

CDM-EB90-AA

Annotated agenda

CDM Executive Board ninetieth meeting

Version 01.0

Date of meeting: 18 to 22 July 2016

Place of meeting: Bonn, Germany



United Nations
Framework Convention on
Climate Change

ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA	3
AGENDA ITEM 1. AGENDA AND MEETING ORGANIZATION	3
Agenda item 1.1. Opening	3
Agenda item 1.2. Adoption of the agenda	3
AGENDA ITEM 2. GOVERNANCE AND MANAGEMENT MATTERS	3
Agenda item 2.1. Membership issues	3
Agenda item 2.2. Strategic planning and direction	3
Agenda item 2.3. Performance management.....	5
Agenda item 2.4. Matters related to the Board and its support structure	6
Agenda item 2.5. Operations of panels and working groups.....	7
AGENDA ITEM 3. RULINGS (CASE SPECIFIC)	7
Agenda item 3.1. Accreditation	7
Agenda item 3.2. Registration.....	8
Agenda item 3.3. Issuance.....	8
Agenda item 3.4. Other rulings	8
AGENDA ITEM 4. REGULATORY MATTERS.....	10
Agenda item 4.1. Standards/tools	10
Agenda item 4.2. Procedures.....	14
Agenda item 4.3. Policy issues	14
AGENDA ITEM 5. RELATION WITH FORUMS AND OTHER STAKEHOLDERS	14
AGENDA ITEM 6. OTHER MATTERS	16
AGENDA ITEM 7. CONCLUSION OF THE MEETING	16

Annotations to the proposed agenda

Agenda item 1. Agenda and meeting organization

Agenda item 1.1. Opening

1. ► **Action:** The Chair of the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism (CDM) (hereinafter referred to as the Board) shall ascertain whether: (a) the members present constitute a quorum; and (b) the absence of any member or alternate member is “without proper justification”.¹

Background: According to the Rules of procedure of the Board, at least two-thirds of the members of the Board, representing a majority of members from Parties included in Annex I to the Convention and a majority of members from Parties not included in Annex I must be present to constitute a quorum.

Agenda item 1.2. Adoption of the agenda

2. ► **Action:** Members may wish to adopt the proposed agenda for the ninetieth meeting.

Background: At its previous meeting, the Board agreed on items to be included on the provisional agenda for its ninetieth meeting. The proposed agenda was transmitted to the Board on 27 June, 2016, and thereafter posted on the UNFCCC CDM website in accordance with rule 21 of the Rules of procedure of the Board.

Agenda item 2. Governance and management matters

Agenda item 2.1. Membership issues

3. ► **Action:** Members of the Board may wish to provide statements on any conflict of interest concerning the issues on the agenda for the meeting, and take action, as appropriate.

Background: In accordance with the request by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol (CMP), through its decision 2/CMP.5, to publish statements of conflict of interest on the UNFCCC CDM website, members and alternate members are requested to disclose whether they have any conflict of interest relating to the work of the Board at each meeting.

Agenda item 2.2. Strategic planning and direction

4. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of an oral report on the latest carbon market and policy developments.

¹ Rule 28 of the “Rules of procedure of the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism”, decision 4/CMP.1.

Background: The Board, through its management plan (MAP) 2016, agreed to receive regular updates on developments and prospects in the carbon market and related governmental policies from the secretariat.

5. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance on the concept note on the “Expansion of the scope and scale of the Regional Collaboration Centres’ (RCCs) assistance activities in developing countries”, as contained in annex 1 to these annotations.

Background: By its decision 6/CMP.11, paragraph 26, the CMP requested the Board to expand, taking into account the transparent and prudent management of the resources of the CDM, the scope and scale of RCC’ assistance activities in developing countries by exploring the emerging technical and methodological areas in the context of the CDM, where specific assistance is identified as needed by the countries underrepresented in the mechanism. Additionally, at its eighty-seventh meeting, the Board requested the secretariat to ensure the use of efficiency measures to continue delivering on the objectives and goals of the RCCs.

6. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance on the concept note on the “Financing the CDM through international finance institutions”, as contained in annex 2 to these annotations.

Background: By its decision 6/CMP.11, paragraph 8, the CMP encouraged the Board to explore opportunities for financing the CDM through international climate financing institutions, such as the Green Climate Fund, taking into account the transparent and prudent management of the resources of the CDM, and report back to the CMP at its twelfth session. The Board, at its eighty-eighth meeting, requested the secretariat to develop a more concrete proposal for work, taking its feedback into account. Furthermore, the Board hosted a half-day, in-session workshop at SB 44 in May 2016 entitled “Exploring financing and use of the CDM by international climate finance institutions”. The report of the workshop is included as an appendix to the concept note.

7. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance on the concept note on the “Analysis of the need for measures to ensure the continued participation of DOEs, in particular in regions underrepresented in the CDM”, as contained in annex 3 to these annotations.

Background: By its decision 6/CMP.11, paragraph 11, the CMP requested the Board to analyse the need for measures to ensure the continued participation of Designated Operational Entities (DOEs) in the CDM, in particular in regions underrepresented in the CDM, taking into account the transparent and prudent management of the resources of the CDM. The note has been prepared in consultation with the CDM Accreditation Panel (CDM-AP).

8. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance on the concept note on the “Analysis of impacts on distributing the accreditation fee across the five-year accreditation term (jointly by CDM-AP and secretariat)”, as contained in annex 4 to these annotations.

Background: At its eighty-seventh meeting, the Board requested the secretariat and the CDM-AP to jointly analyse impacts of distributing accreditation fees charged to DOEs

evenly across the five-year accreditation term to increase their predictability by spreading out the initial accreditation fee over the five-year accreditation cycle, and to provide recommendations to the Board. The recommendations in the concept note have been jointly prepared by the CDM-AP and secretariat.

9. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance on the concept note on “How the information specified in decision 3/CMP.1, appendix D, paragraph 12 can be best made publicly available”, as contained in annex 5 to these annotations.

Background: By its decision 6/CMP.11, paragraph 24, the CMP requested the Board to examine how the information specified in paragraph 12 of appendix D to decision 3/CMP.1 can be best made publicly available, and the implications thereof. The Board, at its eighty-ninth meeting, considered this matter and requested the secretariat to prepare a revised proposal, further elaborating the possible reporting formats of certified emission reduction (CER) transactions, taking into account the principles of confidentiality and transparency.

Agenda item 2.3. Performance management

10. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the updated “CDM Executive Board workplan 2016”, as contained in annex 6 to these annotations.

Background: The Board, at its eighty- eighth meeting, approved its workplan for 2016 and requested the secretariat to include in future versions of the workplan any new mandates arising from meetings and to provide an update on the status of work at each meeting.

11. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance for the preparation of the annual report of the Board to the CMP, especially with respect to key messages to be conveyed to the CMP.

Background: In accordance with decisions 1/CMP.2 and 2/CMP.3, the Board’s annual report to the CMP is to be finalized at the next (i.e. the ninety-first) meeting of the Board. At this present meeting, the Board is to agree on the messages to be conveyed to the CMP, before considering the final draft of the report at its next meeting.

12. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the concept note on the “Additional key performance indicators (KPIs)”, as contained in annex 7 to these annotations.

Background: At its eighty-fourth meeting, the Board agreed on an initial list of core KPIs. The Board further requested the secretariat to amend this list with an additional finance-related indicator, such as actual expenditure versus budget, as well as suitable qualitative indicator(s) focused on the performance of the process for answering queries from external stakeholders for consideration by the Board at the mid-year review of the MAP 2016, with a view to implementing these KPIs by the end of that year.

13. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the “Status of CDM MAP 2016 implementation”, as contained in annex 8 to these annotations.

Background: The Board, at its eighty-seventh meeting, adopted its two-year business plan 2016–2017 and MAP 2016 and agreed to conduct a mid-year review of the MAP

2016 to assess its implementation and refine, if necessary, the future direction of work and associated budget.

14. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance on the current additional benefits of members and alternate members of the CDM Executive Board.

Background: By its decision 6/CMP.11, paragraph 28, the CMP requested the Board to ensure the transparent and prudent management of the resources of the CDM, including the spending for those serving on the Board.

15. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance on the concept note on “Improving the user-friendliness of the sustainable development (SD) co-benefits tool” and take note of information provided on “Communicating the sustainable development co-benefits of CDM project activities and programmes of activities to the public”, as contained in annex 9 and annex 10 respectively, to these annotations.

Background: Following the request by the CMP, through its decision 6/CMP.11, paragraphs 21 and 22, the Board was encouraged to continue its efforts in communicating the sustainable development co-benefits of the CDM project activities and programmes of activities (PoAs) to the public, and to improve the user-friendliness of the existing sustainable development co-benefits tool.

Agenda item 2.4. Matters related to the Board and its support structure

16. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the information note on “Improvements to the secretariat's lessons learnt infrastructure”, as contained in annex 11 to these annotations.

Background: At its eighty-seventh meeting, the Board requested the secretariat, through its MAP 2016, to further enhance its infrastructure for lessons learned through the project referred to as “Systematic learning system/enhancing quality by institutionalizing regular accountability”.

17. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of an oral update on the status of the current levels of pendency of submissions of requests for registration and issuance.

Background: The Board, at its eighty-eighth meeting, requested the secretariat to prioritize assessment of registration and issuance submissions. The Board further requested the secretariat to report back on the impact of measures taken and the status of the pendency at a future meeting.

18. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note an oral update on the evaluation of the CDM loan scheme.

Background: The Board, at its eighty-ninth meeting, took note of an oral update on the status of the external evaluation of the CDM loan scheme and also noted that a further update would be provided at the ninetieth meeting of the Board.

19. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the recommendation by the CDM-AP related to the International Organization for Standardization (ISO).

Background: The CDM-AP, at its last meeting, discussed potential areas of interest between the work of the Board and the ISO, agreed to recommendations to be forwarded to the Board.

Agenda item 2.5. Operations of panels and working groups

(a). CDM Accreditation Panel

20. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the report of the 75th meeting of the CDM-AP.²

Background: The CDM-AP held its 75th meeting from 28 to 30 June 2016, in Bonn.

(b). Methodologies Panel

21. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the report of the 70th meeting of the Methodologies Panel (MP).³

Background: The MP held its 70th meeting from 20 to 24 June 2016, in Bonn and addressed: case-specific issues; methodological clarifications; guidance; and other issues.

(c). Small-Scale Working Group

22. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the report of the 51st meeting of the Small-Scale Working Group (SSC WG).⁴

Background: The SSC WG held its 51st meeting on 20 to 23 June 2016, in Bonn and addressed: case-specific issues, methodological clarifications, guidance and other issues.

Agenda item 3. Rulings (case specific)

Agenda item 3.1. Accreditation

23. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to:
- (a) Take note of notifications from the CDM-AP on the completion of regular surveillance assessments;
 - (b) Take note of notifications from the CDM-AP on the completion of performance assessments;
 - (c) Consider recommendations of the CDM-AP on other issues;

² <<https://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/accreditation/index.html>>.

³ <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/index.html>>.

⁴ <http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ssc_wg/index.html>.

- (d) Take note of a notification from a DOE on partial voluntary withdrawal of their accreditation status.

Background: In accordance with the accreditation procedure, the accreditation-related recommendations and the supporting documentation will be sent to the Board.

Agenda item 3.2. Registration

24. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the progress made in the registration of project activities and PoAs.

Background: The information available in the section on “project search” of the UNFCCC CDM website⁵ indicates the status of project activities submitted for registration. The information available in the section on “Programme of Activities” on the UNFCCC CDM website⁶ indicates the status of PoAs submitted for registration, as a single CDM project activity.

Agenda item 3.3. Issuance

25. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the progress made in issuance for project activities, PoAs and the operation of the CDM registry.

Background: The information available in the section on “Issuance of CERs” on the UNFCCC CDM website⁷ indicates the status of requests for issuance. Information on the status of CERs issued for PoAs is available in the section on “Issuance of CERs for PoAs” of the UNFCCC CDM website.⁸

Agenda item 3.4. Other rulings

26. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider one request for the renewal of the crediting period for a project, as listed in table 1 below, for which a review has been commenced.

Background: Three or more members of the Board or a Party involved requested a review of the request for renewal of the crediting period for a project listed in table 1 below. The subsequent assessments by the secretariat and the Registration and Issuance Team (RIT) contained different proposed decisions (i.e. one to approve and the other to reject), or the same proposed decisions (either to approve, or to reject) but an objection was received from a member of the Board.

⁵ <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/projsearch.html>>.

⁶ <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/ProgrammeOfActivities/index.html>>.

⁷ <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>>.

⁸ <http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance/cers_iss.html>.

Table 1. Request for renewal of a crediting period case for which assessments by the secretariat and the RIT contain different proposed decisions or an objection by a member of the Board was received.

Ref no.	Title
1519	Surat Thani Biomass Power Generation Project in Thailand

27. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider one request for authorization for the DOE to perform a verification activity for the same CDM project activity for which it has performed validation, as listed in table 2 below.

Background: In accordance with the “CDM project cycle procedure” (PCP), the Board shall decide whether to approve or to reject the request for the renewal of the crediting period. In addition, as per the PCP, the secretariat prepared and sent to the Board the documentation received from a DOE on the request for authorization to perform verification activities for the same project activity for which they have performed validation, as listed in table 2 below. A notification that these cases will be placed on the agenda of this meeting was sent to the Board.

Table 2. Requests for authorization for the DOE to perform a verification activity for the same project activity for which it has performed the validation

Ref no.	Title
1620	Hedcor Sibulan 24.5 MW Hydroelectric Power Project

28. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider one request for post-registration changes, as listed in table 3 below.

Background: The secretariat prepared and sent to the Board a summary note on the request for approval of changes including a notification that the case will be placed on the agenda of this meeting of the Board. In accordance with the PCP, the Board shall decide whether to approve or reject the changes.

Table 3. Request for post-registration changes

Ref no.	Title
PRC-0165-001	ESTRE's Paulinia Landfill Gas Project (EPLGP)

29. **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the request from a DOE on whether a revised project design document (PDD) should be published for global stakeholder consultation.

Background: In accordance with paragraph 26 of the PCP (version 09.0), the Board considered electronically the request for guidance from a DOE on whether a specific revised PDD had to be published for global stakeholder consultation since the CDM project activity underwent changes after the publication of the PDD. Based on the objection raised by a member, the proposed electronic decision on a change to the design of a project activity is included in the agenda of the Board meeting, following rule 30, paragraph 3 of the Rules of Procedure of the Board.

Agenda item 4. Regulatory matters

Agenda item 4.1. Standards/tools

(a). Standards for CDM project activities and programmes of activities

30. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance on a concept note on the “Progress of the work on the simplification and streamlining of the CDM”, as contained in annex 12 to these annotations.

Background: At its eighty-ninth meeting, the Board requested the secretariat to present the work-in-progress documents on the areas of simplification and streamlining of the CDM that require further consideration by the Board, at its ninetieth meeting. The Board also requested the secretariat to explore options and develop draft procedures for inclusion of changes to the modalities of communication process in the next revision of the PCP. With regard to the simplification of the registration process, the Board, at its eighty-eighth meeting, requested the secretariat to analyse the secretariat’s current operation of completeness check and information and reporting check for requests for registration, including their scheduling time and identify areas for improvement with a view to shortening the process time and increase the predictability.

31. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft “Standardized registration templates for automatically additional project activities”, as contained in annex 13 to these annotations.

Background: At its eighty-ninth meeting, the Board requested the secretariat to prepare revisions of the forms for consideration at the next meeting of the Board.

32. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance on a concept note on the “Development of standards with a methodological framework for specific project types and sectors”, as contained in annex 1 to the MP 70 report.

Background: The Board, at its eighty-eighth meeting, requested the MP, in consultation with the SSC WG, to identify potential project types and sectors for further work, for consideration by the Board at a future meeting. In pursuing the development of these standards, the Board further requested the MP to draw on the experience and lessons learned with the “Guidelines for establishment of sector specific standardized baseline” and to consider using elements of these guidelines and the work undertaken in this regard.

33. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance on a concept note on the “Approaches for additionality demonstration”, as contained in annex 14 to these annotations.

Background: The Board, at its eighty-fifth meeting, requested the secretariat to prepare a concept note in consultation with the MP and SSC WG, for its consideration at a future meeting assessing the possibility and potential implications of, amongst others, introducing probabilistic approaches for additionality and determining criteria for negative lists and developing negative lists of project types that are clearly not additional.

34. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance on the concept note on the “Gap analysis to the application of the existing combined tool and its potential expansion”, as contained in annex 18 to the MP 70 report.

Background: The Board, at its eighty-fifth meeting, requested the MP to identify cases not covered by the combined tool, and challenges in making the tool applicable to these cases, and to determine how they could be addressed and broaden the combined tool’s application to cover as wide a scope, as possible. The note was prepared in consultation with the SSC WG.

35. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance on a concept note on the “Further development of the CDM in urban sectors (jointly by MP, SSC WG, and secretariat)”, as contained in annex 15 to these annotations.

Background: By its decision 4/CMP.10, paragraph 6, the CMP encouraged the Board to continue its work on the simplification and streamlining of baseline and monitoring methodologies, with the aim of reducing transaction costs for all project activities and POAs, taking into account that countries, regions and sub-regions underrepresented in the CDM are especially affected by high transaction costs.

36. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance on a concept note on the “Cost-effective approaches for monitoring – Revision to methodologies, tools and regulations (jointly by MP and SSC WG)”, as contained in annex 19 to the MP 70 report.

Background: By its decision 6/CMP.11, paragraph 15, the CMP requested the Board to develop more cost-effective and context-appropriate approaches for monitoring, reporting and verification, with a focus on project activities involving households and communities.

(b). Methodological standards for large-scale CDM project activities

(i). Revisions to approved methodologies and tools (large-scale)

37. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the recommendations of the MP to revise the “Methodological tool: Project and leakage emissions from biomass”, as contained in annex 4 of the MP 70 report.

Background: The Board, at its eighty-seventh meeting, requested the MP to revise the tool by broadening its applicability to cover biomass cultivated in forests on land where there was a cultivated forest at the end of its last rotation.

38. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the recommendations of the MP to revise the following approved methodologies, that refer to the revised methodological tools: “Tool to calculate baseline, project and/or leakage emissions from electricity consumption and monitoring of electricity generation” and “Tool to determine the mass flow of a greenhouse gas in a gaseous stream”, as contained in annexes 5 – 12 to the MP 70 report.

- (a) “ACM0025: Construction of a new natural gas power plant”;
- (b) “AM0018: Baseline methodology for steam optimization systems”;

- (c) “AM0037: Flare (or vent) reduction and utilization of gas from oil wells as a feedstock”;
- (d) “AM0045: Grid connection of isolated electricity systems”;
- (e) “AM0052: Increased electricity generation from existing hydropower stations through decision support system optimization”;
- (f) “AM0058: Introduction of a district heating system”;
- (g) “AM0059: in GHGs emission from primary aluminium smelters”;
- (h) “AM0060: Power saving through replacement by energy efficient chillers”.

Background: The Board, at its eighty-seventh meeting, requested the MP to review approved methodologies to identify which ones could refer to the revised “Methodological tool: Tool to calculate baseline, project and/or leakage emissions from electricity consumption” and “Methodological tool: Tool to determine the mass flow of a greenhouse gas in a gaseous stream”, with a view to ensuring consistent guidance in the methodologies and the methodological tools. The Board also requested the MP to recommend revisions to related methodologies, where required, for its consideration at a future meeting.

(c). Methodological standard for small-scale CDM project activities

(i). Revisions to approved methodologies and tools (small-scale)

39. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the recommendations of the SSC WG to revise the following approved methodologies:

- (a) “AMS-I.E: Switch from non-renewable biomass for thermal applications by the user”, as contained in annex 2 to the SSC WG 51 report. The draft revision of the methodology:
 - (i) Includes default values for baseline fuel wood consumption per person;
 - (ii) Introduces the monitoring table;
 - (iii) Includes the procedures to quantify baseline woody biomass consumption for the entire household.
- (b) “AMS-II.G: Energy efficiency measures in thermal applications of non-renewable biomass”, as contained in annex 3 to the SSC WG report of its 51st meeting. The draft revision of the methodology includes the procedures to quantify baseline woody biomass consumption for the entire household.
- (c) “AMS-III.AJ “Recovery and recycling of materials from solid wastes”, as contained in annex 1 to the SSC WG 51 report. The draft revision:
 - (i) Covers the recycling of container glass;

- (ii) Adopts a conservative default specific electricity consumption for the production of a tonne of container glass (using virgin materials) up to the pre-melting stages;
- (iii) Covers the container glass manufacturing plants supplied with raw materials originating from the host country or imported from another non-Annex I country.

Background: Based on the experience gained in the assessment of various bottom-up and top-down standardized baselines in the cookstove methodologies, the SSC WG has revised AMS-I.E and AMS-II.G. The revision of the methodology AMS-III.A.J is a bottom-up revision submitted in accordance with the "Procedure: Development, revision and clarification of baseline and monitoring methodologies and methodological tools".

40. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the recommendations of the SSC WG in relation to the fraction of non-renewable biomass (fNRB), as contained in paragraphs 29 and 30 of the SSC WG 51 report, as follows:

- (a) The default fNRB values approved by the Board should expire after five years from the date of their approval;
- (b) The "Procedure: Development, revision, clarification and update of standardized baselines" may be used by the Designated National Authorities (DNAs) to propose an update to the above standardized baselines on fNRB values as a preferred option;
- (c) Initiate top-down work to update the standardized baselines in order to complement the work by the DNAs.

Background: While discussing the revision of the approved methodologies AMS- I.E and AMS-II.G, SSC WG 51 discussed the values for the fraction of non-renewable biomass (fNRB) and proposed recommendations to include an expiry date for the fNRB values, allow DNAs to update fNRB values using the approved procedure, as well as update the standardized baselines.

41. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance to the SSC WG on whether to expand the scope of approved cookstove methodologies to cover the shift from non-renewable biomass to low-carbon intensive fossil fuels, as contained in para 31 of SSC WG 51 meeting report.

Background: The SSC WG 51 noted that some stakeholders and DNAs have requested development of new/revised methodologies for switching from non-renewable biomass (NRB) cookstoves to liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) cookstoves, and therefore considered expanding the scope of the methodologies to cover the shift from non-renewable biomass to low-carbon intensive fossil fuels such as LPG. It noted that approved cookstove methodologies (e.g. AMS-I.E and AMS-II.G) are based on a projected baseline scenario that includes fossil fuels.

(ii). Clarifications (small-scale)

42. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the recommendation of the SSC WG regarding the response prepared for a request for clarification to an approved methodology, for the case below:

- (a) SSC_722: AMS-I.D, Clarification on the accounting of emission reductions for projects involving capacity additions.

Background: The recommendation agreed by the SSC WG is contained in paragraph 44 of its 51st meeting report, which is available on the UNFCCC CDM website.⁹ Information on the clarifications to methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website.¹⁰

Agenda item 4.2. Procedures

43. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to note that there are no procedures to be considered at this meeting.

Agenda item 4.3. Policy issues

44. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider any policy issues triggered by the consideration of registration and issuance cases.

Background: Following a request by the Board, policy issues that come up from the discussions during this meeting may be included for consideration, including policy issues encountered through the experience of the assessment of cases.

45. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider a policy recommendation related to the post registration changes provisions in the “CDM Project Standard”, where, changes have occurred to the project design of a registered CDM project activity, or programme design of a registered PoA or CDM programme activity.

Background: In accordance with paragraph 170 of the CDM PCP (version 9.0), the secretariat sought input from the MP at its seventieth meeting (MP-70) on the PRC request of PA-PRC-0165, “ESTRE’s Paulínia Landfill Gas Project (EPLGP)”. The change in the project design of this PRC request leads to significant increase in size of the landfill as well as CERs compared to the original registered PDD. In this regard, the secretariat and the MP seek the Board’s consideration of a policy issue triggered by requests for post registration changes involving design changes to a CDM Project activity or PoA, and provide guidance on ways to address such requests.

Agenda item 5. Relations with forums and other stakeholders

46. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance on a concept note on “Assistance to DNAs for development of guidelines for local stakeholder consultation”, as contained in annex 16 to these annotations.

Background: By its decision 3/CMP.9, paragraph 20, the CMP requested the Board, with the support of the secretariat, to provide technical assistance to DNAs, upon their request, for the development of guidelines for local stakeholder consultation in their

⁹ <https://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ssc_wg/index.html>

¹⁰ <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/SSCmethodologies/clarifications>>

countries. The Board, at its eighty-ninth meeting, took note of a request for assistance from a DNA (Haiti), to develop guidelines for local stakeholder consultations and requested the secretariat to prepare a concept note on the issue for consideration by the Board at a future meeting.

47. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of external inputs received on the annotated agenda of this Board meeting.

Background: Following the “Procedure: Direct communication with stakeholders”, stakeholders have the opportunity to provide comments on the annotated agenda. Comments received will be posted on the UNFCCC CDM website.

48. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to interact with the Chair of the DOE/Accredited Independent Entity (AIE) Coordination Forum.

Background: The “Modalities and procedures for direct communication with stakeholders” provide for the Chair of the DOE/AIE Coordination Forum to interact with the Board at each meeting.

49. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of activities undertaken with regard to stakeholders, DNAs, Applicant Entities (AEs) and DOEs.

Background: In accordance with the “Procedure: Direct communication with stakeholders”, the Board and its support structure are to provide avenues for interaction with, as well as to provide information and capacity-building to stakeholders, such as DNAs, AEs, and DOEs.

50. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the following submissions received from stakeholders and agree on any actions, as appropriate:

- (a) INQ- 04875;
- (b) INQ-04876;
- (c) INQ-04942.

Background: In accordance with the “Modalities and procedures for direct communication with stakeholders”, the Board and its support structure are required to consult with stakeholders on policy matters that have a significant impact on them. The secretariat, in consultation with the Chair, shall bring to the attention of the Board communications on policy, methodological issues and administrative issues when they require the attention or further guidance of the Board.

51. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to interact with the registered observers.

Background: In accordance with the “Procedure: Direct communication with stakeholders”, the Board shall allocate a time slot for interaction with registered observers to the meeting. Such interactions should be limited to discussions on policy and general issues, but should not include case-specific matters relating to the agenda items of that meeting.

Agenda item 6. Other matters

52. ▶ **Action:** The Board may wish to consider any other business it deems necessary.

Agenda item 7. Conclusion of the meeting

53. ▶ **Action:** The Board may wish to adopt the report of the ninetieth meeting.

Background: The Chair will summarize the meeting and adopt the report, including references to any decisions taken.

Annexes to the annotations

- Annex 1 - Concept note: Expansion of the scope and scale of Regional Collaboration Centres' assistance activities in developing countries
- Annex 2 - Concept note: Financing the CDM through international climate finance institutions
- Annex 3 - Concept note: Analysis of the need for measures to ensure the continued participation of DOEs, in particular, in regions underrepresented in the CDM
- Annex 4 - Concept note: Analysis of impacts on distributing the accreditation fee across the five-year accreditation term (jointly by CDM-AP and secretariat)
- Annex 5 - Concept note: How the information specified in decision 3/CMP.1, appendix D, paragraph 12, can be best made publicly available
- Annex 6 - CDM Executive Board workplan 2016
- Annex 7 - Concept note: Additional key performance indicators
- Annex 8 - Status of CDM MAP 2016 implementation
- Annex 9 - Concept note: Improving the user-friendliness of the sustainable development co-benefits tool
- Annex 10 - Communicating the sustainable development co-benefits of CDM project activities and programmes of activities to the public
- Annex 11 - Improvements to the secretariat's lessons learnt infrastructure
- Annex 12 - Concept note: Progress of the work on the simplification and streamlining of the CDM
- Annex 13 - Standardized registration templates for automatically additional project activities
- Annex 14 - Concept note: Approaches for additionality demonstration
- Annex 15 - Concept note: Further development of CDM in urban sectors (jointly by MP, SSC WG and secretariat)
- Annex 16 - Concept note: Assistance to DNAs for development of guidelines for local stakeholder consultation

- - - - -

Document information

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
01.0	4 July 2016	Initial publication
Decision Class: Operational Document Type: Annotated agenda Business Function: Governance Keywords: Annotated agenda, annotations, EB.		