat to continue to report on all processes.

12. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the results of the designated operational entities (DOEs) performance monitoring.

Background: The Board, at its fifty-eighth meeting, adopted the “Procedure on performance monitoring of designated operational entities”, which states that the Board as the final decision-making body shall be provided with all relevant data on DOE performance for its decision making.

13. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider a concept note on an improved system to predict long-term submissions, as contained in annex 5 to these annotations.



Background: In accordance with the draft CDM two-year business plan for 2012-2013, one of its consolidated objectives is to ensure operational capacity and improve efficiency in the operation of the CDM.

2.4. **Operational issues related to the Board and its support structure**

14. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to appoint members and/or alternate members to act as chairs and vice-chairs of all panels and working groups in 2012.

Background: Rule 32 of the Rules of procedure of the Board states the rules for the establishment of committees, panels and working groups and indicates that while establishing them, the Board shall appoint from among its members and alternate members chairs and vice-chairs, one from a Party included in Annex I and one from a Party not included in Annex I. The Board may also appoint additional members and alternate members to participate in a panel.

15. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft workplans of the CDM Accreditation Panel (CDM-AP), Methodologies Panel (Meth Panel), and Small-Scale Working Group (SSC WG) and Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group (A/R WG) for 2012, as contained, respectively, in annex 6, annex 7, annex 8 and annex 9 to these annotations.

Background: The Board, at its sixtieth meeting, agreed to continue with the practice of considering workplans for panels and working groups in the year 2012.

16. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an update on the 2012 training and development programme for the Board.

Background: The Board, at its sixty-fifth meeting, provided inputs to the secretariat on its priorities and agreed to a proposal for training for 2012.

17. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree to the calendar of meetings of the Board and its panels and working groups for 2012.

Background: The Board, at its sixty-fifth meeting, agreed on the tentative calendar of meetings of the Board in 2012 and the dates of the first meetings of the year of the panels and working groups. Other meetings of the panels and working groups were to be scheduled at their first meeting of the year, taking into account the workplans and the work to be undertaken by these bodies covered in the CDM-MAP 2012 to be considered at the sixty-sixth meeting of the Board.

18. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider next steps for the implementation of the decision 10/CMP.7 on modalities and procedures for carbon dioxide capture and storage in geological formations as CDM project activities and provide guidance as appropriate.

Background: The CMP, at its seventh session, adopted the decision 10/CMP.7, which is available on the UNFCCC CDM website at <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/COPMOP/index.html>>.

19. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider next steps for the implementation of the decision 9/CMP.7 on materiality standard under the CDM and provide guidance as appropriate.

Background: The CMP, at its seventh session, adopted the decision 9/CMP.7, which is available on the UNFCCC CDM website at <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/COPMOP/index.html>>.

20. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an update on the workplan for the Nairobi Framework.

Background: The CMP at its seventh session requested the Board to continue promoting the equitable distribution of project activities.



21. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an update on the selection of an implementing agency and the arrangements to ensure the operationalization of the loan scheme.

Background: The Board, at its sixty-first meeting, took note of an update on the selection of an implementing agency and the arrangements to ensure the operationalization of the loan scheme and requested the secretariat to keep the Board updated on the evolution of this process.

2.5. Reports of panels and working groups

CDM Accreditation Panel

22. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the progress report of the fifty-eighth meeting of the CDM-AP.

Background: The CDM-AP held its fifty-eighth meeting from 30 January to 2 February 2012 in Bonn, Germany.

Methodologies Panel

23. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider of the report of the fifty-fourth meeting of the Meth Panel.

Background: The Meth Panel held its fifty-fourth meeting on 23–27 January 2012 in Bonn, Germany. The Meth Panel dealt with case-specific issues, methodological clarifications, guidance and other issues, as specified below.

24. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the Meth Panel could not conclude its consideration of the following proposed new methodologies and will continue to consider these cases at a future meeting:

(a) NM0350: “Improving Energy Efficiency in Data Centers through Dynamic Power Management”;

(b) NM0353: “Grid connection of isolated electricity systems in countries with merit order dispatch”; and

(c) NM0354: “Energy efficiency improvements of a lime production facility through installation of new kilns”.

25. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the Meth Panel could not conclude its consideration of the request for revision AM_REV_0226. The Meth Panel will continue to consider this request at its next meeting.

26. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the issues raised in the request for revision AM_REV_0186, concerning the methodology AM0001 “Incineration of HFC 23 Waste Streams”, were considered by the Meth Panel while working on the draft revised methodology prepared in response to a request by the Board. The draft was approved by the Board as AM0001 version 06.0.0, “Decomposition of fluoroform (HFC-23) waste streams”, at its sixty-fifth meeting.

27. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note of the responses to the requests for clarifications AM_CLA_0221–AM_CLA_0224, as provided by the Meth Panel and referred to in the Meth Panel report (see table 3 in paragraph 26 of the report of its fifty-fourth meeting). Information on the clarifications to methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/clarifications>>.

28. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the Meth Panel could not conclude its consideration of the request for clarification AM_CLA_0191. The Meth Panel will continue to consider this request at its next meeting.



29. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that, in response to the task of improving approved methodologies and tools as contained in the 2011 workplan of the Meth Panel, the Meth Panel has continued its work to improve the methodologies:

(a) AM0025: “Avoided emissions from organic waste through alternative waste treatment processes”;

(b) AM0030: “PFC emission reductions from anode effect mitigation at primary aluminium smelting facilities”;

(c) ACM0014: “Mitigation of greenhouse gas emissions from treatment of industrial wastewater”.

30. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that, in response to the request contained in paragraph 91 of the report of the sixty-fifth meeting of the Board, the Meth Panel is revising the methodology ACM0013 “Consolidated baseline and monitoring methodology for new grid connected fossil fuel fired power plants using a less GHG intensive technology”, and will continue its work at its next meeting.

31. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that, in response to the request for deviation M-DEV_0445, the Meth Panel recommended that the request for deviation may be accepted if the project participants demonstrate and the DOE validates that:

(a) In the absence of the CDM, the diverted associated gas would have been flared/vented and not recovered for the purpose of displacing fossil fuels, by either exporting the processed associated gas to a natural gas pipeline or by providing hydrocarbon products to consumers;

(b) The economic impact of the diversion of the associated gas, e.g. revenues from the use of the associated gas, which is diverted from the existing non-CDM processing plant to the project activity, is considered in the demonstration of additionality;

(c) The monitoring plan includes the continuous monitoring of the CO₂ content and volume of the recovered associated gas in both the existing non-CDM processing plant and the project activity plant.

32. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that, in response to paragraph 118 of the report of the sixty-third meeting of the Board, the Meth Panel considered the public inputs on the “Guidelines on the consideration of suppressed demand in CDM methodologies” and provided feedback to the secretariat on ways of improving the guidelines, taking into account the public inputs.

Small-Scale Working Group

33. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the report of the thirty-fifth meeting of the SSC WG.

Background: The SSC WG held its thirty-fifth meeting from 30 January to 2 February 2012 in Bonn, Germany. The SSC WG dealt with case-specific issues, methodological clarifications, guidance and other issues, as specified below.

34. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the SSC WG could not conclude its consideration of the following proposed new methodologies and further input from the project proponents has been sought. It will continue considering these cases at its future meetings:

(a) SSC-NM072-rev “Recovery and recycling of materials from E-waste”;

(b) SSC-NM074 “Emission reductions through improved efficiency of vehicle fleets”.

35. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note of the responses to the requests for revision, which are clarified and do not require immediate revisions:



(a) SSC_577 “Revision of AMS-I.C/I.D/I.F for PoA involving biomass sourced from dedicated plantations”. The SSC WG agreed that procedures in AMS-III.AQ may be applied. Further the SSC WG noted that inputs from the A/R WG are expected in the near future with regard to leakage emissions from biomass sourced from dedicated plantations and agreed to assess the related SSC methodologies once these inputs have been received, with a view to recommending to the Board consistent procedures in SSC methodologies;

(b) SSC_588 “Revision of AMS-II.L to cover street lighting in Industrial Zones”. The SSC WG agreed to clarify that AMS-II.L is also applicable to privately-owned street lighting systems and to include this clarification in a future recommendation for the revision of the methodology;

(c) SSC_591 “Revision of AMS-I.D for replacement projects and projects supplying electricity to users via grid”. The SSC WG agreed that the proposal is valid and to implement the changes at the next revision of the methodology.

Information on the revisions to methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website
<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/SSCmethodologies/clarifications>>.

36. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that, in response to the task of developing top-down methodologies, as contained in the 2011 workplan, the SSC WG has continued its work to develop a new methodology for efficient agricultural pumping and irrigation activities. The SSC WG agreed to make a recommendation at its thirty-sixth meeting taking into account related submission recently received, i.e. SSC-NM080 “Installation of grid connected energy efficient pump-set for agriculture use”.

37. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that, in response to the task of improving methodologies as contained in the 2011 workplan of the SSC WG, the SSC WG agreed to continue its top-down work on the revisions of AMS-III.Q “Waste energy recovery (gas/heat/pressure) projects”.

38. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that, in response to the task of improving and simplifying approved methodologies as contained in the 2011 workplan of the SSC WG, the SSC WG agreed to take into account:

(a) Public comments on manure management methodologies, in particular AMS-III.D and AMS-III.R, in its ongoing work on improvement and simplification of SSC methodologies;

(b) The provisions in the approved tools for composting and landfills and the work of the Meth Panel to develop tools for leakage from anaerobic digesters. The SSC WG agreed to continue to assess if and how the provisions in these documents should be cited in related SSC methodologies.

39. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that, in its ongoing work on development of methodologies mandated by the Board, the SSC WG agreed to take into account comments from the public on concepts to develop a new scrap tyres methodology.

40. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note of the responses to the requests for clarifications SSC_578 to SSC_582, SSC_586, SSC_587, SSC_589, SSC_590, SSC_592, SSC_593, SSC_595, SSC_597 and SSC_599, as provided in paragraphs 34 to 47 of the report of the thirty-fifth meeting of the SSC WG. Information on the clarifications to methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website
<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/SSCmethodologies/clarifications>>.

41. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that, in response to the task of developing standardized baselines as contained in the 2011 workplan of the SSC WG,

(a) In the field of rural energy supply, the SSC WG provided feedback on documents prepared by the secretariat regarding the development of country-specific default values for the fraction of non renewable biomass (i.e. factor fNRB) and default baseline fuel consumption values for inclusion in AMS-I.E and AMS-II.G. An information note and the response to public comments on fNRB are included in the annexes 20 and



21 of the report of the thirty-fifth meeting of the SSC WG; The SSC WG noted that the secretariat will consult the respective designated national authorities (DNAs) as required by the procedures before presenting the recommendation to the Board;

(b) For household biogas, agriculture and transport, the SSC WG agreed to continue the work, with the help of external experts.

42. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that, upon request by the Board at its sixty-third meeting, the SSC WG continued the technical assessment of the appropriateness of the threshold for Type I, II and III activities under the “Guidelines for the demonstration of additionality of microscale project activities”.

43. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that, upon request by the Board, the SSC WG continued to analyse options and implications of including off-grid electricity generation technologies and distributed energy generation activities in the positive list of Attachment A to Appendix B of the Simplified modalities and procedures for small-scale CDM project activities.

44. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note of the recommendation forwarded by the SSC WG to the Board to approve the proposed specific renewable technologies/measures as conferring additionality on microscale CDM project activities implemented in the Republic of Korea, for which the DNA submitted the proposal pertaining to criterion 2 (d) of the “Guidelines for the demonstration of additionality of microscale project activities”. The SSC WG undertook an assessment of the submissions from the Government of the Republic of Korea on the “Guidelines for the demonstration of additionality of microscale project activities” following the “Procedure for submission and consideration of microscale renewable energy technologies for automatic additionality” (EB 65, annex 33).

3. Rulings (case-specific matters)

3.1. Accreditation

45. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to:

(a) Accredit and provisionally designate entities for sector-specific validation and verification/certification functions;

(b) Consider the recommendations of the CDM-AP on extension of accreditation of DOEs undergoing re-accreditation assessments;

(c) Take note of the CDM-AP’s notifications on the results of regular on-site surveillances;

(d) Take note of the CDM-AP’s notifications on the results of performance assessments;

(e) Take note of the CDM-AP’s notifications on the results of the additional desk review assessments.

Background: In accordance with the accreditation procedure, the recommendations for accreditation and the supporting documentation will be sent to Board members and alternates under separate cover under confidentiality.

3.2. Programme of activities

46. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock of the progress of registration of programme of activities (PoAs).

Background: The information available in the section on “Programme of Activities” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/projsearch.html>>) indicates the status of PoAs submitted for registration as a single CDM project activity.

47. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the documentation for one (1) request for registration of a programme of activities as listed in table 1 below, for which a review was commenced.

Background: Three (3) or more members of the Board or a Party involved requested a review of the requests for registration listed in table 1 below. The subsequent assessments of the secretariat and the RIT Team contained different proposed decisions (i.e. one to register and the other to reject) or the same proposed decisions (both to register or to reject), but received an objection from a member of the Board. In accordance with the “Procedures for review of requests for registration”, adopted by the Board at its fifty-fifth meeting, for each case listed in table 1 below, the Board shall decide whether to register the programme of activities or to reject the request for registration.

Table 1: Request for registration review case for which assessments of the secretariat and the RIT Team contain different proposed decisions or an objection by a member of the Board was received

Ref. No.	Title	Register	Reject
5033	Fuel Efficient Stoves in Zambia	?	?

3.3. Registration

48. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock of the progress of registration.

Background: The information available in the section on “Project Activities” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/projsearch.html>>) indicates the status of project activities submitted for registration.

49. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the documentation for eight (8) requests for registration as listed in table 2 below, for which a review was commenced.

Background: Three (3) or more members of the Board or a Party involved requested a review of the requests for registration listed in table 2 below. The subsequent assessments of the secretariat and the RIT Team contained different proposed decisions (i.e. one to register and the other to reject) or the same proposed decisions (both to register or to reject), but received an objection from a member of the Board. In accordance with the “Procedures for review of requests for registration”, adopted by the Board at its fifty-fifth meeting, for each case listed in table 2 below, the Board shall decide whether to register the project activity or to reject the request for registration.

Table 2: Request for registration review cases for which assessments of the secretariat and the RIT Team contain different proposed decisions or an objection by a member of the Board was received

Ref. No.	Title	Register	Reject
3876	Duanwang CMM Power Generation Project	?	?
4298	Paysandú Clean Energy	?	?
4377	12.25 MW Bundled Wind Power Project in India	?	?
4442	Wuhan Xinzhou Chenjiachong Sanitary Landfill LFG Power Generation Project	?	?
4967	Coke Dry Quenching (CDQ) Waste Heat Recovery for Power Generation Project of Shandong Shiheng Special Steel 960,000t/a Coking Plant	?	?
5023	Redevelopment of Tana Hydro Power Station Project	?	?
5100	Boxing Biogas Recovery and Utilization Project in Shandong Province	?	?
5399	Mpererwe Landfill Gas Project	?	?

50. ► **Action:** The Board shall continue considering the documentation for one (1) request for registration as listed in table 3 below, for which a review was commenced.



Background: At its sixty-fifth meeting, the Board was not able to reach an agreement with regard to the request for registration for project activity “Recovery and Utilization of Associated Gas to Optimize Power Generation at PETROAMAZONAS Block 15 Facilities” (4722) submitted by the DOE (DNV), and decided to continue its deliberations on the request at its sixty-sixth meeting.

Table 3: Continuation of consideration of request for registration review case for which assessments of the secretariat and the RIT Team contain different proposed decisions or an objection by a member of the Board was received

<i>Ref. No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Register</i>	<i>Reject</i>
4722	Recovery and Utilization of Associated Gas to Optimize Power Generation at PETROAMAZONAS Block 15 Facilities	?	?

3.4. Issuance

51. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock of the progress of issuance and the operation of the CDM registry.

Background: The information available in the section on “Issuance of CERs” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>>) indicates the status of requests for issuance.

52. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the request for permission to resubmit one (1) previously rejected request for issuance listed in table 4 below.

Background: In accordance with paragraph 96 of the report of the twenty-eighth meeting of the Board, in cases where the reasons for rejection can be addressed by means of a revised verification report, based on a revised monitoring report, if appropriate, the DOE may request, explaining the reasons, to be permitted to submit a revised request for issuance for the same monitoring period covered by the rejection.

Table 4: Requests for resubmission of previously rejected requests for issuance

<i>Ref. No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Monitoring Period</i>
1546	Straw generation project in Wei county Hebei province, P.R. China	25 Jun 2008–31 Jan 2009

53. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the request for permission to resubmit three (3) previously rejected requests for issuance listed in table 5 below.

Background: In accordance with paragraph 33 of annex 4 to the report of the sixty-fourth meeting of the Board, in cases where the reasons for rejection can be addressed by means of a revised verification report, based on a revised monitoring report, if appropriate, the DOE may request, explaining the reasons, permission to submit a revised request for issuance for the same monitoring period covered by the rejected request for issuance. In this case, the request for permission to re-submit a request for issuance shall be submitted within 60 days of publication of the final ruling of the rejected request for issuance.

Table 5: Requests for resubmission of previously rejected requests for issuance

<i>Ref. No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Monitoring Period</i>
0276	Bundled wind power project in Chitradurga (Karnataka in India) managed by Enercon (India) Ltd.	01 Jul 2007–31 Dec 2009
0649	Trojes Hydropower project	01 Oct 2007–31 Mar 2009
0933	Jinan Landfill Gas to Energy Project	01 Mar 2010–31 Jan 2011

54. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the request for permission to resubmit four (4) previously withdrawn requests for issuance listed in table 6 below.



Background: In accordance with paragraph 9(c) of annex 33 to the report of the fifty-fourth meeting of the Board, in cases where the requests for issuance that have received a request for review are withdrawn, if the DOE intends to resubmit the request for issuance for the same monitoring period after such a withdrawal, the DOE shall request permission from the Board to resubmit such a request.

Table 6: Request for resubmission of previously withdrawn requests for issuance

<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Monitoring Period</i>
0209	Central Energética do Rio Pardo Cogeneration Project (CERPA)	01 Jan 2008–31 Dec 2008
0259	Trupan Biomass Power Plant in Chile	01 Oct 2008–31 Dec 2009
1506	Proactiva Tijuquinhas Landfill Gas Capture and Flaring project	01 Sep 2010–31 Mar 2011
2866	Bailongjiang Shuiboxia Hydropower Station	30 May 2010–29 Jan 2011

55. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider three (3) submissions by a DOE with regard to notification of changes or request for approval of changes from the registered project design document (PDD), as listed in table 7 below.

Background: The DOE may submit a notification or a request for approval of changes from the registered PDD in accordance with the “Procedures for notifying and requesting approval of changes from the project activity as described in the registered PDD”. The Board, according to these procedures, shall decide whether to: (a) accept the changes and allow the subsequent requests for issuance; (b) accept the changes and allow the subsequent requests for issuance, but limit the CERs up to the level estimated in the original PDD; or (c) reject the changes and not allow the subsequent requests for issuance.

Table 7: Notifications of changes/requests for approval of changes from the registered PDD

<i>Ref. No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Type</i>
0177	Lawley Fuel Switch Project	Request
1375	Shandong Gaotang 30MW Biomass Power Generation Project	Notification
2394	SF ₆ Switch at Ortal Diecasting 1993 Ltd.	Request

4. Regulatory matters

4.1. Standards/tools

(a) *Standards for CDM project activities and programme of activities*

56. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the following revised, new and consolidated documents to operationalize the CDM project standard (PS) and CDM validation and verification standard (VVS), including source provision tables, as contained in annexes 10 to 26 to these annotations:

(a) Draft guidelines for completing the project design document form (revised to remove duplication and align with PS);

(b) Draft guidelines for completing the small-scale project design document form (revised to remove duplication and align with PS);

(c) Draft guidelines for completing the afforestation and reforestation project design document form (revised to remove duplication and align with PS);

(d) Draft guidelines for completing the small-scale afforestation and reforestation project design document form (revised to remove duplication and align with PS);



- (e) Draft guidelines for completing the programme of activity project design document form (new document);
- (f) Draft guidelines for completing the small-scale programme of activity project design document form (new document);
- (g) Draft guidelines for completing the afforestation and reforestation programme of activity project design document form (new document);
- (h) Draft guidelines for completing the small-scale afforestation and reforestation programme of activity project design document form (new document);
- (i) Draft guidelines for completing the component project activity design document form (new document);
- (j) Draft guidelines for completing the small-scale component project activity design document form (new document);
- (k) Draft guidelines for completing the afforestation and reforestation component project activity design document (new document);
- (l) Draft guidelines for completing the small-scale afforestation and reforestation component project design document form (new document);
- (m) Draft guidelines for completing the monitoring report form (revised to remove duplication and align with PS);
- (n) Draft general principles for bundling (revised to remove duplication and align with PS);
- (o) Draft guidelines for completing the small-scale project activities bundling form (revised to remove duplication and align with PS);
- (p) Draft general guidelines for SSC CDM methodologies (revised to remove duplication and align with PS);
- (q) Draft guidelines on accounting of specified types of changes in A/R CDM project activities from the description in registered project design documents (revised to remove duplication and align with PS).

The Board may also wish to take note that the following documents, previously contained in the PDD guidelines for large-scale, small-scale, afforestation and reforestation, and small-scale afforestation and reforestation, will be separated from the relevant PDD guidelines and remain as stand alone documents with new version number:

- (a) Guidelines for completing the proposed new baseline and monitoring methodology form;
- (b) Guidelines for completing the proposed new small-scale baseline and monitoring methodology form;
- (c) Guidelines for completing the proposed new afforestation and reforestation baseline and monitoring methodology form;
- (d) Guidelines for completing the proposed new small-scale afforestation and reforestation baseline and monitoring methodology form.

Background: The Board, at its sixty-fourth meeting, considered the proposed implementation plan for the PS and the VVS and requested the secretariat to commence the work on revising and developing relevant documents.

57. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider launching a call for public inputs on the draft best practices examples focusing on sample size and reliability calculations, as contained in annex 27 to these annotations.

Background: The CMP, at its seventh session, requested the Board to continue its work to improve the procedures on programme of activities. The Board, at its sixtieth meeting, also agreed to set up a joint task force comprising members of the Meth Panel and the SSC WG to further work on the issue to develop one set of common sampling guidelines and best practices examples covering large and small-scale projects and PoAs. At its sixty-fifth meeting, the Board also approved the “Standard for sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and PoAs”.

(b) Methodological standards for large-scale CDM project activities

New methodologies and tools

58. ► **Action:** Taking into consideration the inputs from experts (desk reviewers), the public, and the recommendations of the Meth Panel, the Board may wish to consider the recommendation:

- (e) To approve the proposed new methodologies:
 - (i) NM0351: “High Speed Passenger Rail Systems”, as contained in annex 1 of the fifty-fourth meeting report of the Meth Panel;
 - (ii) NM0352: “New cogeneration facilities supplying electricity and steam to a Greenfield Industrial Consumer with Excess Power Generated exported to a Grid and/or other Dedicated Consumer(s)”, as contained in annex 2 of the fifty-fourth meeting report of the Meth Panel.
- (f) To approve the draft top-down methodology:
 - (i) “Renewable energy power generation in isolated grids”, as contained in annex 3 of the report of the fifty-fourth meeting of the Meth Panel.
- (g) To approve the draft new top-down methodological tool:
 - (i) “Project and leakage emissions from anaerobic digesters”, as contained in annex 21 of the fifty-fourth meeting report of the Meth Panel.

Background: The recommendations agreed by the Meth Panel are contained in paragraph 7 of the report of the fifty-fourth meeting of the Meth Panel available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/index.html>>.

59. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider launching a call for public inputs, for a period of 30 days, on the draft methodological tool “Upstream leakage emissions associated with fossil fuel use” as contained in annex 20 of the fifty-fourth meeting report of the Meth Panel. The draft tool has been prepared by the Meth Panel in response to the task of developing top-down methodologies and tools as contained in the 2011 workplan of the Meth Panel. The public inputs will be taken into account when preparing the final draft of the tool to be recommended to the Board at a future meeting.

Background: The Board requested the development of top-down methodologies and tools, as included in the 2011 workplan of the Meth Panel. The Meth Panel recommends seeking inputs from the public on this draft in order to improve it before it is recommended to the Board for approval.

*Revisions and amendments of approved methodologies and tools*

60. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the following responses to the requests for revision, as referred to in the Meth Panel reports. The responses are provided in table 2 in paragraph 12 of the report of the fifty-fourth meeting of the Meth Panel:

- (a) To accept request AM_REV_0223 concerning the approved methodology AM0074 “Methodology for new grid connected power plants using permeate gas previously flared and/or vented”;
- (b) Not to accept request AM_REV_0224 concerning the approved methodology ACM0014 “Mitigation of greenhouse gas emissions from treatment of industrial wastewater”;
- (c) Not to accept request AM_REV_0225 concerning the approved methodology AM0090 “Modal shift in transportation of cargo from road transportation to water or rail transportation”;
- (d) To accept request AM_REV_0227 concerning the approved methodology ACM0019 “N₂O abatement from nitric acid production”;
- (e) To accept request AM_REV_0228 concerning the approved methodology ACM0002 “Consolidated baseline methodology for grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources”;
- (f) Not to accept request AM_REV_0229 concerning the approved methodology AM0036 “Fuel switch from fossil fuels to biomass residues in heat generation equipment”;
- (g) Not to accept request AM_REV_0230 concerning the approved methodology AM0050 “Feed switch in integrated Ammonia-urea manufacturing industry”.

The following responses are provided in table 2 in paragraph 11 of the report of the forty-eighth meeting of the Meth Panel²:

- (a) Not to accept request AM_REV_0203 concerning the approved methodology ACM0002 “Consolidated baseline methodology for grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources”;
- (b) Not to accept request AM_REV_0204 concerning the approved methodology ACM0007 “Conversion from single cycle to combined cycle power generation”;
- (c) Not to accept request AM_REV_0205 concerning the approved methodology AM0029 “Baseline Methodology for Grid Connected Electricity Generation Plants using Natural Gas”;
- (d) Not to accept request AM_REV_0207 concerning the approved methodology ACM0012 “Consolidated baseline methodology for GHG emission reductions from waste energy recovery projects”.

Background: Information on the revisions to the methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/revisions>>.

61. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revision/amendment to the following approved methodologies and tools:

- (a) AM0064 “Methodology for methane capture and utilisation or destruction in underground, hard rock, precious and base metal mines”. The draft revision, prepared in response to issues identified in the methodology during the consideration by the panel of a request by the SSC WG, inter alia:
 - (i) Broadens the applicability of the methodology to the more recently drilled exploration boreholes and to the recovery and utilization of methane from exploration boreholes;
 - (ii) Includes a condition on the maximum allowed diameter of the exploration boreholes.

² These cases were omitted in both annotated agenda and report of the sixtieth meeting of the Board.



The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 9 of the report of the fifty-fourth meeting of the Meth Panel.

(b) AM0074 “Methodology for new grid connected power plants using permeate gas previously flared and/or vented”. The draft revision, prepared in response to the request for revision AM_REV_0223, inter alia:

- (i) Introduces an applicability condition limiting the amount of permeate gas used under the project activity compared to the historical situation;
- (ii) Introduces procedures on demonstration of use of permeate gas prior to the implementation of project activity;
- (iii) Includes a barrier analysis for demonstration of additionality under certain conditions.

The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 10 of the report of the fifty-fourth meeting of the Meth Panel.

(c) AM0078 “Point of Use Abatement Device to Reduce SF₆ emissions in LCD Manufacturing Operations”. The draft revision, prepared in response to issues concerning consistency of the methodology with the approved methodology AM0096 “CF₄ emission reduction from installation of an abatement system in a semiconductor manufacturing facility”, inter alia:

- (i) Improves and reorganizes the procedure to determine the amount of eligible SF₆ that would be emitted in the baseline;
- (ii) Changes the default value of the fraction of SF₆ consumed but not destroyed or transformed in the process;
- (iii) Includes a cap for the ratio of SF₆ consumption to the surface area of LCD substrate processed.

The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 11 of the report of the fifty-fourth meeting of the Meth Panel.

(d) ACM0002 “Consolidated baseline methodology for grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources”. The draft amendment, prepared in response to the request for revision AM_REV_0228, incorporates provisions for capacity addition, and replacement in a renewable energy plant where a new power generation equipment replacing the existing power generation equipment may be installed at a different location than that of the existing power generation equipment. The draft amended methodology is contained in annex 14 of the report of the fifty-fourth meeting of the Meth Panel.

(e) ACM0005 “Consolidated Baseline Methodology for Increasing the Blend in Cement Production”. The draft revision, prepared in response to issues identified by the Meth Panel in the methodology, inter alia:

- (i) Revises the approaches for first-of-its-kind barrier and investment barrier analysis to align them with the latest approved version of the guidelines on the first-of-its-kind barrier and objective assessment and demonstration of barriers;
- (ii) Improves and reorganizes the approach to determine the baseline benchmark for the share of clinker and its update;
- (iii) Corrects the calculation of leakage emissions due to transport of additives and improves the procedure to calculate leakage emissions from the diversion of additives.



The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 16 of the report of the fifty-fourth meeting of the Meth Panel.

(f) ACM0019 “N₂O abatement from nitric acid production”. The draft revision, prepared in response to the request for revision AM_REV_0227:

- (i) Incorporates a procedure allowing CDM project activities using this methodology not to have negative emission reductions when the abatement system is not installed or is under-performing;
- (ii) Removes the requirement to monitor pressure and temperature of the gas when the CDM project activity uses an Automatic Measurement System that provides information recalculated to normal conditions.

The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 19 of the report of the fifty-fourth meeting of the Meth Panel.

(g) In response to the requests contained in paragraphs 82 and 93 of the sixty-third meeting of the Board, to reference the methodological tools “Validity of the original/current baseline and to update the baseline at the renewal of a crediting period”, “Tool to determine the remaining lifetime of equipment” and “Project and leakage emissions from road transportation of freight” in approved methodologies, revisions to:

- (i) AM0018 “Baseline methodology for steam optimization systems” as contained in annex 5 of the report of the fifty-fourth meeting of the Meth Panel;
- (ii) AM0036 “Fuel switch from fossil fuels to biomass residues in heat generation equipment” as contained in annex 7 of the report of the fifty-fourth meeting of the Meth Panel. The revision also adopts the approach from ACM0006 and ACM0018 with regard to the calculation of leakage emissions of the report of the fifty-fourth meeting of the Meth Panel;
- (iii) ACM0006 “Consolidated methodology for electricity and heat generation from biomass residues” as contained in annex 17 of the report of the fifty-fourth meeting of the Meth Panel;
- (iv) ACM0018 “Consolidated methodology for electricity generation from biomass residues in power-only plants” as contained in annex 18 of the report of the fifty-fourth meeting of the Meth Panel.

(h) Editorial amendments correcting minor editorial issues identified in the following approved methodologies and tools:

- (i) AM0009 “Recovery and utilization of gas from oil wells that would otherwise be flared or vented” as contained in annex 4 of the report of the fifty-fourth meeting of the Meth Panel;
- (ii) AM0057 “Avoided emissions from biomass wastes through use as feed stock in pulp and paper, cardboard, fibreboard or bio-oil production” as contained in annex 8 of the report of the fifty-fourth meeting of the Meth Panel;
- (iii) AM0083 “Avoidance of landfill gas emissions by in-situ aeration of landfills” as contained in annex 12 of the report of the fifty-fourth meeting of the Meth Panel;
- (iv) AM0093 “Avoidance of landfill gas emissions by passive aeration of landfills” as contained in annex 13 of the report of the fifty-fourth meeting of the Meth Panel;

- (v) ACM0003 “Emissions reduction through partial substitution of fossil fuels with alternative fuels or less carbon intensive fuels in cement or quicklime manufacture” as contained in annex 15 of the report of the fifty-fourth meeting of the Meth Panel;
- (vi) Methodological tool “Emissions from solid waste disposal sites” as contained in annex 22 of the report of the fifty-fourth meeting of the Meth Panel;
- (vii) Methodological tool “Validity of the original/current baseline and to update the baseline at the renewal of the crediting period” as contained in annex 23 of the report of the fifty-fourth meeting of the Meth Panel.

Background: Information on the revisions to the methodologies is available under the section “Revisions and amendments of approved methodologies and tools” in the report of the fifty-fourth meeting of the Meth Panel.

62. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider, in response to the requests contained in paragraph 74 of the report of the sixty-third meeting and paragraph 37 of report of the sixty-fifth meeting of the Board, an information note prepared by the Meth Panel on an analysis of 20 methodologies to assess whether additional requirements are needed within these methodologies in the context of their use under a PoA. The information note is contained in annex 24 of the report of the fifty-fourth meeting of the Meth Panel. The Board may also wish to take note that, in response to the requests contained in paragraphs 71 of the report of the sixty-third meeting of the Board, the Meth Panel intends to consider the issue of cross effects in the context of application of combinations of technologies/measures and methodologies in a PoA at its next meeting.

Background: At its sixty-third meeting, the Board requested the secretariat, in collaboration with a task force composed of members from the Meth Panel and SSC WG, to develop further guidance regarding cross effects in the context of the application of combinations of technologies/measures and methodologies for consideration by the Board at a future meeting. The Board also requested, at the same meeting, the Meth Panel to review the large-scale methodologies and assess whether additional requirements are needed within these methodologies, in the context of their use under PoA.

63. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the draft revision to the methodological tool “Combined tool to identify the baseline scenario and demonstrate additionality”, as contained in annex 28 to these annotations.

Background: The Board, at its sixty-fifth meeting, revised the methodological tool “Demonstration and assessment of additionality” in order to incorporate all provisions included in the “Guidelines on additionality of first-of-its-kind project activities” and the “Guidelines on common practice” in the revised tool and requested the secretariat to revise the “Combined tool to identify the baseline scenario and demonstrate additionality to incorporate the provisions of the same guidelines”.

64. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance on whether the Meth Panel should undertake work on the approved methodologies AM0028 and AM0034 for N₂O abatement from nitric acid production for further recommendation to the Board.

Background: At its sixty-first meeting, the Board approved the consolidated methodology ACM0019, which is applicable to N₂O abatement in both existing and new nitric acid plants. This methodology provides a simplified approach using a default emission factor, which was developed based on information from registered projects and which takes into account innovation occurring in the sector. The approved methodologies AM0028 and AM0034 are applicable to existing nitric acid plants. Guidance is to be provided whether to reassess these methodologies with the view to recommending to keep them as they are, or to recommend their withdrawal, given that all projects could use ACM0019 at their renewal of the crediting period, or to recommend their revision to address issues related to the renewal of the crediting period.

Guidelines

65. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft guidelines for quality assurance and quality control of data used in the establishment of standardized baselines, as contained in annex 29 to these annotations.

Background: The Board, at its sixty-fifth meeting, considered the draft work programme on standardized baselines and agreed to launch a call for public inputs on the draft guidelines for data quality in the establishment of standardized baselines. The Board requested the secretariat to take into account the inputs from the call while revising the draft guidelines.

(c) *Methodological standards for small-scale CDM project activities*

New methodologies

66. ► **Action:** Taking into consideration the inputs from experts (desk reviewers), the public, and the recommendations of the Meth Panel, the Board may wish to consider the recommendation:

- (a) To approve the proposed new methodologies:
 - (i) SSC-III.AW “Electrification of rural communities by grid extension”, as contained in annex 1 of the report of the thirty-fifth meeting of the SSC WG;
 - (ii) SSC-II.O “Dissemination of energy efficient household appliances”, as contained in annex 2 of the report of the thirty-fifth meeting of the SSC WG;
 - (iii) SSC-III.AY “Introduction of LNG buses to existing and new bus routes”, as contained in annex 3 of the report of the thirty-fifth meeting of the SSC WG;
 - (iv) SSC-I.L “Electrification of rural communities using renewable energy”, as contained in annex 4 of the report of the thirty-fifth meeting of the SSC WG.
- (b) To approve the draft top-down methodology:
 - (i) SSC-II.N “Demand-side energy efficiency activities for installation of energy efficient lighting and/or controls in buildings”, as contained in annex 7 of the report of the thirty-fifth meeting of the SSC WG. The response of the SSC WG on several issues raised in the public inputs is included in annex 8 of the report of the thirty-fifth meeting of the SSC WG;
 - (ii) SSC-I.K “Solar cookers for households”, as contained in annex 9 of the report of the thirty-fifth meeting of the SSC WG. The response of the SSC WG on several issues raised in the public inputs is included in annex 10 of the report of the thirty-fifth meeting of the SSC WG.

Background: The recommendations agreed by the SSC WG are contained in paragraphs 5, 6, 7, 9, 14 and 15 of the report of the thirty-fifth meeting of the SSC WG available on the UNFCCC CDM website <http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ssc_wg/index.html>.

67. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider launching a call for public inputs, for a period of 30 days, on the draft methodology SSC-III.AZ “Energy efficiency and/or energy supply projects in commercial buildings”, as contained in annex 6 of the report of the thirty-fifth meeting of the SSC WG.

Background: The draft methodology has been prepared by the SSC WG in response to the task of developing top-down methodologies as contained in the 2011 workplan of the SSC WG. The public inputs



will be taken into account when preparing the final draft of the methodology to be recommended to the Board at a future meeting.

68. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the proposed new methodology SSC-NM077 “Use of chemical additives to reduce fossil fuel consumption in heavy vehicles” was deemed not suitable for recommendation to the Board. The proposed guidance for project activities are not in compliance with the requirements regarding the treatment of fuel combustion efficiency measures (EB 32 report, paragraph 28).

Revisions to approved methodologies

69. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revision to the following approved methodologies:

(a) AMS-III.B “Switching fossil fuels”. The draft revision, prepared in response to the submission SSC_584, contains a simplified approach for estimating emission reductions for small energy generation appliances with annual emission reduction less than 0.6 ktCO₂e/year. The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 11 of the report of the thirty-fifth meeting of the SSC WG;

(b) AMS-III.AT “Transportation energy efficiency activities installing digital tachograph systems or similar devices to transport fleets”. The draft revision, prepared in response to the submission SSC_585, expands the applicability to passenger vehicles with digital tachograph systems or other devices that can monitor vehicle and driver performance data and provide real-time feedback to drivers. The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 12 of the report of the thirty-fifth meeting of the SSC WG;

(c) AMS-III.Y “Methane avoidance through separation of solids from wastewater or manure treatment systems”. The draft revision, prepared in response to the submission SSC_594, provides further guidance on the determination of baseline emissions, and clarifies that project emissions from enteric fermentation and manure do not need to be taken into account when separated solids are used as animal feed. The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 13 of the report of the thirty-fifth meeting of the SSC WG;

(d) AMS-III.AU “Methane emission reduction by adjusted water management practice in rice cultivation”. The draft revision, prepared in response to the submission SSC_600, allows an alternative procedure to ensure efficient fertilization. The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 14 of the report of the thirty-fifth meeting of the SSC WG;

(e) AMS-III.S “Introduction of low-emission vehicles/technologies to commercial vehicle fleets”. The draft revision, prepared in response to the submission SSC_602 requesting revision of AMS-III.C, includes options to establish a baseline for a public transport system involving the introduction of electric vehicles. The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 15 of the report of the thirty-fifth meeting of the SSC WG;

(f) AMS-I.I. “Biogas/biomass thermal applications for households/small users”. The draft revision includes the removal of the requirement of monitoring the project/baseline efficiency rate as per SSC_599 and also includes a correction of the NVC value of biogas. The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 18 of the report of the thirty-fifth meeting of the SSC WG;

(g) AMS-II.F “Energy efficiency and fuel switching measures for agricultural facilities and activities. The draft revision includes removing specific requirements to prove additionality, allowing measurements using a sampling approach and removing the scrapping requirement in the PoA section of the methodology. The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 22 of the report of the thirty-fifth meeting of the SSC WG.

Background: Information on the revisions to the methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/SSCmethodologies/clarifications>>.



70. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider launching a call for public inputs, for a period of 30 days, on the draft revision of the methodology AMS-II.C “Demand-side energy efficiency activities for specific technologies”, as contained in annex 16 and 17 of the report of the thirty-fifth meeting of the SSC WG.

Background: The SSC WG continued its top-down work on revising AMS-II.C, taking into account the inputs received from external experts to expand the methodology, to cover replacement of multiple chillers and to elaborate on a procedure to calculate energy savings for equipment having constant and variable loads, etc.

71. ► **Action:** As per the procedures for the revision of an approved small-scale methodology, the Board may wish to take note that the revisions to the following approved methodologies were deemed not suitable for recommendation to the Board:

- (a) AMS-III.AN “Fossil fuel switch in existing manufacturing industries”, based on the submission SSC_583;
- (b) AMS-III.F “Avoidance of methane emissions through composting”, based on the submission SSC_596;
- (c) AMS-I.A “Electricity generation by the user”, based on the submission SSC_598;
- (d) AMS-III.F “Avoidance of methane emissions through composting”, based on the submission SSC_601;
- (e) “Revision of Microscale Additionality Guidelines to include alternate threshold levels for Type III project activities”, based on the submission SSC_603. The SSC WG agreed, however, to continue to review the microscale additionality guidelines, in particular the definition of the thresholds, as requested by the Board at its sixty-third meeting.

Background: The requests and rationale are contained in paragraphs 18, 24, 25 and 27 of the report of the thirty-fifth meeting of the SSC WG.

Guidelines

72. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the recommendation of the definition of “special underdeveloped zones” by the SSC WG, as contained in annex 19 of the thirty-fifth meeting of the SSC WG.

Background: The Board at its sixty-fifth meeting requested the SSC WG to develop additional guidance pertaining to the definition of “underdeveloped zone of the host country”, taking into account the experiences gained and approaches used in PDDs.

4.2. Procedures

(a) Procedures related to project cycle

73. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft glossary of CDM terms, following the mandate to revise, consolidate and develop relevant documents to operationalize the CDM project cycle procedure (PCP), including a source provision table, as contained in annex 30 to these annotations. The Board may also wish to consider withdrawing the following guidelines and incorporate relevant provisions thereof in the PCP together with its editorial changes to the document titles referred to in the PCP:

- (a) Guidelines on completeness check of requests for registration;
- (b) Guidelines on completeness check of requests for issuance.

Background: The Board, at its sixty-fourth meeting, considered the proposed implementation plan for the PCP and requested the secretariat to commence the work on revising and developing relevant documents.

4.3. Policy issues

74. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider information on the risk-based approach for project submissions, including statistical models and process for operationalizing the risk-based approach.

Background: The Board, at its sixty-fifth meeting, considered an update on the work undertaken related to the risk-based approach for project submissions and requested the secretariat to provide the Board before its sixty-sixth meeting with an information note detailing the statistical work that is carried out.

75. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an update on means of validation by the DOE to assess whether a proposed project activity can be considered as a demonstration project or supported by official development assistance (ODA) funding.

Background: The Board, at its sixty-first meeting, requested the secretariat to further examine means of validation by the DOE to assess whether a proposed project activity can be considered as a demonstration project or supported by ODA funding.

76. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the concept note on recording host Parties in CERs issued for PoAs, as contained in annex 31 to these annotations.

Background: The CMP at its seventh session requested the Board to continue its work to improve the procedures on programme of activities.

77. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider policy issues that arise from the discussions during this meeting.

Background: Following a request by the Board, policy issues that come up from the discussions during this meeting may be included for consideration, including policy issues encountered through the experience of the assessment of cases.

5. Relations with forums and other stakeholders

5.1. Designated National Authorities

78. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the activities and submissions by **DNAs and/or governments** since the last meeting and agree on any actions, as appropriate.

Background: Following the “Modalities and procedures for direct communication with stakeholders”, DNAs may make a non-case-specific request for clarification or guidance, or feedback to the Board through a dedicated interface on the DNA Forum extranet provided by the secretariat.

5.2. Designated Operational Entities

79. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of activities and communications by the CDM DOE/AIE Coordination Forum since the last meeting and agree on any actions, as appropriate.

Background: Following the “Modalities and procedures for direct communication with stakeholders”, an AE/DOE may make a non-case-specific request for clarification or guidance, or provide feedback to the Board through a dedicated interface on the extranet for operational entities of the UNFCCC website.

5.3. Communications and activities with stakeholders

80. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the following submissions received for their attention and agree on any actions, as appropriate:

- (a) Submissions received from DNAs:



- (i) Support letter for the registration of project activity” (Reference 4722) from the DNA of Ecuador (2012-073-DNA);
- (b) Submissions received from other stakeholders:
 - (i) “Requesting post-registration changes to the start date of the crediting period for projects that the start date of the crediting period be delayed by more than 2 years” from Standard Bank Plc (2011-049-S).

Background: In accordance with the “Modalities and procedures for direct communication with stakeholders”, the secretariat, in consultation with the Chair, shall bring to the attention of the Board communications on policy and methodological and administrative issues when they require the attention or further guidance of the Board.

5.4. Interaction with registered observers

81. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to: (a) agree to make itself available for informal briefings with registered observers in the afternoon of the last day of the meeting; and (b) invite members and alternates to share information on events in which they participated.

Background: On the occasion of the previous meeting of the Board, the Chair and other members of the Board met with registered observers for an informal briefing and agreed to continue with such meetings in the afternoon of the last day of its future meetings, unless otherwise indicated.

6. Other matters

82. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider any other business it deems necessary.

7. Conclusion of the meeting

83. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the report of the sixty-sixth meeting.

Background: The Chair will summarize the meeting and adopt the report, including references to any decisions taken.
