

**CDM-EB87-AA**

## Annotated agenda

---

# CDM Executive Board eighty-seventh meeting

Version 01.1

Date of meeting: 23 to 27 November 2015

Place of meeting: Paris, France

## Annotations to the proposed agenda

### Agenda item 1. Agenda and meeting organization

#### Agenda item 1.1. Opening

1. ► **Action:** The Chair of the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism (CDM) (hereinafter referred to as the Board) shall ascertain whether: (a) the members present constitute a quorum; and (b) the absence of any member or alternate member is “without proper justification”.<sup>1</sup>

*Background:* According to the Rules of procedure of the Board, at least two-thirds of the members of the Board, representing a majority of members from Parties included in Annex I to the Convention and a majority of members from Parties not included in Annex I, must be present to constitute a quorum.

#### Agenda item 1.2. Adoption of the agenda

2. ► **Action:** Members may wish to adopt the proposed agenda for the eighty-seventh meeting.

*Background:* At its previous meeting, the Board agreed on items to be included on the provisional agenda for its eighty-seventh meeting. The proposed agenda was transmitted to the Board on 2 November 2015 and thereafter posted on the UNFCCC CDM website in accordance with rule 21 of the Rules of procedure of the Board.

### Agenda item 2. Governance and management matters

#### Agenda item 2.1. Membership issues

3. ► **Action:** Members of the Board may wish to provide statements on any conflict of interest concerning the issues on the agenda for the meeting, and take action, as appropriate.

*Background:* Following the request by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol (CMP), through its decision 2/CMP.5, to publish statements of conflict of interest on the UNFCCC website, members and alternate members are requested to disclose whether they have any conflict of interest relating to the work of the Board at each meeting.

#### Agenda item 2.2. Strategic planning and direction

4. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance on the concept note on reregistration of voluntary deregistered CDM project activities, as contained in annex 1 to these annotations.

---

<sup>1</sup> Please refer to rule 28 of the “Rules of procedure of the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism”, decision 4/CMP.1 (FCCC/KP/CMP/2005/8/Add.1).

*Background:* The Board, at its eighty-second meeting, requested the secretariat to prepare a concept note on a possible reregistration process for project activities previously deregistered from the CDM.

5. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the update on nurturing demand for the CDM and certified emission reductions (CERs), as contained in annex 2 to these annotations.

*Background:* The Board, at its eighty-fourth meeting, took note of an update on support provided to nurture demand for the CDM and CERs and requested the secretariat to continue its efforts while giving special attention to the use of the CDM in the aviation sector and by the Green Climate Fund. The Board also took note of an information note on the use of the CDM infrastructure in other fields and reiterated the need for outreach and proactive engagement to increase awareness on how the CDM infrastructure can be used in other fields. The Board requested the secretariat to conduct this work in the context of nurturing demand for the CDM and CERs, in particular in the areas of results-based finance and emerging compliance uses and to provide the Board with information on the status of the use of the CDM in policies being implemented by countries. The Board, through its management plan (MAP) 2015, requested the secretariat to provide an update on the use of the platform for voluntary cancellation of CERs.

6. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance on the concept note on revised proposals for simplification and streamlining of the CDM (first batch), as contained in annex 3 to these annotations.

*Background:* The Board, at its eighty-sixth meeting, considered a concept note on proposals for simplification and streamlining of the CDM and requested the secretariat to prepare revised proposals, taking into account the guidance provided.

7. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance on the concept note on further development of the UNFCCC regional collaboration centres (RCCs), which includes a report on the operations of RCCs, as contained in annex 4 to these annotations.

*Background:* The Board, at its eighty-sixth meeting, considered the independent third-party evaluation of the RCCs initiative established by the secretariat in response to requests by the CMP to enhance regional and subregional distribution and capacity-building for the CDM and requested the secretariat to prepare a concept note including: plans for the future of the RCCs initiative with regard to prioritization of CDM-related activities in countries underrepresented in the CDM; an expansion of the scope of RCC activities beyond the CDM; plans for the current RCCs and long-term strategies to build appropriate capacity within the network of RCCs, with a view to enabling the withdrawal or reallocation of resources within the RCC initiative; and specific measures to respond to the findings of the third-party evaluation.

### **Agenda item 2.3. Performance management**

8. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the updated workplan of the Board for 2015, as contained in annex 5 to these annotations.

*Background:* The Board, at its eighty-second meeting, approved the workplan of the Board for 2015 and requested the secretariat to include in future versions of the workplan any new mandates arising from meetings and to provide an update on the status of work at each meeting.

9. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the synthesis report of the annual activity reports submitted by the designated operational entities (DOEs) for 2014–2015, as contained in annex 6 to these annotations.

*Background:* In accordance with the CDM modalities and procedures and the CDM accreditation procedure, DOEs shall submit annual activity reports to the Board. The synthesis report, prepared by the secretariat, compiles all of the annual activity reports submitted by DOEs.

10. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance on a concept note on performance monitoring indicators of DOEs, as contained in annex 7 to these annotations.

*Background:* The Board, at its eighty-fifth meeting, considered a concept note on the approach to performance monitoring of DOEs and requested that the secretariat define qualitative performance monitoring indicators, in consultation with the CDM Accreditation Panel (CDM-AP).

#### **Agenda item 2.4. Matters related to the Board and its support structure**

11. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to adopt its two-year business plan for 2016–2017 and MAP for 2016.

*Background:* The Board, at its eighty-sixth meeting, considered the preparation of its business plan for 2016–2017 and agreed to use the same goals and objectives as its business plan 2014–2015 and associated MAP. The Board, through its MAP 2015, agreed to consider the projected future income and expenditure in conjunction with the consideration of the CDM two-year business plan for 2016–2017.

12. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance on a concept note on approaches to reduce the accreditation fees charged to DOEs, as contained in annex 8 to these annotations.

*Background:* The Board, at its eighty-fifth meeting, considered options for accreditation assessment fees and requested the secretariat, in consultation with the CDM-AP, to explore approaches to reduce the accreditation fees charged to DOEs by means of a subsidy.

#### **Agenda item 2.5. Operations of panels and working groups**

##### **(a). Accreditation Panel**

13. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the report of the 73<sup>rd</sup> meeting of the CDM-AP.

*Background:* The CDM-AP held its 73<sup>rd</sup> meeting on 19–21 October 2015 in Bonn.

##### **(b). Methodologies Panel**

14. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the report of the 68<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Methodologies Panel (MP).

*Background:* The MP held its 68<sup>th</sup> meeting on 5–9 October 2015 in Bonn. The MP dealt with case-specific issues, methodological clarifications, guidance and other issues, as specified below.

**(c). Small-Scale Working Group**

15. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the report of the 49<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Small-Scale Working Group (SSC WG).

*Background:* The SSC WG held its 49<sup>th</sup> meeting on 29 September to 2 October 2015 in Bonn. The SSC WG dealt with case-specific issues, methodological clarifications, guidance and other issues, as specified below.

## **Agenda item 3. Rulings (case-specific)**

### **Agenda item 3.1. Accreditation**

16. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to:
- (a) Reaccredit a DOE for sector-specific validation and verification/certification functions;
  - (b) Consider the recommendation of the CDM-AP on suspending an entity;
  - (c) Consider the recommendations of the CDM-AP regarding additional desk reviews;
  - (d) Consider the recommendation of the CDM-AP on a transfer of accreditation;
  - (e) Consider the recommendation of the CDM-AP on lifting suspension of an entity;
  - (f) Consider the recommendation of the CDM-AP on placing an entity under observation
  - (g) Take note of a notification from the CDM-AP on the completion of a performance assessment;
  - (h) Take note of a notification from a DOE on voluntary withdrawal of accreditation;
  - (i) Take note of notifications from the CDM-AP on the completion of regular surveillance assessments.

*Background:* In accordance with the accreditation procedure, the accreditation-related recommendations and the supporting documentation will be sent to the Board.

### **Agenda item 3.2. Registration**

17. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock of the progress of registration of project activities and programmes of activities (PoAs).

*Background:* The information available on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/projsearch.html>>) indicates the status of project activities submitted for registration. The information available in the section on “Programme of Activities” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/ProgrammeOfActivities/index.html>>) indicates the status of PoAs submitted for registration as a single CDM project activity.

### Agenda item 3.3. Issuance

18. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock of the progress of issuance for project activities, PoAs and the operation of the CDM registry.

*Background:* The information available in the section on “Issuance of CERs” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>>) indicates the status of requests for issuance. Information on the status of CERs issued for PoAs is available at <[http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance/cers\\_iss.html](http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance/cers_iss.html)>.

## Agenda item 4. Regulatory matters

### Agenda item 4.1. Standards/tools

#### (a). Standards for CDM project activities and programmes of activities

19. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance on the concept note on the methodology digitization tool for automatic generation of project and programme design documents, as contained in annex 9 to these annotations.

*Background:* In response to paragraph 15 of decision 4/CMP.10, the Board initiated work to develop and digitize methodology-specific design document forms for project activities and PoAs, and, at its eighty-fifth meeting, took note of the status of the work on digitizing methodologies that generate project and programme design documents and provided further guidance to the secretariat.

20. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance on the concept note on exploration of methodological options for developing ‘agriculture CDM’, as contained in annex 10 to these annotations.

*Background:* The Board, at its eighty-second meeting, considered a project concept note on the development of new methodologies to broaden the applicability of the CDM and adopted the workplan for this project (CDM-EB82-A07-INFO). With regard to methodologies for cities, transport, biofuels and agriculture, the Board requested the secretariat to elaborate concept notes on each specific area for its consideration before the development of the methodologies. The present concept note was considered by the MP and SSC WG and included inputs from them.

21. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the applicability of sectoral scopes, as contained in annex 11 to these annotations.

*Background:* The Board, at its eighty-sixth meeting, considered a concept note on the reclassification of methodologies in regard to their sectoral scopes and requested the secretariat to amend the affected methodologies linked to multiple sectoral scopes, while minimizing the impact on the regulatory framework.

22. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the top-down draft “Standardized baseline: Baseline woody biomass consumption for household cookstoves in Burundi”, as contained in annex 12 to these annotations.

*Background:* In accordance with the “Procedure: Development, revision, clarification and update of standardized baselines”, if a member of the Board objects to the recommendation, the case shall be placed on the agenda of the next Board meeting.

23. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the recommendation of the MP to approve the draft revision of the “Standard: Demonstration of additionality, development of eligibility criteria and application of multiple methodologies for programme of activities”, as contained in annex 1 to the report of the 68<sup>th</sup> meeting of the MP. The revision also consolidates the “Guidelines for the consideration of interactive effects for the application of multiple CDM methodologies for a programme of activities” in the standard. Consequently, the Board may also wish to consider the recommendation from the MP to withdraw the “Guidelines for the consideration of interactive effects for the application of multiple CDM methodologies for a programme of activities”.

*Background:* The recommendations agreed by the MP are contained in paragraph 12 of the report of its 68<sup>th</sup> meeting, which is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/index.html>>. The revision was carried out in response to the mandates received from the Board at its eighty-first meeting (EB 81 report, para. 50), eighty-second meeting (EB 82, annex 8) and eighty-fifth meeting (EB 85 report, para. 46) to enable the “combinations of methodologies that do not require prior approval” for application in PoAs.

#### (b). Methodological standards for large-scale CDM project activities

24. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the recommendation to provide a mandate to the MP to revise the approved methodology ACM0001 version 15.0 to include clarity on the applicable baseline including for situations under a suppressed demand scenario and to improve the clarity on simplified additionality provisions.

*Background:* The recommendation agreed by the MP is contained in paragraph 40 of the report of its 68<sup>th</sup> meeting, which is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/index.html>>. The MP considered the approved methodology “ACM0001: Flaring or use of landfill gas, version 15.0” in the context of two proposed standardized baselines: “PSB0024: Landfill gas capture and destruction in Cameroon” and “PSB0029: Landfill gas capture and destruction or use in Sudan”.

25. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the recommendation to provide a mandate to the MP to explore the possibilities for cases, where the designated national authority (DNA) (or standardized baseline developer) wishes to submit, along with the proposed standardized baseline, a methodology to facilitate the estimation of emission reductions of the projects using the standardized baseline, whether the submission of such standardized baseline and methodology can be allowed in a single document (e.g. form for submission of proposed standardized baseline).

*Background:* The recommendation agreed by the MP is contained in paragraph 48 of the report of its 68<sup>th</sup> meeting, which is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/index.html>>. The MP considered the “Concept note: Revision of guideline for the sector specific standardized baselines (SB guideline)” and finalized the proposal together with the secretariat. The work was carried out in response to the mandate received from the Board at its eighty-first meeting (EB 81, para. 48) related to the revision of the “Guideline for establishment of sector-specific standardized baselines”.

#### (i). New methodologies and tools (large-scale)

26. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the recommendation of the MP to approve the new top-down methodology “AM00XX: Electric taxiing systems for airplanes”, as contained in annex 2 to the report of the 68<sup>th</sup> meeting of the MP. The new methodology

is applicable to project activities that implement and operate electric taxiing (e-taxi) systems in domestic commercial aeroplanes.

*Background:* The recommendation agreed by the MP is contained in paragraph 18 of the report of its 68<sup>th</sup> meeting, which is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/index.html>>. The new methodology is developed top-down in response to the mandate from the Board at its eighty-second meeting (EB 82 report, annex 7).

27. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the recommendation of the MP to approve the new consolidated methodology “ACM00XX: Fossil fuel based cogeneration for identified recipient facility(ies)”, as contained in annex 3 to the report of the 68<sup>th</sup> meeting of the MP. The consolidated methodology is based on the revisions of “AM0014: Fossil fuel based cogeneration for identified recipient facility(ies)” and “AM0102: Greenfield cogeneration facility supplying electricity and steam to a Greenfield Industrial Consumer and exporting excess electricity to a grid and/or project customer(s)”. The revisions were carried out to simplify the methodology and improve its consistency with other cogeneration methodologies.

*Background:* The revisions of AM0014 and AM0102 were carried out in response to the mandate from the Board at its eighty-second meeting (EB 82 report, annex 8). The recommendation agreed by the MP is contained in paragraph 23(a) of the report of its 68<sup>th</sup> meeting, which is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/index.html>>.

28. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the recommendation of the MP to withdraw the approved methodologies “AM0014: Fossil fuel based cogeneration for identified recipient facility(ies)” and “AM0102: Greenfield cogeneration facility supplying electricity and steam to a Greenfield Industrial Consumer and exporting excess electricity to a grid and/or project customer(s)” as these methodologies have been fully incorporated into the new consolidated methodology “ACM00XX: Fossil fuel based cogeneration for identified recipient facility(ies)”, as referred to in paragraph 27 above.

*Background:* The recommendation agreed by the MP is contained in paragraph 28 of the report of its 68<sup>th</sup> meeting, which is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/index.html>>. The withdrawal was recommended in line with the principles for revision, consolidation and clarification of methodologies in appendix 1 to the procedure “Development, revision and clarification of baseline and monitoring methodologies and methodological tools” (CDM-EB70-A36), whereby if a consolidated methodology or methodological tool involves an approved methodology or methodological tool, and the consolidated one fully covers the approved one having been consolidated, then the consolidated one supersedes the approved one.

## (ii). Revisions of approved methodologies and tools (large-scale)

29. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the recommendation of the MP to approve the following draft revisions of the methodology(ies)/methodological tool(s) as major revisions:

- (a) “AM0058: Introduction of a new primary district heating system”, as contained in annex 4 to the report of the 68<sup>th</sup> meeting of the MP. The revision is being carried out in response to the mandate from the Board at its eighty-second meeting (EB 82 report, annex 8) to simplify and streamline methodologies and tools. The draft revision:



- (i) Expands the applicability of the methodology to allow, to a limited extent, industrial consumers in addition to residential consumers to consume heat supplied by CDM project;
  - (ii) Simplifies baseline emissions calculation and additionality demonstration;
  - (iii) Changes the title to “Introduction of a district heating system”;
- (b) “ACM0012: Waste energy recovery”, as contained in annex 5 to the report of the 68<sup>th</sup> meeting of the MP. The revision was carried out in response to the mandate from the Board at its eighty-third meeting (EB 83 report, para. 32) to include non-binding best practice examples in methodologies. The draft revision includes non-binding best practice examples. The MP further clarified the language in the procedure in appendix 1 to the methodology and made appropriate changes;
- (c) “Methodological tool: TOOL05: Tool to calculate baseline, project and/or leakage emissions from electricity consumption”, as contained in annex 6 to the report of the 68<sup>th</sup> meeting of the MP. The revision was carried out in response to the mandate received from the Board at its eighty-second meeting (EB 82 report, annex 8) to simplify monitoring requirements in methodologies. The draft revision to the above tool:
  - (i) Expands the applicability of the tool to cover baseline emissions from electricity generation;
  - (ii) Provides flexible and objective requirements for monitoring the amount of electricity generation and consumption;
  - (iii) Consolidates and improves the monitoring requirements contained in various methodologies related to amount of electricity generation and consumption;
  - (iv) Simplifies the monitoring requirements based on issues identified through analysis of post-registration changes (PRCs) and review of methodologies;
  - (v) Includes a data handling protocol for missing data;
  - (vi) Changes the title to “TOOL05: Baseline, project and/or leakage emissions from electricity consumption and monitoring of electricity generation”;
- (d) “Methodological tool: Tool to calculate emission factor of electricity system”, as contained in annex 7 to the report of the 68<sup>th</sup> meeting of the MP. The proposed revision was carried out as per the 2015 workplan of the MP (MAP project 223) and in response to the specific mandates from the Board at its eighty-first meeting (EB 81 report, para. 83) and eighty-third meeting (EB 83 report, para. 45). The revision also took into account public input<sup>2</sup> and the past clarification issued by the Board (CLA\_TOOL\_0023). The rationales for the proposed revisions are provided in the “Information note: Analysis and recommendation on the revision of ‘Tool to calculate emission factor of electricity

---

<sup>2</sup> At MP 67, the panel requested the secretariat to provide an information note on “Analysis and proposals on the revision of ‘Tool to calculate emission factor of electricity system’” publicly available for global stakeholder consultation for a period of 30 days, starting from 10 July 2015. One input was received. The MP thanked the author for the input. The assessment of the input is provided in appendix 8 to the information note.

system” as contained in annex 8 to the report of the 68<sup>th</sup> meeting of the MP. The proposed revision, inter alia:

- (i) Broadens the application of simplified combined margin (CM) option also in countries that are not least developed countries (LDCs)/small island developing States (SIDS)/underrepresented countries;
  - (ii) Further clarifies and simplifies criteria for inclusion of off-grid plants for LDCs/SIDS/underrepresented countries;
  - (iii) Simplifies the procedure to consider inclusion/exclusion of low-cost/must-run power plants including default values for calculating simple adjusted operating margin;
  - (iv) Provides diagrams (flow charts, matrix) to illustrate the current provisions of the tool.
- (e) Methodological tool “TOOL08: Tool to determine the mass flow of a greenhouse gas in a gaseous stream”, as contained in annex 9 to the report of the 68<sup>th</sup> meeting of the MP. The revision was carried out in response to the mandate received from the Board at its eighty-second meeting (EB 82 report, annex 8) to simplify monitoring requirements in methodologies. The draft revision provides a simplified procedure for mass flow monitoring of methane in biogas;
- (f) Methodological tool “TOOL09: Tool to determine the baseline efficiency of thermal or electric energy generation systems”, as contained in annex 10 to the report of the 68<sup>th</sup> meeting of the MP. The revision was carried out in response to the mandate received from the Board at its eighty-first meeting (EB 81 report, para. 62) to update the table in the tool containing “default baseline efficiency for different technologies” with efficiency value for biomass and cogeneration technologies, as well as updated values for heat only and electricity generation technologies already in the tool. The draft revision:
- (i) Expands the applicability of the tool to include cogeneration technologies; Includes default values for cogeneration and biomass technologies;
  - (ii) Changes the title to “Determining the baseline efficiency of thermal or electric energy generation systems”.

*Background:* The recommendation agreed by the MP is contained in paragraph 23 of the report of its 68<sup>th</sup> meeting, which is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/index.html>>.

30. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the recommendation to provide a mandate to the MP to review approved methodologies to identify the ones to refer to the revised methodological tool “TOOL05: Tool to calculate baseline, project and/or leakage emissions from electricity consumption” to ensure consistent guidance in the methodologies and the methodological tool.

*Background:* The recommendation agreed by the MP is contained in paragraph 24 of the report of its 68<sup>th</sup> meeting, which is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/index.html>>. While working on the draft revision of the methodological tool “TOOL05: Tool to calculate baseline, project and/or leakage emissions from electricity consumption”, the MP noted, upon approval of the revision of the tool as recommended above (see paragraph 29(d) above), it may be necessary to

review approved methodologies to identify the ones to refer to the revised tool to ensure consistent guidance in the methodologies and the tool.

31. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the recommendation to provide a mandate to the MP to review all approved methodologies and propose revisions where applicable to include references to the revised methodological tool “TOOL08: Tool to determine the mass flow of a greenhouse gas in a gaseous stream”.

*Background:* The recommendation agreed by the MP is contained in paragraph 25 of the report of its 68<sup>th</sup> meeting, which is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/index.html>>. While working on the draft revision of the methodological tool “TOOL08: Tool to determine the mass flow of a greenhouse gas in a gaseous stream”, the MP noted, upon approval of the revision of the tool as recommended above (see paragraph 29(f) above), it may be necessary to review approved methodologies to identify the ones to refer to the revised tool to ensure consistent guidance in the methodologies and the tool.

32. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the recommendation to provide a mandate to the MP to revise the approved methodology AM0103 to include the positive list included in the latest version of ACM0002, containing ocean thermal technology as approved by the Board at its eighty-third meeting.

*Background:* The recommendation agreed by the MP is contained in paragraph 27 of the report of its 68<sup>th</sup> meeting, which is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/index.html>>. While discussing technologies that can be included in the positive list of the approved methodology ACM0002, the MP identified that the approved methodology “AM0103: Renewable energy power generation in isolated grids” should be revised to include the positive list included in the latest version of ACM0002, containing ocean thermal technology as approved by the Board at its eighty-third meeting. The work is being carried out in response to the mandate received from the Board at its eighty-third meeting (EB 83, para. 43).

33. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the recommendation to provide a mandate to the MP to revise the methodological tool “Project and leakage emissions for biomass” to broaden its applicability to cover biomass cultivated in forests on land where there was a cultivated forest at the end of its last rotation, as it is currently allowed in methodology AM0082.

*Background:* The recommendation agreed by the MP is contained in paragraph 30 of the report of its 68<sup>th</sup> meeting, which is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/index.html>>. The MP is working on revisions of methodologies to refer to the revised methodological tool “Project and leakage emissions for biomass”. The work is being carried out in response to the mandate received from the Board at its eighty-third meeting (EB 83, para. 44). ACM0017 would be revised in conjunction with the mandate from the EB 85 report, paragraph 44.

### (iii). Clarifications (large-scale)

34. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the recommendation of the MP to approve the response prepared for requests for clarification to approved methodologies and approved methodological tools as available on the UNFCCC CDM website for the cases below:

- (a) AM\_CLA\_0272: AM0048 (version 3.1) and AM0014 - Clarification on the applicability of a methodology for installation of cogeneration units to supply heat and power;
- (b) AM\_CLA\_0273: ACM0006 (version 12.0) - Reference to a withdrawn tool in ACM0006 - Clarified (fast track).

*Background:* The recommendations agreed by the MP are contained in table 5 in paragraph 36 of the report of its 68<sup>th</sup> meeting, available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/index.html>>. Information on requests for clarification to approved methodologies and tools finalized by the MP for consideration by the Board is available on the UNFCCC CDM website at <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/clarifications/pending>>.

### (c). Methodological standards for small-scale CDM projects

35. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the analysis and recommendation for the graduation of the positive list of technologies under the small-scale additionality tool, as contained in annex 6 to the report of the 49<sup>th</sup> meeting of the SSC WG. The SSC WG recommended that the current positive list be retained.

*Background:* The recommendation is contained in paragraph 32 of the report of the 49<sup>th</sup> meeting of the SSC WG, available on the UNFCCC CDM website at: <[http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ssc\\_wg/index.html](http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ssc_wg/index.html)>. The work is included in the SSC WG workplan for 2015 and based on a mandate provided by the Board at its seventy-seventh meeting (EB 77 report, para. 63) that the SSC WG shall reassess the positive list every three years and recommend modifications to the Board where necessary.

### (i). New methodologies and tools (small-scale)

36. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the recommendation of the SSC WG to approve the top-down developed new methodology “AMS-I.XXX: Solar power for domestic aircraft at-gate operations” for the aviation sector, as contained in annex 1 to the report of the 49<sup>th</sup> meeting of the SSC WG. The methodology was developed under the SSC WG workplan for 2015. The proposed new methodology includes:

- (a) Determination of the amount of electricity generated by the new solar photovoltaic installations at airports to supply electricity and preconditioned air for domestic flights during at-gate operations which were previously supplied externally by: i) grid/captive power, ii) ground power units (fixed and mobile, including fuel consumption units) and iii) the internal auxiliary power unit of the aircraft;
- (b) Identification of the most plausible baseline scenarios and proposes options to determine the baseline emission factor based on historical averages taking into account specific circumstances of the airport’s operation;
- (c) Introduction of a default emission factor for electricity generated in the auxiliary power units.

*Background:* The recommendation is contained in paragraph 19 of the report of the 49<sup>th</sup> meeting of the SSC WG, available on the UNFCCC CDM website at: <[http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ssc\\_wg/index.html](http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ssc_wg/index.html)>.

#### **Agenda item 4.2. Procedures**

37. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to continue considering improving the stakeholder consultation processes.

*Background:* The Board, at its eighty-sixth meeting, considered a concept note on improving stakeholder consultation processes and agreed to continue considering this matter at its next meeting.

#### **Agenda item 4.3. Policy issues**

38. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider any policy issues triggered by the consideration of registration and issuance cases.

*Background:* Following a request by the Board, policy issues that come up from the discussions during this meeting may be included for consideration, including policy issues encountered through the experience of the assessment of cases.

### **Agenda item 5. Relations with forums and other stakeholders**

39. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of external inputs to the annotated agenda of this Board meeting.

*Background:* Following the “Procedure: Direct communication with stakeholders”, stakeholders have the opportunity to provide comments on the annotated agenda. Comments received will be posted on the UNFCCC CDM website.

40. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the following submissions received from stakeholders, DNAs, applicant entities (AEs) and DOEs and agree on any actions, as appropriate:

- (a) INQ-03772 submitted by a stakeholder;
- (b) INQ-03928 submitted by a stakeholder;
- (c) INQ-04044 submitted by a stakeholder.

*Background:* Following the “Procedure: Direct communication with stakeholders”, the secretariat, in consultation with the Chair of the Board, shall bring to the attention of the Board non-process-related communications that require the attention of or further guidance from the Board.

41. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of activities undertaken with regard to stakeholders, DNAs, AEs and DOEs.

*Background:* Following the “Procedure: Direct communication with stakeholders”, the Board and its support structure are to provide avenues for interaction with stakeholders, DNAs, AEs and DOEs, provision of information and capacity-building.

42. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to interact with the co-chairs of the global Designated National Authorities Forum (DNA Forum).

*Background:* In accordance with the “Procedure: Direct communication with stakeholders”, the Board shall also allocate time for interaction during Board meetings with the global DNA Forum through its co-chairs twice a year.

43. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to interact with the CDM DOE/AIE Coordination Forum Chair.

*Background:* In accordance with the “Procedure: Direct communication with stakeholders”, the Board shall allocate time for interaction with the DOE/AIE Coordination Forum through its Chair on issues of interest to AEs/DOEs at each Board meeting.

44. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to interact with the registered observers.

*Background:* In accordance with the “Procedure: Direct communication with stakeholders”, the Board shall allocate a time slot for interaction with registered observers to the meeting. Such interactions should be limited to discussion on policy and general issues, but not on case-specific matters relating to the agenda items of that meeting.

## Agenda item 6. Other matters

45. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree tentatively on its calendar of meetings in 2016.

*Background:* Together with the consideration of the MAP 2016, the Board is also to consider a tentative calendar of meetings for 2016.

46. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider any other business it deems necessary.

## Agenda item 7. Conclusion of the meeting

47. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the report of the eighty-seventh meeting.

*Background:* The Chair will summarize the meeting and adopt the report, including references to any decisions taken.

## Annexes to the annotations

- Annex 1 - Concept note: Reregistration of voluntarily deregistered CDM project activities
- Annex 2 - Nurturing demand for the CDM and CERs
- Annex 3 - Concept note: Revised proposals for simplification and streamlining of the CDM (first batch)
- Annex 4 - Concept note: Further development of the UNFCCC regional collaboration centres
- Annex 5 - CDM Executive Board workplan 2015
- Annex 6 - Synthesis report of the annual activity reports submitted by the DOEs 2014–2015
- Annex 7 - Concept note: Performance monitoring indicators of DOEs
- Annex 8 - Concept note: Approaches to reduce the accreditation fees charged to DOEs
- Annex 9 - Concept note: Methodology digitization tool for automatic generation of project and programme design document
- Annex 10 - Concept note: Exploration of methodological options for developing ‘agriculture CDM’
- Annex 11 - Applicability of sectoral scopes
- Annex 12 - Draft Standardized baseline: Baseline woody biomass consumption for household cookstoves in Burundi

- - - - -

### Document information

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
01.1	16 November 2015	Editorial revision to adjust actions and titles of the annexes in paragraphs 12, 19 and 21 and, in consequence, the list of annexes in page 15.
01.0	9 November 2015	Initial publication

Decision Class: Operational  
Document Type: Annotated agenda  
Business Function: Governance  
Keywords: Annotated agenda, annotations, EB.