



**CDM project activity/programme of activities
issuance request review form
(Version 03.0)**

SECTION 1: GENERAL INFORMATION

| | |
|--|--|
| Designated national authority or Executive Board member submitting this form | Request 4 |
| Title and UNFCCC reference number of the project activity or programme of activities (PoA) | 5962-International water purification programme |
| Titles and reference numbers of the component project activities (CPAs) covered by the request for issuance (For PoA only. Add rows as necessary.) | CPA- 0001: Gravity Driven Membrane Filters in Uganda - CPA 1 |
| | CPA-0002: Chlorine Dispensers in Uganda – CPA 2 |
| | CPA-0003: Chlorine Dispensers in Uganda – CPA 3 |
| | CPA-0008: Chlorine Dispensers in Uganda – CPA 9 |
| | CPA-0009: Chlorine Dispensers in Uganda – CPA 10 |
| | CPA-0017: Chlorine Dispensers in Uganda – CPA 21 |
| | CPA-0018: Chlorine Dispensers in Uganda – CPA 22 |
| Date of the request for issuance | Date 05/02/2019 |
| Monitoring period to which the request for issuance applies | Start date – end date (01/06/16-31/12/17) |
| UNFCCC reference number of the request for issuance (For PoA only) | 5962-MP4-IRP2 |

SECTION 2: BASIS FOR REVIEW REQUEST

Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 65 of the CDM modalities and procedures (the annex to decision 3/CMP.1), the issues regarding which you request for review. Please tick the applicable boxes.

☐

Fraud

☐

Malfeasance

☒

Incompetence

SECTION 3: COMMENTS SUPPORTING REVIEW REQUEST

Please elaborate the reason for requesting a review on the issues you indicated in section 2 above

1) The DOE determined an acceptance number of 2/62 (for the parameter "Fraction of water treated with the dispenser that is actually drunk (Drink%)" and 1/28 (for the parameter "Water quality"). During the on-site survey, the DOE observed greater discrepancy records of 12/62 for Drink% and 4/28 for the water quality and the CME's set of records were not accepted. The DOE, vide CARs 7 & 8, has explained that a T-test was adopted which demonstrated that the CME's/DOE's survey results are not significantly different (for Drink%) and in case of the water quality, there are chances of cross-contamination during water handling by the households but based on the DOE's sample, 80% of users get quality water. The DOE's survey results of 96.1% (for Drink%) and 82.75% (for water quality) were therefore adopted as the correction factors to eliminate the bias between the CME and DOE set of records. The DOE further verify how it considered application of discount factors to be in compliance with paragraph 32 of the Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programmes of activities ver.07 which requires the DOE not to accept the CME's set of records if greater discrepant records are observed. Please refer to Paragraph 32 of the Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programmes of activities ver.07.

April 25, 2019

Document information

| <i>Version</i> | <i>Date</i> | <i>Description</i> |
|---|------------------|---|
| 03.0 | 20 June 2017 | Structural and editorial improvement. |
| 02.0 | 17 March 2015 | Revision to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include the reference number of the request for issuance for the registered CDM PoA; • Change the symbol from F-CDM-IR to CDM-ISSR-FORM and minor editorial improvements; • Editorial improvement. |
| 01.1 | 13 March 2012 | EB 66. Editorial changes to include new symbol, logo and other improvements. |
| 01.0 | 15 December 2006 | Initial publication. |
| Decision Class: Regulatory Document Type: Form Business Function: Issuance Keywords: project activities, programme of activities, request for review process | | |