

TÜV NORD CERT GmbH • P.O. Box 10 32 61 • 45032 Essen • Germany

CDM team
UNFCCC Secretariat

TÜV NORD CERT GmbH

Langemarckstrasse 20
45141 Essen
Germany

Phone: +49 201 825-0
Fax: +49 201 825-2517

Info.tncert@tuev-nord.de
www.tuev-nord-cert.com

TÜV®

Our / Your Reference

Contact
Stefan Winter
E-Mail: swinter@tuev-nord.de

Direct Dial
Phone: 3329
Fax: -2392

Date
21.12.2020

**Response to Incompleteness Notification regarding the Request for Issuance of PoA 5341:
"Impact Carbon Global Safe Water Programme of Activities (PoA)" (UNFCCC Ref. no.
PoA5341), notification received on 04/12/2020**

Dear CDM team,

Please find below the response of the TÜV NORD JI/CDM Certification Program regarding the - info and reporting check incomplete for the above mentioned POA.

With regard to this response, we would kindly request you to continue with the request for issuance process as no change are necessitated in the already submitted MR, ER sheet but a revised FVR with regards to application of lower bound has been added. The documents submitted are deemed complete against the notification received. If you have any questions do not hesitate to contact us.

Yours sincerely,



Stefan Winter
Head of TÜV NORD JI/CDM Certification Program

Headquarters
TÜV NORD CERT GmbH
Langemarckstraße 20
45141 Essen
Phone: +49 201 825-0
Fax: +49 201 825-2517
info.tncert@tuev-nord.de
www.tuev-nord-cert.com

Director
Dipl.-Ing. Wolfgang Wielepütz
Dipl.-Oec. Sandra Gerhartz

Registration Office
Amtsgericht Essen
HRB 9976
VAT No.: DE 811389923
Tax No.: 111/5706/2193

Deutsche Bank AG, Essen
BIC (SWIFT-Code): DEUTDE33XXX
IBAN-Code: DE 26 3607 0050 0607 8950 00



Request for Issuance Incomplete Reason	
Scope and Issue raised by the UNFCCC Secretariat:	<p>The DOE (p 32) states that "The verification team concludes that all sampled parameters have been calculated correctly in line with the registered corresponding CPA-DDs and the sampling standard. For all the parameters, the achieved relative precision of 10% and 95% confidence level is demonstrated to be met." The monitoring report (p 18) shows that the precision of "fold wood" is above 10% and applies the lower bound. The DOE is required to provide further information on how it verified the application of the lower bound is in line with the paragraph 18 of the standard for sampling and surveys ver. 8 which states that taking the lower or the upper bound, whatever is the more conservative, is only eligible for the application to the survey undertaken during the first two years of the crediting period of the CDM project activity or component project activities (CPAs) (if sampling is undertaken at the PoA level, the two-year limit applies from the start date of crediting to the PoA).</p> <p>Please submit revised documentation addressing the points above by logging into your CDM account and uploading directly to the record for this request.</p>
Response by DOE:	<p>§18 of the Standard: Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programmes of activities Version 08.0, (hereinafter referred as "Standard") states the following:</p> <p><u>"If the estimates from the actual samples fail to achieve the target minimum levels of precision,¹⁶ the project participants or the coordinating/managing entity shall either:..."</u></p> <p>The referenced footnote 16, states the following:</p> <p><u>"The range of confidence and precision of data collected through sampling should be computed to see if the stipulated requirements in paragraph 10 are met."</u></p> <p>§ 10 of the Standard, states the following:</p> <p><u>"Requirements for sampling are defined either in the applicable CDM methodology or in the paragraphs below, with the applied methodology having precedence."</u></p> <p>Thus, the Standard states that provisions related to sampling, defined in the applied CDM methodology, (AMS-II.G. version 03, in this case), takes precedence over §18 of the Standard. Therefore, §22 of the applied methodology is applicable and it:</p> <p><u>"Representative sampling methods</u> <u>22. In cases where survey results indicate that 90/10 precision or 95/5 precision is not achieved, the lower bound of a 90% or 95% confidence interval of the parameter value may be chosen as an alternative to repeating the survey efforts to achieve the 90/10 or 95/5 precision."</u></p> <p>Thus, the application of lower bound, in case desired precision is not achieved, against repeating the survey efforts, is deemed in compliance with the applied methodology and thus, § 10 of the Standard: Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programmes of activities Version 08.0.</p> <p>Based on the aforesaid, no change in the MR or ER sheet is required, however, verification report further included with the statement related to parameter where precision was not found to be met. CME has already appropriately applied the lower bound.</p>