



Validation report form for post-registration changes for CDM project activities
(Version 01.0)

Complete this form in accordance with the "Attachment: Instructions for filling out the validation report form for post-registration changes for CDM project activities" at the end of this form.

VALIDATION REPORT ON POST-REGISTRATION CHANGES (PRCs)

Title and reference number of the project activity	Improved Cook Stove Programme with Carbon Finance (ICF), Nepal (PoA 9811)			
Process track	<input type="checkbox"/> Prior approval <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Issuance <input type="checkbox"/> Renewal of crediting period			
Version number of the validation report on PRCs	Version 01.0			
Completion date of the validation report on PRCs	01/10/2015			
Type(s) of PRCs	<input type="checkbox"/> Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, monitoring methodology or standardized baseline <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Corrections <input type="checkbox"/> Changes to the start date of the crediting period <input type="checkbox"/> Inclusion of a monitoring plan to a registered project activity <input type="checkbox"/> Permanent changes from registered monitoring plan, monitoring methodology or standardized baseline <input type="checkbox"/> Changes to the project design of a registered project activity <input type="checkbox"/> Types of changes specific to afforestation and reforestation project activities			
Version number of CPA-DD to which this report applies	Version 07.0			
Project participant(s)	SNV Netherlands Development Organisation, Nepal			
Host Party	Nepal			
Sectoral scope(s), selected methodology(ies), and where applicable, selected standardized baseline(s)	Project Scope (according to UNFCCC sectoral scope numbers for CDM)	<input type="checkbox"/>	1	Energy Industries (renewable- /non-renewable sources)
		<input type="checkbox"/>	2	Energy distribution
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3	Energy demand
		<input type="checkbox"/>	4	Manufacturing industries

CDM-PRCV-FORM

	<input type="checkbox"/>	5	Chemical industry	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	6	Construction	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	7	Transport	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	8	Mining/Mineral production	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	9	Metal production	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	10	Fugitive emissions from fuels (solid, oil and gas)	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	11	Fugitive emissions from production and consumption of halocarbons and hexafluoride	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	12	Solvents use	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	13	Waste handling and disposal	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	14	Afforestation and Reforestation	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	15	Agriculture	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	16	Carbon capture and storage	
	Applied Methodology(ies) and/or standardized baseline		Energy efficiency measures in thermal applications of non-renewable biomass, version 05	
Name of DOE		TÜV NORD CERT GmbH		
Name, position and signature of the approver of the validation report on PRCs		 Evgeni Sud Final approver		

SECTION A. Executive summary

Essential data of the project is presented in the following Table 2-1.

Table 1: Project Characteristics

Item	Data	
Project title	Improved Cook Stove Programme with Carbon Finance (ICF), Nepal (POA-9811)	
Project type	<input type="checkbox"/> Standard	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PoA
Project size	<input type="checkbox"/> Large Scale	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Small Scale
Technical Area(s)	3.1: Energy Demand	
Location		
Crediting period	<input type="checkbox"/> Renewable Crediting Period (7 y) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fixed Crediting Period (10 y)	

For a detailed project description please refer to the registered PoA-DD and/or the latest verification report (to which this report is attached).

SECTION B. Validation team, technical reviewer and approver

On the basis of competence analysis and individual availabilities an assessment team, consistent of one team leader, was appointed. Furthermore, also the personnel for the technical review and the final approval were determined.

The list of involved personnel, the tasks assigned and the qualification status are summarized in the following table below.

B.1. Validation team member

No.	Role	Type of resource	Last name	First name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)	Involvement in			
						Desk review	On-site inspection	Interview(s)	Validation findings
1.	Team Leader/ Technical Expert	EI	Mishra	Prakash Kumar	-	X	X	X	x

B.2. Technical reviewer and approver of the validation report on PRCs

No.	Role	Type of resource	Last name	First name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)
1.	Technical reviewer	IR	Winter	Stefan	TÜV NORD CERT
2.	Technical reviewer	IR	Stöhr	Christina	TÜV NORD CERT
3.	Technical reviewer	IR	Grünenwald	Büsrar	TÜV NORD CERT
4.	Approver	IR	Sud	Evgeni	TÜV NORD CERT

SECTION C. Means of validation**C.1. Desk review**

The *assessment of post registration changes* consisted of the following steps:

- Appointment of team members and technical reviewers
- A desk review of the registered and revised PDD^{/PDD/} submitted by the client and additional supporting documents
- On-Site assessment (if required)
- Background investigation and follow-up interviews with personnel of the project developer and its contractors,
- Resolution of corrective actions (CARs / CLs) (if any)
- Final reporting
- Technical review
- Final approval.

In this case all activities were carried out as part of the 1st verification of this project activity.

The registered as well as the revised CPA-DD and supporting background documents related to the project design and the post registration changes were reviewed.

As far as required the assessment team used additional documentation by third parties like host party legislation, technical reports referring to the project design or to the basic conditions and technical data.

A list all documents reviewed or referenced during this validation is presented in Appendix 3 below.

C.2. On-site inspection

Duration of on-site inspection: 25/06/2015 to 30/06/2015				
No.	Activity performed on-site	Site location	Date	Team member
1.	Assessing the ICS types deployed under CPA01 and their thermal efficiencies.	Dadeldhura, FWDR Region, Nepal	28/06/2015	Asian Metal Power udyog representative
2.	Interviews with CME, CPA implementer, consultant and the ICS users regarding the program technology and its implementation	FWDR Region, Nepal	25/06/2015-30/06/2015	CME/CPA implementer/ICS users
3.	Checking the installation completion receipt for start date of CPA confirmation	FWDR Region, Nepal	25/06/2015	SNV,CRT/N, Consultant

C.3. Interviews

No.	Interviewee			Date	Subject	Team member
	Last name	First name	Affiliation			
1.	Dhakal	Subash	SNV Nepal	25/06/2015	Program overview, type of technology measures	Team Leader
2.	Meyrick M	Mark	Eneco Energy		Carbon financing and programme overview	Head of Carbon
3.	Thapa	Rajan	CRT/N		CPA implementations overview	Team Leader

No.	Interviewee			Date	Subject	Team member
	Last name	First name	Affiliation			
4.	O'Neil	Megan	Freelancer	25/06/2015	Revision related to PRC corrections in CPA-DD 01 and related documentation for CPA01	Consultant
5.	Singh Mehta	Rup	Asian Metal Power udyog	28/06/2015	ICS manufacturing procedure and agreement related	
6.	Chalfse	Baikuntha	SNV, Nepal	28/06/2015	CPA monitoring	
7.	Bika	Purnbahadur	ICS user	26/06/2015	ICS information	
8.	Koli	Setu	ICS user	26/06/2015	ICS information	
9.	Koli	Devbahadur	ICS user	26/06/2015	ICS information	
10.	Koli	Luxman	ICS user	26/06/2015	ICS information	
11.	Vishwakarma	Dhanbahadur	ICS user	26/06/2015	ICS information	
12.	Aidi	Balbahadur	ICS user	26/06/2015	ICS information	
13.	Buda	Khadagbahadur	ICS user	26/06/2015	ICS information	
14.	Buda	Narayan	ICS user	26/06/2015	ICS information	
15.	Buda	Karnbahadur	ICS user	26/06/2015	ICS information	
16.	Buda	Bhanbahadur	ICS User	27/06/2015	ICS information	
17.	Buda	Dhanbahadur	ICS User	27/06/2015	ICS information	
18.	Buda	Tularam	ICS User	27/06/2015	ICS information	

C.4. Clarification requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests raised

Areas of validation findings	No. of CL	No. of CAR	No. of FAR
Compliance with PDD form	N/A	N/A	N/A
Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, monitoring methodology or standardized baseline	N/A	N/A	N/A
Corrections	0	0	0
Changes to the start date of the crediting period	N/A	N/A	N/A
Inclusion of a monitoring plan to a registered project activity	N/A	N/A	N/A
Permanent changes from registered monitoring plan, monitoring methodology or standardized baseline	N/A	N/A	N/A
Changes to the project design of a registered project activity	N/A	N/A	N/A
Types of changes specific to afforestation and reforestation project activities	N/A	N/A	N/A
Others (please specify)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total	0	0	0

SECTION D. Validation findings

D.1. Compliance with PDD form

Means of validation	N/A
Findings	N/A
Conclusion	N/A

D.2. Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, monitoring methodology or standardized baseline

Means of validation	N/A
Findings	N/A
Conclusion	N/A

D.3. Corrections

Means of validation	Description of post registration change			
	Start Date: Please provide the start date of the change	19/12/2013	End Date: Please provide the end date of the change, if applicable	18/12/2023
	Description: Please give a detailed description of the change(s)	<p>1. “Modification of eligible stove types of CPA # 01”</p> <p>The CPA-DD of CPA # 01 (under registered PoA 9811) states two specific stove models that shall be included: RS1.1 and RS3.1. PRC1 proposes a correction to the language in this CPA-DD to specify that RS1.1 and RS3.1 are examples of stove models that shall be included in the CPA, but that new models of the ICS may be included provided they meet the requirements listed in the PoA. Product technology specification of new models shall be reviewed upon verification. The text is modified to reflect this change in the following sections of the revised CPA-DD:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Section A.5 Technical description of the CPA; • Section D.2. Application of methodology (ies); • Section D.5. Demonstration of eligibility for a CPA, eligibility criteria #4; and • Section D.7.1 in the parameter box for $\eta_{new,y}$. <p>PRC1 intended to make CPA # 01 in line with the included CPA-DDs for CPA # 02 and CPA # 03.</p> <p>2. The purpose of PRC2 is to add the start date of 23/05/2013, the date of installation of the first ICS included in the CPA.</p> <p>The start date of CPA # 01 was as follows in the CPA-DD, section A.8.1:</p> <p>“The start date of the CPA # 01 is after the start date of PoA- 22/05/2013 – the date of upload to the UNFCCC website for Global Stakeholder Consultation.”</p>		
Assessment of post registration change – Corrections				
	Accuracy: Please give a detailed assessment whether the correction is likely to lead to a reduction in the accuracy of the ER calculation.	<p>1. The registered PoA under which the CPA is included applied the approved small-scale methodology, AMS-II.G version 5.0. As per the applied methodology AMS II.G. version 05 § 2 footnote 2, any single pot or multi pot portable or in-situ cook stoves with specified efficiency of at least 20% is applicable under AMS II.G.</p> <p>The registered PoA DD^{/PoA-DD/} is reviewed also in this regard and section A.6 of the PoA DD (Technologies/measures) is found to clearly mention that “The programme will design and disseminate several different models of ICS within the framework PoA, depending on user demand”. One example ICS disseminated in the PoA is the Rocket Stove 1.1 (RS1.1). During the 1st periodic verification site visit of CPA # 01 and CPA # 02, the DOE observed and assessed by means of physical inspection and interviews with the ICS users that CPA # 01 and CPA # 2 have been deployed ICS models RS 1.1, RS 1.3 and RS 3.1 so far. ICS models deployed under the programme were also cross checked from the program detailed database^{/ANN-10/}, sample installation completion receipts^{/RECIPT/} and technical specifications^{/TECH/} of the ICS.</p> <p>Moreover, the registered PoA-DD eligibility criteria (#4) requires that all types of disseminated ICS shall have a stove efficiency of at least 20% at the time of inclusion. It is nowhere found mentioned that PoA-DD requires/specifies</p>		

		<p>any specific stove model. Already included CPA-DDs (CPA # 02 and CPA # 03) under this PoA were also checked in this regard. As a result, this PRC is appropriate and in line.</p> <p>All the relevant sections (Section A.5, D.2, D.5 and D.7.1) in the revised CPA-DD^{/CPA-DD-R/} have been assessed. The corrections made are found to be appropriate and in line with the POA-DD and included CPA-DDs in the PoA 9811.</p> <p>2. The registered PoA-DD^{/PoA-DD/} along with CPA # 01-DD^{/CPA-DD1/} from the related project webpage¹ were checked with regard to the start date of CPA # 01. Section A.8.1 only mentions that “the start date of the CPA # 01 is after the start date of PoA- 22/05/2013 – the date of upload to the UNFCCC website for Global Stakeholder Consultation.”</p> <p>CME in the revised CPA # 01-DD^{/CPA-DD-R/} has included the exact start date of the CPA as 23/05/2013, the date of installation of the first ICS in the CPA. The PP has submitted the installation completion receipt^{/SD/} for the first ICS dated 23/05/2013 which was assessed to be appropriate and in conformity with the related on-site assessment document check^{/RECEPT/}.</p> <p>Based on the assessment points above, it can be concluded that the corrections done in the CPA-DD (CPA # 01)^{/CPA-DD-R/} are in accordance with the applied methodology^{/AMS II.G/}, the registered PoA-DD^{/PoA-DD/}, the already included CPAs (CPA # 02 and CPA # 03)² in the PoA as well as the CDM project standard^{/PS/} & VVS^{/VVS/}. And also, it is worth mentioning that this correction does not lead to any reduction in the accuracy of the ER calculation by the project activity in any regard.</p>											
	<p>Conservative-ness: Please give a detailed assessment whether conservative assumptions or discount factors have been applied to ensure that ER will not be overestimated.</p>	<p>Since the corrections as PRC in the CPA-DD are neither deviations from the registered monitoring plan, the applied methodology nor permanent changes, no discount factor is applied. Moreover, corrections made to the CPA-DD related to project information and more to editorial in nature, which do not lead to any impact/overestimation and reduction in the accuracy of the Emission Reduction calculation.</p>											
	<p>Appendix 1 PS: Check if the changes fall under one of the scenarios of appendix 1 of the PS.</p>	<p>Based on the above, the changes made in the CPA # 01 are related to project information, more editorial in nature and hence it is in accordance with §1 of the Appendix 1 of the Project Standard under correction and does not require prior approval by the Board.</p>											
Findings	No finding was raised.												
Conclusion	<p>Based on the above, the stated the corrections to the registered PDD are in accordance with applicable validation requirements related to the corrections in the VVS.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="453 1765 1445 1973"> <tr> <th colspan="3" data-bbox="453 1765 699 1798">Revised PDD</th></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="453 1798 699 1944" rowspan="3"> Rev. of PDD: Check whether the changes have been fully addressed in a revised PDD. </td><td data-bbox="699 1798 778 1865" style="text-align: center;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td><td data-bbox="778 1798 1445 1865">The changes have correctly been reflected in the revised CPA-DD.</td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="699 1865 778 1944" style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td><td data-bbox="778 1865 1445 1944">A revision of the PDD is not required (in case of temp. changes).</td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="699 1944 778 1973" style="text-align: center;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td><td data-bbox="778 1944 1445 1973">The revised PDD has been forwarded in (i) track-</td></tr> </table>			Revised PDD			Rev. of PDD: Check whether the changes have been fully addressed in a revised PDD.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The changes have correctly been reflected in the revised CPA-DD.	<input type="checkbox"/>	A revision of the PDD is not required (in case of temp. changes).	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The revised PDD has been forwarded in (i) track-
Revised PDD													
Rev. of PDD: Check whether the changes have been fully addressed in a revised PDD.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The changes have correctly been reflected in the revised CPA-DD.											
	<input type="checkbox"/>	A revision of the PDD is not required (in case of temp. changes).											
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The revised PDD has been forwarded in (i) track-											

¹ http://cdm.unfccc.int/ProgrammeOfActivities/poa_db/E42GWMHTJC1YI7LX5VDNP9B68RFA0K/view

² http://cdm.unfccc.int/ProgrammeOfActivities/poa_db/E42GWMHTJC1YI7LX5VDNP9B68RFA0K/viewCPAs

			change and (ii) clean version.
	Prior Approval		
	Prior approval: Assess whether the change requires prior approval of the board	<input type="checkbox"/>	The post registration change requires prior approval
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The post registration change does not require prior approval	

D.4. Changes to the start date of the crediting period

Means of validation	N/A
Findings	N/A
Conclusion	N/A

D.5. Inclusion of a monitoring plan to a registered project activity

Means of validation	N/A
Findings	N/A
Conclusion	N/A

D.6. Permanent changes from registered monitoring plan, monitoring methodology or standardized baseline

Means of validation	N/A
Findings	N/A
Conclusion	N/A

D.7. Changes to the project design of a registered project activity

Means of validation	N/A
Findings	N/A
Conclusion	N/A

D.8. Types of changes specific to afforestation and reforestation project activities

Means of validation	
Findings	
Conclusion	Based on the above the changes specific to afforestation and reforestation project

SECTION E. Internal quality control

Before submission of the final assessment report a technical review is carried out by a technical review team. Each member of the technical review team is a competent GHG auditor. At least one person of the technical review team is being appointed for the scope this project falls under. Thus the technical review team collectively has all knowledge and skills to conduct a technical review. The technical reviewer is not considered to be part of the verification team and thus not involved in the decision making process up to the technical review.

As a result of the technical review process the assessment opinion as prepared by the validation team leader may be confirmed or revised. Furthermore reporting improvements might be achieved.

SECTION F. Validation opinion

The below listed changes have occurred after the registration of the project / PoA.

<i>Type of Change occurred</i>	<i>Total No. of changes</i>	<i>No. of changes which require prior approval</i>
<input type="checkbox"/> Temporary deviations from the MP		
<input type="checkbox"/> Temporary deviations from the MM		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Corrections that do not affect the project	02	0
<input type="checkbox"/> Change to the start date of the crediting p.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Permanent changes from the MP		
<input type="checkbox"/> Permanent changes from the MM		
<input type="checkbox"/> Design changes to the project activity / PoA		
<input type="checkbox"/> Changes specific to AR projects		

01/10/2015

Prakash Kumar Mishra
TÜV NORD JI/CDM CP
Assessment Team Leader

Appendix 1. Abbreviations

Abbreviations	Full texts
CA	Corrective Action / Clarification Action
CAR	Corrective Action Request
CDM	Clean Development Mechanism
CER	Certified Emission Reduction
CL	Clarification Request
CO ₂	Carbon dioxide
CO _{2e}	Carbon dioxide equivalent
CP	Certification Program
DNA	Designated National Authority
EB	CDM Executive Board
GHG	Greenhouse gas(es)
METH	AMS II.G. version 05.
PA	Project activity
PDD	Project Design Document
PoA	Programme of Activities
PRC	Post Registration Changes
PS	CDM Project Standard
QC/QA	Quality control/Quality assurance
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
VVS	Validation and Verification Standard

Appendix 2. Competence of team members and technical reviewers

TUV NORD
Certification

Statement of Competence
Appointment and authorization according to the procedures
of the TUV NORD JCCM Certification Program

Mr. Stefan Winter

SCHEME	STATUS	VALID UNTIL
CDM	Senior Assessor (Validation, Verification) Technical Reviewer	2017-07-27
VCS	Senior Assessor (Validation, Verification) Technical Reviewer	2017-07-27

Authorization status for technical areas within sectoral scopes:

CODE	TECHNICAL AREA
1.1	Thermal energy generation
1.2	Renewables
2.1	Energy distribution
3.1	Energy demand
4.1	Cement and lime production
4.2	Paper
5.2	Caprolactam, nitric and adipic acid
8.1	Aluminium and magnesium production
9.2	Iron, steel and Ferro-alloy production
13.1	Solid waste and wastewater
13.2	Manure

163 – Rev. 4, Date: 2015-01-05

163_S01-14080-F20_2015-01-05_mw4.docx S01-14080-F20-mw3 / 2015-10-25

TUV NORD
Certification

Statement of Competence
Appointment and authorization according to the procedures
of the TUV NORD JCCM Certification Program

Ms. Christina Stöhr

SCHEME	STATUS	VALID UNTIL
CDM	Assessor (Validation, Verification) Technical Reviewer	2017-12-12
VCS / ISO 14064-2	Assessor / Technical Reviewer	

Authorization status for technical areas within sectoral scopes:

CODE	TECHNICAL AREA
1.1	Thermal energy generation
1.2	Renewables
13.1	Solid waste and wastewater

200 - Rev. 4, Date: 2015-06-09

200_S01-14080-F20_2015-12-12_mw4.docx S01-14080-F20-mw3 / 2015-10-25

TUV NORD
Certification

Statement of Competence
Appointment and authorization according to the procedures
of the TUV NORD JCCM Certification Program

Ms. Büsran Grünwald

SCHEME	STATUS	VALID UNTIL
CDM	Lead Assessor (Validation, Verification) Technical Reviewer	2018-06-05
VCS / ISO 14064-2	Lead Assessor (Validation, Verification) Technical Reviewer	2018-06-05

Authorization status for technical areas within sectoral scopes:

CODE	TECHNICAL AREA
1.2	Renewables
13.1	Solid Waste and Wastewater
15.1	Agriculture

245 – Rev. 4, Date: 2015-08-05

245_S01-14080-F20_2015-08-05_mw4.docx S01-14080-F20-mw3 / 2015-10-25

TUV NORD
Certification

Statement of Competence
Appointment and authorization according to the procedures
of the TUV NORD JCCM Certification Program

Mr. Prakash Kumar Mishra

SCHEME	STATUS	VALID UNTIL
CDM	Lead Assessor (Validation, Verification)	2017-12-17
VCS / ISO 14064-2	Lead Assessor	2017-12-17

Authorization status for technical areas within sectoral scopes:

CODE	TECHNICAL AREA
1.2	Renewables
3.1	Energy demand

146 - Rev. 3, Date: 2015-06-15

146_S01-14080-F20_2015-06-15_mw3.docx S01-14080-F20-mw3 / 2015-10-25

Appendix 3. Documents reviewed or referenced

No.	Reference	Author	Title	References to the document	Provider
1	/AMS II.J/	UNFCCC	Energy efficiency measures in thermal applications of non-renewable biomass" version 05.	http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/index.html	Others
2	/VVS/	UNFCCC	CDM Validation and Verification Standard (Version 7)	http://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/Standards/index.html	Others
3	/PS/	UNFCCC	CDM project standard (Version 7)	http://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/Standards/index.html	Others
4	/CPM/	DOE	TÜV NORD JI / CDM CP Manual (incl. CP procedures and forms)		Others
5	/KP/		Kyoto Protocol (1997)		Others
6	/MA/		Decision 3/CMP. 1 (Marrakesh – Accords)		Others
7	/CPA-DD1/	PP	Project Design Document named "Improved Cook Stove Programme with Carbon Finance (ICF), Nepal" registered dated 05/12/2013 ver 06		PP
8	/CPA-DD-R/	PP	"Improved Cook Stove Programme with Carbon Finance (ICF), Nepal" dated 23/08/2015 ver 07		PP
9	/PoA-DD/	PP	Project Design Document named "Improved Cook Stove Programme with Carbon Finance (ICF), Nepal" registered dated 05/12/2013 ver 06		PP
9	/RECEPT/	PP	Sample installation completion receipts		PP
10	/VAL/	PP	Validation Report for CDM project "Improved Cook Stove Programme with Carbon Finance (ICF), Nepal version 01 dated 2013-12-09		PP
11	/SD/	PP	Evidence of CPA#01 start date as receipt for the first ICS installed under CPA # 01 dated 23/05/2015.		PP
12	/TECH/	PP	Technical specifications of ICS deployed		PP
13	/ANN-10/	SNV/CRT /N	Detailed Customer Database		PP

Appendix 4. Clarification requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests

Table 1. CL from this validation

CL ID	N/A	Section no.		Date: DD/MM/YYYY
Description of CL				
NO CL raised				
Project participant response				Date: DD/MM/YYYY
Documentation provided by project participant				
DOE assessment				Date: DD/MM/YYYY

Table 2. CAR from this validation

CAR ID	N/A	Section no.		Date: DD/MM/YYYY
Description of CAR				
NO CAR raised				
Project participant response				Date: DD/MM/YYYY
Documentation provided by project participant				
DOE assessment				Date: DD/MM/YYYY

Table 3. FAR from this validation

FAR ID	N/A	Section no.		Date: DD/MM/YYYY
Description of FAR				
NO FAR raised				
Project participant response				Date: DD/MM/YYYY
Documentation provided by project participant				
DOE assessment				Date: DD/MM/YYYY

Appendix 5. Assessment of Financial Parameters

Assessment of Financial Parameters (VVS, §§ 129, 130 / in case financial parameters from FSR §131 and §132)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No financial parameters are used for additionality justification					
<input type="checkbox"/>	Assessment of all financial parameters see below					
Parameter	Value applied	Unit	Source of Information (please indicate document and page)	Reference	DOE ASSESSMENT	
					Correctness of value applied	Comment
				//	<input type="checkbox"/>	
				//	<input type="checkbox"/>	
				//	<input type="checkbox"/>	
				//	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Appendix 6. Assessment of Barrier Analysis

Assessment of Barrier Analysis (VVS, §§ 133-136)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No barrier parameters are used for additionality justification			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Assessment of barriers see below			
Kind of Barrier (invest, tech, other)	Description of Barrier	Evidence used	Assessment of validation team	
			Appropriateness of information source	Explanation of final result
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	