




## Validation report form for post-registration changes for component project activities

(Version 02.0)

*Complete this form in accordance with the instructions attached at the end of this form.*

### BASIC INFORMATION

<b>Title and UNFCCC reference number of the component project activity (CPA)</b>	PoA for Promotion of the Improved Water Mills (IWM) in Nepal – CPA # 1
<b>Version number of the validation report</b>	2.2
<b>Completion date of the validation report</b>	20/06/2019
<b>Version number of PoA-DD and CPA-DD applicable to this validation report</b>	PoA-DD version 10.0, dated 22/04/2019 CPA-DD version 10.0, dated 22/04/2019
<b>Title and UNFCCC ref. no. of the registered PoA into which the CPA is included</b>	PoA for Promotion of the Improved Water Mills (IWM) in Nepal (UNFCCC – 9889)
<b>Type(s) of CPA PRCs</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents <input type="checkbox"/> Corrections <input type="checkbox"/> Changes to the start date of the crediting period <input type="checkbox"/> Inclusion of monitoring plan <input type="checkbox"/> Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines, or other methodological regulatory documents <input type="checkbox"/> Changes to the project design <input type="checkbox"/> Changes specific to afforestation and reforestation activities
<b>Coordinating/managing entity</b>	Alternative Energy Promotion Centre (AEPC)
<b>Host Parties</b>	Nepal
<b>Applied methodologies and standardized baselines</b>	AMS-I.B. ver. 12 - Mechanical energy for the user with or without electrical energy
<b>Mandatory sectoral scopes</b>	1: Energy industries (renewable/non-renewable sources)
<b>Conditional sectoral scopes, if applicable</b>	NA
<b>Name and UNFCCC reference number of the DOE</b>	EPIC Sustainability Services Private Limited (E-0062)
<b>Name, position and signature of the approver of the validation report</b>	Mr. Krishnachar Sudheendra  (Head - Operations)

**SECTION A. Executive summary**

&gt;&gt;

EPIC Sustainability Services Private Limited (EPIC) has been contracted by Alternative Energy Promotion Centre (AEPC) to undertake the initial periodic independent verification of the registered CDM programme of activity titled “PoA for Promotion of the Improved Water Mills (IWM) in Nepal” (UNFCCC reference number: 9889). The objectives of this verification are to verify and certify emission reductions reported for project activity for the monitoring period of 09/09/2015 to 31/12/2017 (first and last day included); and to verify that the data reported are complete and transparent. During verification, temporary deviation from the registered monitoring plan with respect to conduct of survey is identified. So, the verification scope also includes assessment of post registration changes of the project monitoring plan with respect to temporary deviation.

This validation is an independent and objective review of the temporary deviation to the registered monitoring plan in the CPA-DD for this monitoring period. The information in these documents is reviewed against the CDM Validation and Verification Standard for Programme of activities VVS-PoA (version 02) (hereinafter referred to as VVS-PoA) and Project Standard for Programme of activities (version 02) (hereinafter referred to as PS-PoA), Kyoto Protocol requirements and UNFCCC rules. The report is based on the assessment of the revised PoA-DD, version 10.0, CPA-DD 01, version 10.0, monitoring report, emission reduction spreadsheet, application of standard auditing techniques including but not limited to desk review, follow up actions (e.g., on site visit, electronic (telephone or e-mail) interviews) and also the review of the applicable approved methodological and relevant tools, guidance and CDM decisions.

The PoA involves the Promotion of the Improved Water Mills (IWM) in Nepal. The main objective of the IWM Project of AEPC in Nepal is to promote dissemination of IWM replacing existing low powered, less efficient Traditional Water Mills (TWMs) to the existing owners or new installers (potential diesel mill owners) in Nepal and to avoid possible switchover/installation to diesel based mills by new installer (potential diesel mill owners) to meet high powered milling requirements. The IWMs with increased efficiency and cost effective services to the users will help avoid installation of diesel based mills in the hilly areas. The IWM is a modified version of the TWM which translates into a higher processing capacity and possibility of providing a diverse range of services like hulling, oil expelling, saw milling, etc. Thus IWM increase energy output helping both hullers and millers.

This report summarizes the findings of the validation of the temporary deviation to the registered monitoring plan in the revised CPA-DD. EPIC has employed a risk-based approach in the validation based on the recommendations in the Validation and Verification Standard, Version 2.0, Project standard, Version 2.0, focusing on the temporary deviation to the registered monitoring plan. The validation is not meant to provide any consulting towards the client. However, the stated requests for clarifications and/or corrective actions may provide input for improvement of the monitoring plan and the project design.

**Validation summary**

The actual temporary deviation to the registered/validated monitoring plan in the CPA-DD for the current monitoring period, and assessed by the validation team, meet the provisions described in the para 228 b) of Project Standard for Programme of activities (PS-PoA), version 2.0, and it does not require prior approval by the Board.

Further, the changes to the monitoring of a registered CPA have been assessed to be as per para 1B of Appendix 2 (**Indicative list of post-registration changes that may be suitable for approval under the issuance track**) hence, approval under the issuance track is requested. Therefore, the validation team reports the post registration changes along with issuance track.

**SECTION B. Validation team, technical reviewer and approver**

&gt;&gt;

**B.1. Validation team member**

No.	Role	Type of resource	Last name	First name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)	Involvement in			
						Document review	On-site inspection	Interviews	Validation findings
1.	Team Leader	IR	Anbazhagan	Prabu Das	EPIC, Central office, Bangalore	√	√	√	√
2.	Host Country Expert	ER	Narendra	Ghimire	EPIC, Central office, Bangalore	√	√	√	√

**B.2. Technical reviewer and approver of the validation report on CPA PRCs**

No.	Role	Type of resource	Last name	First name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)
1.	Technical reviewer	IR	G	Vishnu	EPIC, Central office, Bangalore
2.	Technical Expert assisting TR also Approver-Head Operations	IR	Krishnachar	Sudheendra	EPIC, Central office, Bangalore

**SECTION C. Means of validation****C.1. Document review**

>>The validation team has reviewed the registered PoA-DD version, and the CPA-DD version 8.0 and its corresponding validation reports, monitoring report, Emission reduction spreadsheet, survey documents and additional background documents (listed in Appendix 3 of this report) submitted by the project participant and the approved revised PoA-DD version 10.0 and CPA-DD version 10.0. Based on the review, the validation team issued corrective action requests/ clarification requests, please refer to Appendix 4 of this report for the list of CAR/CLs and their closures.

**C.2. On-site inspection**

This process is part of the verification process of this CPA. For details, please refer to the section D.2 of the Verification Report to which this report is attached.

**C.3. Interviews**

This process is part of the verification process of this CPA. For details, please refer to the section D.3 of the Verification Report to which this report is attached

**C.4. Clarification requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests raised**

Areas of validation findings	No. of CL	No. of CAR	No. of FAR
Compliance with CPA-DD form	-	-	-

Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, applied methodologies or applied standardized baselines	01	-	-
Corrections	-	-	-
Changes to the start date of the crediting period	-	-	-
Inclusion of monitoring plan	-	-	-
Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines, or other applied standards or tools	-	-	-
Changes to the project design	-	-	-
Changes specific to afforestation and reforestation project activities	-	-	-
Others (please specify)	-	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>01</b>	-	-

## SECTION D. Validation findings

### D.1. Compliance with CPA-DD form

<b>Means of validation</b>	NA
<b>Findings</b>	NA
<b>Conclusion</b>	NA

### D.2. Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents

<b>Means of validation</b>	For the current MR period for CPA 01, temporary deviation to the registered monitoring plan is observed with respect to carrying out of annual survey monitoring, and this temporary deviation is assessed as per Para 228 of PS-PoA Ver 2.0, and as per para 251 - 255 of VVS-PoA version 2.0
<b>Findings</b>	CL 01 is raised
<b>Conclusion</b>	<p>The C.P of the CPA 01 starts from 09 Sep 2015, and the annual survey was conducted for the year 2016 only in Feb-April 2017, the survey results of the ex-post survey conducted in 2017 is applied for the entire year 2016. Since as per registered monitoring plan in the CPA-DD, annual surveys are to be conducted, survey for the year 2015 is not conducted in the year 2016, hence this is reported as temporary deviation from the registered monitoring plan.</p> <p><b>Duration of the deviation:</b> 09 Sep 2015 to 31 Dec 2015</p> <p><b>Conservativeness:</b> So in line with the para 228 b) of PS-PoA ver 2.0 the baseline GHG emissions are reported as zero for the entire duration of the deviation, Project and Leakage emissions are not foreseen in the CPA-DD, thus they are also not accounted. The proposed measure is conservative.</p> <p><b>Prior approval:</b> Since most conservative values approach is applied In line with the para 228 b) of PS-PoA ver 2.0, prior approval by the board is not required.</p> <p>Para 228 b) of PS-PoA ver 2.0 says</p> <p><i>Apply the following most conservative values approach when alternative monitoring arrangements are not proposed. This does not require approval by the Board:</i></p> <p><i>(i) Apply zero for baseline GHG emissions for the entire non-conforming monitoring period; and/or</i></p> <p><i>(ii) Apply the values assuming that the source of GHG emissions is operated at the maximum capacity for the entire non-conforming monitoring period. In the case of project GHG emissions related to the consumption of electricity, add 10 per cent to account for transmission and distribution losses.</i></p> <p>The condition (i) above is fulfilled as BE emissions were considered equal to zero</p>

	<p>to deviation period.</p> <p>The condition (ii) is not applicable as no PE or Leakage emissions are foreseen in this project activity.</p> <p>Thus the DoE determines that the CME has applied the most conservative values approach for the non-conforming period inline with the stated requirement in PS-PoA. This temporary deviation from the registered monitoring plan and it's assessment is verified to be meeting the requirement of Para 228 b) of PS-PoA (Changes that do not require prior approval by the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism and can be requested under issuance track) and is also as per 1b of Appendix 2 (Indicative list of post-registration changes that may be suitable for approval under the issuance track) thus accepted by the validation team.</p>
--	---

**D.3. Corrections**

<b>Means of validation</b>	NA
<b>Findings</b>	NA
<b>Conclusion</b>	NA

**D.4. Changes to the start date of the crediting period**

<b>Means of validation</b>	NA
<b>Findings</b>	NA
<b>Conclusion</b>	NA

**D.5. Inclusion of monitoring plan**

<b>Means of validation</b>	NA
<b>Findings</b>	NA
<b>Conclusion</b>	NA

**D.6. Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines, or other methodological regulatory documents**

<b>Means of validation</b>	NA
<b>Findings</b>	NA
<b>Conclusion</b>	NA

**D.7. Changes to the project design**

<b>Means of validation</b>	NA
<b>Findings</b>	NA
<b>Conclusion</b>	NA

**D.8. Changes specific to afforestation and reforestation project activities**

<b>Means of validation</b>	NA
<b>Findings</b>	NA
<b>Conclusion</b>	NA

**SECTION E. Internal quality control**

&gt;&gt;

After the completion of PRC assessment by the validation team all the relevant documentation is submitted to a qualified, Independent Technical reviewer as part of EPIC' internal quality control system. A Technical reviewer team is appointed to review the draft final validation report (Draft FVR). The comments made by the Technical reviewer team are taken into consideration and incorporated in the final FVR. The technical reviewer team assesses whether all the reporting requirements have been fulfilled and whether all the issues raised were closed satisfactorily by the validation team with justification. The technical review process can also raise issues in this regard which is resolved further by the validation team to the satisfaction of the technical reviewer. The technical reviewer team either accepts or rejects the report made by the validation

team. The final report (after resolutions of all findings) is then submitted to the Head-operations for review and approval

## **SECTION F. Validation opinion**

>>

EPIC Sustainability Services Private Limited (EPIC) has been contracted by AEPC to undertake the initial periodic independent verification of the registered CDM programme of activity titled "PoA for Promotion of the Improved Water Mills (IWM) in Nepal" (UNFCCC reference number: 9889). The objectives of this verification are to verify and certify emission reductions reported for project activity for the monitoring period of 09/09/2015 to 31/12/2017 (first and last day included). The scope of verification also includes the assessment of post registration change with respect to temporary deviation to the registered and approved monitoring plan.

The PRC validation has been performed as described in the VVS-PoA, version 02.0, PS-PoA Version 2.0 and consists of the following steps: - Review of the MR - Desk review of the revised MR, review of registered PoA-DD and revised approved PoA-DD and CPA-DD for CPA1 and the relevant documents - Site visit & Interviews - Preparation of the PRC Validation Report.

It is DOE's opinion that the revised documentation submitted is conforming to the requirements for Post Registration Changes as stipulated in the Clean Development Mechanism Validation and Verification Standard, Project Standard, version 02. The reported temporary deviation from the registered monitoring plan doesn't require prior approval by the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism, and is suitable for approval under the issuance track as per para 228 b of CDM PS-PoA for project activities, version 02.0 and are as per Appendix 2 of CDM project standard for programme of activities, version 02.0. EPIC further confirms that the temporary deviation to the registered monitoring plan is in compliance with the applied methodology AMS-I.B. ver. 12 and the Standard for Sampling and Surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities, version 04.

## Appendix 1. Abbreviations

Abbreviations	Full texts
AEPC	Alternative Energy Promotion Centre
AMS	Approved Methodology for Small-scale
BE	Baseline Emissions
CAR	Corrective Action Request
CDM	Clean Development Mechanism
CEF	Carbon Emission Factor
CER	Certified Emission Reductions
CME	Coordinating Managing Entity
CO <sub>2</sub>	Carbon Dioxide
CO <sub>2</sub> e	Carbon Dioxide Equivalent
CR	Clarification Request
DOE	Designated Operational Entity
ER	Emission Reductions
ESSPL	EPIC Sustainability Services Private Limited
FAR	Forward Action Request
GHG	Greenhouse gases
GoN	Government of Nepal
GSC	Global Stakeholder Consultation
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
IWM	Improved Water Mill
kW	Kilo Watt
LE	Leakage Emissions
MoV	Means of Verification
NA	Not applicable
PCC	Project Completion Certificate
PCP-PoA	Project Cycle Procedure - Programme of Activities
PDD	Project Design Document
PE	Project Emissions
PP	Project Participant
PRC	Post Registration Changes
PS-PoA	Project Standard - - Programme of Activities
QA/QC	Quality Assurance/Quality Control
RFP	Request for Proposal
RSC	Regional Service Centre
ToR	Terms of Reference
TWM	Traditional Water Mill
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
US	User Survey
VVS-PoA	Validation and Verification Standard - Programme of Activities

## Appendix 2. Competence of team members and technical reviewers

The following validation team has been assigned to carry out the verification of the project.

Name	Mr. Narendra Ghimire	Mr. A. Prabu Das	Dr G Vishnu	Mr. K. Sudheendra
Role	Host country expert	Auditor-Team Leader	Technical Reviewer	T.E assisting Technical Reviewer
Competence in relevant sectors	Sector 1 including TA 1.1.	Sector 1 and Sector 13 including TA 1.1. and TA 13.1	Sector 1 and Sector 13 including TA 1.1. and TA 13.1	Sector 1
Responsibility	Document review, onsite, DVR preparation, DVR resolution	Document review, DVR preparation, DVR resolution, FVR preparation	Technical review	Technical review

**Mr. A Prabu Das**, holds a Master of Technology degree in Energy Conservation and Management and Bachelor of Technology Degree in Petro-chemical Technology. He is a certified Energy Auditor by Bureau of Energy Efficiency (BEE), Government of India. He has around 11 years of work experience in Design of biomass Power plants, preparing Techno Economic Feasibility Reports (TEFR), carrying out energy audits, of which last eight years have been in CDM/GS/VCS consultancy and validation/verification services. He has participated in the validation / verification of various CDM/VCS/GS/GHG and sustainability projects globally. He has undergone extensive training on CDM validation and verification and is a qualified lead auditor for Sectoral Scope 1 under Technical Area "TA 1.2 Renewables" in accordance with procedures of EPIC sustainability services Pvt. Ltd. Further, he has been thoroughly trained in Social Carbon's latest Standard and qualified to perform social carbon validation and verification. He is also an ISO 26000 lead auditor certified by Professional Evaluation and Certification Board (PECB). He is a Certified Sustainability Assurance Professional from AccountAbility, UK. Among other qualifications, he is recognised by Gold Standard Foundation to perform fast track audits.

**Mr. Narendra Ghimire** has 10 years of experience working in the field of Hydropower sectors in various capacities. He has been extensively involved in Planning and engineering of number of hydropower projects for the development. He has served as Hydropower Engineer and Team Leader in the designing and Construction supervision of Hydropower Projects in Nepal. He has Worked as Resident Engineer and Deputy Resident Engineer for the Hydropower Projects in Nepal. He has led multi-disciplinary team of Engineers, Geologists, Economists, Sociologists and Environmental experts assigned to conduct pre-feasibility, feasibility studies and design of hydropower projects. He served as Team Leader and Design Team Leader in conducting studies of various hydropower projects. He is a qualified Technical Expert under CDM validation and verification services for Sectoral Scope 1 in accordance with procedures of EPIC Sustainability Services Pvt. Ltd.



**Dr. G. Vishnu** holds a Masters and Doctorate in Environmental Science. He has around 8 years of experience in the field of research and consultancy related to water, wastewater, solid waste management systems, implementation of new, Cleaner Production technologies and biomass assessment studies. He has more than four years' experience in validation verification of more than thirty CDM, projects and has undergone extensive training on GHG validation and verification. He is a Lead Auditor for various technical areas. He is also an ISO 26000 lead auditor and ISO 50001 auditor certified by Professional Evaluation and Certification Board (PECB). He is a Certified Sustainability Assurance Practitioner (CSAP) from AccountAbility, UK. He is qualified as Lead Auditor based on EPICs CDM accreditation procedures.

**Mr. K. Sudheendra**, holds a Bachelor's Degree in Electrical Engineering. He has more than 30 years of experience in Energy Sector. He has been trained in the CDM validation and verification processes, and he is a qualified Technical Expert as well as Technical Reviewer as per EPIC' qualification criteria.

### Appendix 3. Documents reviewed or referenced

No.	Author	Title	References to the document	Provider
1	AEPC	PoA-DD titled "PoA for Promotion of the Improved Water Mills (IWM) in Nepal", Version 8.0 and 10.0 CPA 9889-0001: PoA for Promotion of the Improved Water Mills (IWM) in Nepal, Version 8.0 and 10.0	1	Publicly available; CME
2	TUV-SUD	Validation report of PoA-DD and CPA-DD for CPA1	2	Publicly available
3	UNFCCC	AMS-I.B. ver. 12 - Mechanical energy for the user with or without electrical energy	3	Publicly available
4	UNFCCC	Validation and Verification Standard for Programme of activities, Version 2.0 Project Standard for Programme of activities, Version 2.0	4	Publicly available
5	Sustainable Energy and Technology Management P. Ltd.	IWM User Survey 2016_CPA-1	5	CME
	Universal Consultancy Service Pvt. Ltd.	IWM User Survey 2017_CPA-1 IWM User Survey 2017_CPA-2		CME
6	Third party survey team	Filled in Questionnaires_CPA 1 Filled in Questionnaires_CPA 2	6	CME
7	AEPC	RFP-User Survey 2017_IWM PoA RFP-IWM Emission reduction monitoring study_Final Approved 2016	7	CME
8	GoN	Nepal Earthquake 2015 - Post Disaster Needs Assessment, National Planning Commission, by Government of Nepal	8	CME
9	AEPC	CME Manual - PoA for Promotion of the Improved Water Mills (IWM) in Nepal, Version 3.0	9	CME
10	Regional Service Provider	Project Completion Certificate	10	CME
11	Energy Development Services P. Ltd	Determining the capacity of LS and SS IWM_EDS	11	CME
12	AEPC	IWM Installation record confirmation by Integrated Subsidy Processing Unit, AEPC	12	CME
13	AEPC	Workshop Proceeding on Orientation Workshop on Implementation Modality of IWM Technology to Relevant Stakeholders	13	CME
14	AEPC	IWM Implementation guideline	14	CME
15	DL Energy Concern Pvt. Ltd.	Manufacturing Process Manual Improved Water Mill Kit (Runner)	15	CME
16	Sustainable Energy and Technology Management P. Ltd.	Third party Monitoring/verification of installed renewable energy systems survey	16	CME
17	AEPC	MR initial Version 1.0 and Final MR version 4.0	17	CME
18	AEPC	ER Sheet initial Version 1.0 and Final ER sheet version 2.1	18	CME
19	AEPC	Database for IWMS included in the CPAs	19	CME

**CDM-CPA-PRCV-FORM**

20	UNFCCC	Standard for sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and PoAs (version 07.0)	20	Publicly available
21	UNFCCC	Guidelines on Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programmes of activities (version 03.0)	21	Publicly available
22	UNFCCC	AMS I.F. "Renewable electricity generation for captive use and mini-grid", version 03	22	Publicly available
23	EPIC	PRC report (Ver 1.4 dated 19/05/2019) of CPA02 for permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan submitted	23	EPIC
24	EPIC	PRC report (Ver 1.4 dated 19/05/2019) of PoA for permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan submitted	24	EPIC
25	AEPC	a) Data analysis for sample CPA1 for the year 2017 b) Data analysis for sample CPA1 and CPA2 for the year 2017 c) Precision calculation spreadsheet	25	CME

## Appendix 4. Clarification requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests

Table 1. CLs from this validation

<b>CL ID</b>	01	<b>Section no.</b>	D.2	<b>Date:</b> 05/10/2018
<b>Description of CL</b>				
Considering that C.P for CPA1 is from Sep 2015, and the initial survey was done only in Feb – April 2017, whereas as per registered documents the frequency of monitoring survey is annual – CME to clarify				
<b>CME's response</b>				<b>Date:</b> 10/10/2018
<p><i>As per the methodology and the registered monitoring plan, monitoring shall consist of: (a) Recording annually the number of systems operating; and (b) Estimating the annual hours of operation for the equipment, if necessary using sampling methods. The ex-post 2017 user survey is conservative as it accounts for the drop-outs for the entire period, although there might have been lesser dropouts if done earlier. In other words, an earlier survey could have had higher number of IWMs operating. Further, the survey results are for covering the ex-post period and not thereafter.</i></p> <p><i>Nevertheless, section C.3.1 of the MR has been revised. The annual recording of operation hours for 2015 could not be performed due to earthquake and after-shocks. Therefore, following the CDM project standard for programmes of activities, Version 01.0, para 229(b), as the most conservative values approach, the baseline emissions for 2015 are being considered as zero</i></p>				
<b>Documentation provided by CME</b>				
Revised MR, CER sheet				
<b>DOE assessment</b>				<b>Date:</b> 11/10/2018
<p>The survey for CPA 01 could not be performed for the year 2015, so in line with Para 229 b) of PS-PoA ver 1.0 which says</p> <p><i>"Apply the following most conservative values approach when alternative monitoring arrangements are not proposed. This does not require approval by the Board:</i></p> <p><i>(i) Apply zero for baseline GHG emissions for the entire non-conforming monitoring period; and/or</i></p> <p><i>(ii) Apply the values assuming that the source of GHG emissions is operated at the maximum capacity for the entire non-conforming monitoring period. In the case of project GHG emissions related to the consumption of electricity, add 10 per cent to account for transmission and distribution losses."</i></p> <p>The CME has applied zero for baseline GHG emissions for the entire non-conforming monitoring period i.e entire year 2015, and condition (ii) is not applicable since project and leakage emissions are not foreseen as per CPA-DD.</p> <p>However for the later years the survey is conducted at annual frequency. Hence it is of the validation team opinion that the deviation is temporary in nature for this monitoring period for CPA 01, and the approach followed by the CME in CER estimation is conservative for the non-conforming period and this approach does not require approval from the board, therefore it is recommended to submit the PRC in issuance track.</p>				
<b>CL 01 Closed</b>				

Table 2. CARs from this validation

<b>CAR ID</b>	NA	<b>Section no.</b>	NA	<b>Date:</b> DD/MM/YYYY
<b>Description of CAR</b>				
NA				
<b>CME's response</b>				<b>Date:</b> DD/MM/YYYY
NA				
<b>Documentation provided by CME</b>				
NA				
<b>DOE assessment</b>				<b>Date:</b> DD/MM/YYYY
NA				

Table 3. FARs from this validation

<b>FAR ID</b>	NA	<b>Section no.</b>	NA	<b>Date:</b> DD/MM/YYYY
<b>Description of FAR</b>				

NA	
<b>CME's response</b>	<b>Date: DD/MM/YYYY</b>
NA	
<b>Documentation provided by CME</b>	
NA	
<b>DOE assessment</b>	<b>Date: DD/MM/YYYY</b>
NA	

- - - - -

### Document information

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
02.0	31 May 2019	Revision to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ensure consistency with version 02.0 of the “CDM validation and verification standard for programmes of activities” (CDM-EB93-A08-STAN);</li> <li>Make editorial improvements.</li> </ul>
01.0	29 December 2017	Initial publication.

---

Decision Class: Regulatory  
Document Type: Form  
Business Function: Registration  
Keywords: post-registration change, component project activity, validation report

---