



CLEAN DEVELOPMENT MECHANISM EXECUTIVE BOARD

PROPOSED AGENDA AND ANNOTATIONS

Sixty-first meeting

UNFCCC
Bonn, Germany
30 May – 3 June 2011

CONTENTS

I. PROPOSED AGENDA

II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

- Annex 1 - Draft terms of reference of the support structure of the CDM Executive Board
- Annex 2 - First analysis report to the CDM Executive Board on the result of the DOE performance monitoring
- Annex 3 - Information note on the treatment of increase in future anthropogenic emissions of a host country
- Annex 4 - Recommendations related to programmes of activities arising from stakeholder consultation
- Annex 5 - Assessment report of CDM project cycle operations
- Annex 6 - Information note on improvements of guidance regarding stakeholder and public participation
- Annex 7 - Comprehensive report on the status of resources and recruitment for the first quarter of 2011
- Annex 8 - Compliance with indicative timelines for different processes
- Annex 9 - Draft “CDM Executive Board decision framework: decision hierarchy, document types and control of documentation issued by the Board”
- Annex 10 - Work plan for improving format of meeting reports and documentation of the CDM Executive Board and its panels and working groups



I. PROPOSED AGENDA

1. Adoption of the agenda
2. Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)
3. Work plan:
 - (a) Accreditation of operational entities
 - (b) Methodologies for baselines and monitoring plans
 - (c) Issues relating to afforestation and reforestation CDM project activities
 - (d) Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities
 - (e) Issues relating to programme of activities
 - (f) Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities *
 - (g) Matters relating to the issuance of certified emission reductions and the CDM registry **
4. Management plan and resources for the work on the CDM
5. Other matters
6. Conclusion of the meeting

* For more information please visit the page CDM Project activities “under review” and “review requested” of the UNFCCC CDM website
(http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/under_review.html and <http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/review.html>).

** For more information please visit the “issuance of CERs” section of the UNFCCC CDM website
(<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>).



II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

I. Adoption of the agenda

1. **► Action:** The Chair of the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism (CDM) (hereinafter referred to as the Board) shall ascertain whether (a) the members present constitute a quorum, and (b) the absence of any member or alternate member is “without proper justification”¹.
2. **► Action:** Members may wish to adopt the proposed agenda for the sixty-first meeting.

Background: At its last meeting, the Board agreed on items to be included in the provisional agenda for its sixty-first meeting. The proposed agenda was transmitted to the Board on 9 May 2011 and thereafter posted on the UNFCCC CDM website in accordance with rule 21 of the Rules of procedure.

II. Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)

3. **► Action:** Members of the Board may wish to provide information with respect to any potential conflict of interest, and take action, as appropriate.

Background: Following the request by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol (CMP), through its decision 2/CMP.5, to publish statements of conflict of interest on the UNFCCC CDM website, members and alternates are requested to disclose whether they have any conflict of interest relating to the work of the Board at each meeting.

4. **► Action:** The Board may wish to consider draft terms of reference (ToRs) of the support structure of the CDM Executive Board, as contained in annex 01 to these annotations.

Background: The Board, at its sixtieth meeting, discussed key areas and elements for the revision of ToRs for panels and working groups and requested the secretariat to prepare draft revised ToRs, taking into account the comments provided by the Board.

5. **► Action:** The Board may wish to hold an initial discussion on the possible revision of the Rules of procedure of the Board and agree on further steps.

Background: Following the request of the CMP to the Board, through its decision 3/CMP.6, to assess, as necessary, the decision-making processes contained in its current Rules of procedure, the Board agreed through its CDM management plan (CDM-MAP) 2011 to request the secretariat to draft revised Rules of procedure, changing the decision-making process, as appropriate. Due to time constraints, the Board could not consider this issue during its sixtieth meeting.

III. Work plan

(a) Accreditation of operational entities

6. **► Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the progress report of the fifty-fourth meeting of the CDM Accreditation Panel (CDM-AP) and an oral report by its Chair, Mr. Akihiro Kuroki, on the work of the panel.

Background: The CDM-AP held its fifty-fourth meeting on 3–6 May 2011 in Bonn, Germany.

¹ Please refer to rule 28 of the Rules of procedures of the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism, decision 4/CMP.1 <FCCC/KP/CMP/2005/8/Add.1>.

CDM – Executive Board**Sixty-first meeting**
Proposed Agenda - Annotations*Case specific*

7. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to:

(a) Accredit and provisionally designate entities for sector specific validation and verification/certification functions;

(b) Take note of the CDM-AP's notifications on the results of regular on-site surveillances and take actions, as appropriate;

(c) Take note of the CDM-AP's notifications on the results of performance assessments.

Background: In accordance with the accreditation procedure, the recommendations for accreditation and the supporting documentation will be sent to Board members and alternates under separate cover under confidentiality.

General guidance

8. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note of the form to be used by designated operational entities (DOEs) to submit their annual activity reports to the Board, as contained in annex 2 of the progress report of the fifty-fourth meeting of the CDM-AP.

Background: In accordance with paragraph 27 (g) of the CDM modalities and procedures, all DOEs are required to submit annual activity reports to the Board. The requirements for preparation of the annual activity reports are contained in annex C of the CDM accreditation standard (version 2.0). To ensure the consistency and comparability of the reported data, the CDM-AP recommends the form to be used by DOEs to submit their annual activity reports.

9. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the fifty-fifth meeting of the CDM-AP will be held from 20–23 June 2011 in Bonn, Germany.

(b) **Methodologies for baselines and monitoring plans**

10. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the progress report of the forty-ninth meeting of the Methodologies Panel (Meth Panel), and an oral report by its Chair, Mr. Phillip Gwage, on the work of the panel.

Background: The Meth Panel held its forty-ninth meeting from 2–6 May 2011 in Bonn, Germany. The Meth Panel dealt with case-specific issues, methodological clarifications, guidance and other issues, as specified below.

Case specific

11. ► **Action:** Taking into consideration the inputs by experts (desk reviewers), the public, and the recommendations of the Meth Panel, the Board may wish to consider the recommendation:

(a) To approve the methodologies based on the proposed new methodologies:

(i) NM0328: “Energy efficiency and fuel switching measures in new buildings” as contained in annex 1 of the Meth Panel report of the forty-ninth meeting;

(ii) NM0339: “N₂O abatement in New Capacity nitric acid plants” as contained in annex 2 of the Meth Panel report of the forty-ninth meeting; and

(iii) NM0340: “N₂O abatement in New Nitric Acid Plants” as contained in annex 2 of the Meth Panel report of the forty-ninth meeting;

CDM – Executive Board

Sixty-first meeting
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

- (b) Not to approve the methodology based on the proposed new methodology:
 - (i) NM0335: “PFC emission reduction by gas replacement in the process of CVD cleaning in semiconductor production”.

Background: The recommendations agreed by the Meth Panel are contained in paragraphs 7 and 10 of the report of the forty-ninth meeting of the Meth Panel available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/index.html>>.

12. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the Meth Panel could not conclude its consideration of the following proposed new methodologies and will further consider at its future meeting:

- (a) NM0292: “Highly efficient power plant fuelled with blast furnace gas at TKCSA, in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil”;
- (b) NM0330: “Substitution of Fluorinated Compound (FC) gases for cleaning Chemical Vapor Deposition (CVD) reactors in the semiconductor industry”;
- (c) NM0332: “PFCs emission reduction from installation of an abatement device in a semiconductor manufacturing facility”;
- (d) NM0333: “Avoidance of landfill gas emissions by passive aeration of landfills”;
- (e) NM0334: “Installation of high efficient technology for power transmission”;
- (f) NM0337: “Replacement of fossil fuel fired heaters with biomass residue fired heaters”;
- (g) NM0341: “Mitigation of methane emissions from charcoal production by recovering and burning carbonization gases”;
- (h) NM0345: “Methodology for conversion of a Combined Cycle Power Plant to an Integrated Solar Combined Cycle (ISCC)”;
- (i) NM0347: “Biomass residue co-firing at an existing or a new boiler(s)”.

13. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the Meth Panel could not conclude its consideration of the proposed new methodology NM0292: “Highly efficient power plant fuelled with blast furnace gas at TKCSA, in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil”, as requested by the Board in paragraph 20 of the report of the forty-seventh meeting of the Board, because feedback from the project participants on the issues contained in the Board’s request is sought.

14. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note of an information note on the draft consolidated new methodology based on the proposed new methodologies NM0339: “N₂O abatement in New Capacity nitric acid plants” and NM0340: “N₂O abatement in New Nitric Acid Plants”. The information note, contained in annex 12 of the report of the forty-ninth meeting of the Meth Panel, explains:

- (a) The rationale for the determination of the emissions benchmark used to determine baseline emissions; and
- (b) How the methodology addresses the issues identified by the Board in its “Guidance on the expansion of industrial gas recovery methodologies to new facilities”, adopted at its forty-sixth meeting, annex 10.

Responses to requests for revision

15. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider to the following responses to the requests for revision, as referred to in the Meth Panel report (see table 2 in paragraph 13 of the report of the forty-ninth meeting of the Meth Panel):

CDM – Executive Board**Sixty-first meeting**
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

- (a) To accept request REV_TOOL_0002 concerning the amendment to the approved “Tool to determine methane emissions avoided from disposal of waste at a solid waste disposal site”, to allow the use of a default value for domestic sludge;
- (b) To accept request AM_REV_0197 concerning the amendment to the approved methodology AM0063, with a view to expand its applicability to new industrial facilities/integrated complex;
- (c) Not to accept request AM_REV_0209 concerning the revision to the approved methodology AM0049, with a view to expand its applicability to incorporate the usage of natural gas in the baseline scenario;
- (d) Not to accept request AM_REV_0210 concerning the revision to the approved methodology ACM0001, with a view to include landfill gas utilization in kilns;
- (e) To accept request AM_REV_0211 concerning the revision to the approved methodology AM0038, to expand its applicability to FeSi production and other silicon- and ferro alloys.

Background: Information on the revisions to the methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/revisions>>.

Responses to requests for clarification

16. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the responses to the requests for clarifications CLA_TOOL_0012 and AM_CLA_0205 to AM_CLA_0207, as provided by the Meth Panel and referred to in the Meth Panel report (see table 3 in paragraph 27 of the report of the forty-ninth meeting of the Meth Panel).
17. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that Meth Panel could not conclude its consideration of request for clarification AM_CLA_0191 due to time constraints.

Background: Information on the clarifications to methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/clarifications>>.

Revisions to approved methodologies and tools

18. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revisions to the following approved methodologies and tools:
- (a) AM0001: “Incineration of HFC 23 waste streams”. The draft revision addresses the issues identified by the Meth Panel’s report on the methodology, prepared at its forty-seventh meeting, and includes options for consideration by the Board with regard to:
 - (i) The cap on the baseline HFC-23 generation rate, i.e. the amount of HFC-23 formed per amount of HCFC-22 produced; and
 - (ii) Whether HFC-23 emissions from HCFC-22 production lines that are not eligible for crediting should be accounted as project emissions.
- The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 3 of the report of the forty-ninth meeting of the Meth Panel, and an explanatory note is contained in annex 13.
- (b) AM0038: “Methodology for improved electrical energy efficiency of an existing submerged electric arc furnace used for the production of SiMn”. The draft revision:
 - (i) Expands the applicability of the methodology to projects improving the efficiency of production of various alloys;
 - (ii) Takes into account in the calculation of the project and baseline emissions the component of various reductants that might be used in the alloy production;

CDM – Executive Board

Sixty-first meeting
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

- (iii) Replaces the existing procedure with the “Tool to determine the remaining lifetime of equipment”; and
- (iv) Changes the historical data requirement from a minimum of three years to the most recent three years.

The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 4 of the report of the forty-ninth meeting of the Meth Panel.

(c) AM0055: “Baseline and monitoring methodology for the recovery and utilization of waste gas in refinery facilities”. The draft revision simplifies the procedures for calculating baseline emissions; it eliminates the requirement to identify a representative element process at the refinery to measure the baseline efficiency and introduces two simplified options to determine baseline emissions. The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 5 of the Meth Panel report of the forty-ninth meeting;

(d) AM0063: “Recovery of CO₂ from tail gas in industrial facilities to substitute the use of fossil fuels for production of CO₂”. The draft amendment expands the applicability of the methodology to new integrated industrial facilities recovering CO₂ from the intermediate gas and reducing emissions associated with CO₂ production in other conventional CO₂ production facilities. The draft amended methodology is contained in annex 6 of the Meth Panel report of the forty-ninth meeting;

(e) AM0090: “Modal shift in transportation of cargo from road transportation to water or rail transportation”. The draft amendment removes the reference to the “Procedures for notifying and requesting approval of changes from the project activity as described in the registered project design document”. The draft amended methodology is contained in annex 7 of the Meth Panel report of the forty-ninth meeting;

(f) “Tool to determine methane emissions avoided from disposal of waste at a solid waste disposal site”. The draft amendment includes a default value for the fraction of degradable organic carbon of sludge from domestic wastewater treatment plants (domestic sludge). The draft amended tool is contained in annex 8 of the Meth Panel report of the forty-ninth meeting;

- (g) “Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system”. The draft revision provides:
 - (i) More clarity on the set of power plants to be included in the methods to determine the build margin emission factor, and
 - (ii) Simplified options for the project activities hosted in:
 - Countries with a paucity of relevant data;
 - Least developed countries (LDCs); and
 - Countries with less than 10 registered projects at the starting date of validation.

The draft revised tool is contained in annex 9 of the report of the forty-ninth meeting of the Meth Panel; and

(h) “Tool to determine the mass flow of a greenhouse gas in a gaseous stream”. The draft revision implements editorial and format improvements, corrects inconsistencies in how some parameters were expressed, improves the readability and consistency and simplifies the tool. The draft revised tool is contained in annex 10 of the report of the forty-ninth meeting of the Meth Panel.

Background: Information on the revisions to the methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAMethodologies/revisions>>.

19. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider:



CDM – Executive Board

Sixty-first meeting
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

(a) The analysis made by the Meth Panel on the treatment of greenfield facilities in the approved methodology ACM0012, as contained in paragraph 29 of the report of the forty-ninth meeting of the Meth Panel; and

(b) Whether a clarification may be issued on the treatment of greenfield facilities in the approved methodology ACM0012.

Background: At its forty-ninth meeting, the Meth Panel assessed the treatment of greenfield facilities in the methodology ACM0012.

20. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that, in response to the request contained in paragraph 29 (d) of the report of the forty-fifth meeting of the Board concerning the determination of the loss of ignition (LoI) in methodology AM0033 “Use of non-carbonated calcium sources in the raw mix for cement processing”, the Meth Panel concluded that no further guidance is necessary on the use of the LoI method under the baseline scenario. The Meth Panel noted that the methodology AM0033 was consolidated with AM0040 into ACM0015 on 30 November 2007, and both AM0033 and AM0040 ceased to be valid from 13 December 2007. The Meth Panel further noted that the approved consolidated methodology ACM0015 does not apply the LoI method.

21. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note of the response from the Meth Panel to the request contained in paragraph 22 of the report of the fifty-sixth meeting of the Board, concerning the methodology AM0090 “Modal shift in transportation of cargo from road transportation to water or rail transportation”, as contained in paragraph 19 (b) of the report of the forty-ninth meeting of the Meth Panel.

22. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that, in response to the request contained in paragraph 20 of the report of the forty-sixth meeting of the Board concerning the methodology ACM0009 “Consolidated baseline and monitoring methodology for fuel switching from coal or petroleum fuel to natural gas”, the Meth Panel is continuing to work with the support of an external expert, on how emission reductions from fuel switches can be estimated in the case where multiple fuels are used in the baseline scenario, with the aim of providing a recommendation for its consideration by the Board at a future meeting.

23. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that, in response to the request contained in paragraph 28 of the report of the fifty-third meeting of the Board, the Meth Panel is continuing its work to develop the provisions required for the determination of baseline emissions in case of greenfield cement plants within the context of ACM0015, with the aim of providing a recommendation for its consideration by the Board at a future meeting.

24. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that, in response to the request contained in paragraph 21 of the report of the forty-ninth meeting of the Board to revise approved methodologies to further improve their objectivity, applicability, usability and consistency, and based on the 2011 work plan of the panel, the Meth Panel agreed to initiate a revision of the following methodologies:

(a) ACM0001: “Consolidated baseline and monitoring methodology for landfill gas project activities”; and

(b) AM0025: “Avoided emissions from organic waste through alternative waste treatment processes”.

The Meth Panel intends to recommend the draft revised methodologies for the consideration by the Board at a future meeting.

25. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that:

CDM – Executive Board

Sixty-first meeting
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

(a) In response to the request contained in paragraph 24 of the report of the fiftieth meeting of the Board, the Meth Panel considered the request and concluded that further work on the off-grid part of the “Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system” may not be necessary at this point in time as the responses by DNAs to a questionnaire on the application of the tool, the inclusion of off-grid power plants in the determination of the grid emission factor was not raised as an issue; and

(b) In response to the requests contained in paragraph 25 of the report of the fifty-fourth meeting of the Board and in paragraph 32 of the report of the fifty-sixth meeting of the Board, concerning the “Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system”, the Meth Panel was not able to conclude the assessment on the variability of the dispatch analysis results and will continue to work on it, with the aim of providing a recommendation for its consideration by the Board at a future meeting.

26. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that, in response to the requests contained in paragraph 30 and 31 of the fifty-sixth meeting of the Board and paragraph 43 of the report of the sixtieth meeting of the Board concerning the “Tool to assess the validity of the original/current baseline and to update the baseline at the renewal of a crediting period”, the Meth Panel could not conclude its consideration of this matter. The Meth Panel will continue to work on a draft revision to the tool with the view to finalize a recommendation at its next meeting.

General guidance

27. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the draft revised “Guidelines on the assessment of investment analysis”, as contained in annex 11 of the report of the forty-ninth meeting of the Meth Panel. An explanatory note is contained in annex 14 of the report.

Background: The Board, at its fifty-ninth meeting, considered the summary of the public inputs from the call on the draft “Guidelines on the assessment of investment analysis”. The Board requested the Meth Panel to revise the guidelines for consideration by the Board at a future meeting, based on the public inputs received and on the guidance given by the Board.

28. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that, as requested in the Meth Panel’s work plan for 2011, the Meth Panel has agreed on an action plan to start its work upon the development of: (a) standardized baselines; (b) top-down methodologies; and (c) a background document on baseline determination, as presented in paragraphs 30, 11 and 31, respectively, of the report of the forty-ninth meeting of the Meth Panel.

29. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the Meth Panel will continue its work to finalize a draft tool to determine project emissions from freight transport, with the aim of providing a recommendation for consideration by the Board at its next meeting.

30. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note of the response from the Meth Panel to the requests from the SSC WG, concerning the requests for revision SSC_486 and SSC_488, as contained in paragraphs 33 and 34 of the report of the forty-ninth meeting of the Meth Panel.

31. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the fiftieth meeting of the Meth Panel will be held on 27 June – 1 July 2011 in Bonn, Germany. The deadline for submissions for proposed new methodologies to this meeting was 18 April 2011.

32. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an update on the work related to the use of the first-of-its-kind barrier and the assessment of common practice.

Background: Following the request by the CMP, through its decision 3/CMP.6, to finalize, as its highest priority, the guidance on the use of first-of-its-kind barrier and the assessment of common practice, the Board, at its sixtieth meeting, requested the secretariat to develop a document to serve as basis for a call for public inputs.

CDM – Executive Board**Sixty-first meeting**
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

33. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the first analysis report to the CDM Executive Board on the result of the DOE performance monitoring, as contained in annex 02 to these annotations.

Background: In the context of reviewing the CDM operations and developing innovative measures for improving efficiencies in its process cycle, the Board, at its fifty-ninth meeting, as agreed in the CDM-MAP, requested the secretariat to prepare a report on methodological issues arising from interaction with stakeholders and data obtained from the DOE performance monitoring system.

34. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an information note on the treatment of increase in future anthropogenic emissions of a host country, also referred as suppressed demand, as contained in annex 03 to these annotations.

Background: The CMP, at its sixtieth session, encouraged the Board to further explore the possibility of including in baseline and monitoring methodologies, as appropriate, a scenario in which future anthropogenic emissions by sources are projected to rise above current levels owing to the specific circumstances of the host Party.

(c) Issues relating to afforestation and reforestation CDM project activities

35. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the progress report of the thirty-second meeting of the Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group (A/R WG) and an oral report by its Chair, Ms. Diana Harutyunyan, on the work of the group.

Background: The A/R WG held its thirty-second meeting on 9–11 May 2011 in Bonn, Germany. The A/R WG dealt with case-specific issues and general issues.

Case specific

36. ► **Action:** Taking into consideration the recommendations by the A/R WG, the Board may wish to approve the new A/R methodology AR-AM00XX: “Afforestation and reforestation of degraded mangrove habitats”.

Background: The recommendations by the A/R WG are contained in paragraph 8 of the report of the thirty-second meeting of the A/R WG available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<https://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ar>>.

Responses to requests for clarification

37. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider of the responses of the requests for clarifications AR_AM_CLA_0013 and AR_AM_CLA_0014, as provided by the A/R WG and referred to in paragraphs 10 and 11 of the report of the thirty-second meeting of the A/R WG.

Background: Information on the clarifications to methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/ARmethodologies/clarifications>>.

General guidance

38. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the draft “Guidelines for demonstrating additionality in A/R CDM project activities”. The draft guidelines are contained in annex 2 to the report of the thirty-second meeting of the A/R WG.

Background: Following the request from CMP (paragraph 40 of decision 3/CMP.6) for development of alternative approaches for demonstration and assessment of additionality, the A/R WG agreed to

CDM – Executive Board

Sixty-first meeting
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

recommend the guidelines. These draft guidelines identify conditions under which A/R CDM project activities are considered additional.

39. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the recommendation by the A/R WG to initiate a work identifying types of changes from the project description in the project design document (PDD) that are considered minor and may not require submitting a notification or a request for approval under relevant procedures.

Background: While considering the requests for clarification AR_AM_CLA_0013 and AR_AM_CLA_0014, the A/R WG agreed to identify changes from the project description in the PDD that are considered minor and may not require submitting a notification or a request for approval under relevant procedures in nature and can be addressed, at the time of verification, by the DOE without submitting a notification or a request for approval of changes, as provided under paragraph 6 of the “Procedures for notifying and requesting approval of changes from the project activity as described in the registered project design document” (EB 48, annex 66).

40. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the recommendation by the A/R WG to launch a call for public inputs on common difficulties in the implementation of A/R CDM project activities according to the registered PDD while inviting input on types and scale of changes from the project description in the PDD that are typical for forestry practice.

Background: The A/R WG recommended the Board to launch a call for public input on the following issues:

(a) Common difficulties in the implementation of A/R CDM project activities according to the registered PDD; and

(b) Types and scale of changes from the project description in the PDD that are typical for forestry practice and have been already identified, or are expected to occur, at the time of verification of A/R CDM project activities.

The group requested the secretariat to prepare detailed questions covering issues identified above to be used in call for public inputs.

41. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the A/R WG agreed to continue working on the following issues:

(a) Draft revision of the “Guidance on application of the definition of the project boundary to A/R CDM activities”;

(b) Draft revision of the methodology AR-AM0005 “Afforestation and reforestation project activities implemented for industrial and/or commercial uses” to address the issue raised by the project participants related to accounting of pre-project tree-planting;

(c) Verification of globally derived allometric equations and volume equations;

(d) Applicability of continuous forest inventory for the purpose of verification in A/R CDM project activities;

(e) Accounting for emissions resulting from wildfires in A/R CDM project activities;

(f) Draft revision of the tool “Estimation of carbon stocks and change in carbon stocks of trees and shrubs in A/R CDM project activities” in order to address issues related to accounting of root biomass of a coppice forest subject to harvesting.

CDM – Executive Board

Sixty-first meeting
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

42. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the thirty-third meeting of the A/R WG will be held on 22–24 August 2011 in Bonn, Germany. The deadline for submissions to this meeting is 11 July 2011.

(d) Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities

43. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the progress report of the thirty-first meeting of the Small-Scale Working Group (SSC WG) and an oral report by its Chair, Ms. Fatou Gaye, on the work of the group.

Background: The SSC WG held its thirty-first meeting on 9–12 May 2011 in Bonn, Germany. The SSC WG dealt with case-specific issues, process and methodological clarifications and other issues, as specified below.

Case specific

44. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the small-scale methodology SSC-NM064 “Introduction of natural gas in the fuel mix of iron-ore pellet induration process”, was deemed not suitable for recommendation to the Board by the SSC WG. The recommendations by the SSC WG related to item 40 above are contained in paragraph 4 of the report of the thirty-first meeting of the SSC WG, available on the UNFCCC CDM website <http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ssc_wg/index.html>.

45. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note of the responses to the requests for clarifications SSC_524, SSC_526 to SSC_528, SSC_530 and SSC_533, and the responses to the requests for revisions SSC_529, SSC_531 to SSC_532, as provided by the SSC WG and referred to in the SSC WG report (see paragraphs 20 to 25 and 11 to 13 of the SSC WG 31 report). The Board may also wish to take note that the SSC WG could not conclude its consideration of the request for revision SSC_488 and will continue the consideration of this request at its next meeting.

Background: Information on the clarifications to methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/SSCmethodologies/clarifications>>.

Revisions of approved methodologies

46. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the following revisions of SSC methodologies:

(a) Revision of AMS-I.I “Biogas/biomass thermal applications for households/small users”: in response to the submission SSC_529 requesting revision regarding the measurement of fossil fuel consumption in households, the SSC WG agreed to recommend a revision of AMS-I.I, as contained in annex 4 of the report of the thirty-first meeting of the SSC WG, to provide simplified options for the measurement of fossil fuel consumption.

(b) Revision of AMS-I.C “Thermal energy production with or without electricity”: taking into account a number of submissions of request for revision (e.g., SSC_422, SSC_478, SSC_410, SSC_460, SSC_517, SSC_524 and SSC_532), the SSC WG agreed to recommend a revision, as contained in annex 5 of the report of the thirty-first meeting of the SSC WG. The revised methodology simplifies the monitoring requirement for the quantity of biomass used, its net calorific value (NCV) and moisture content, and the procedure to cross-check the measurements (e.g., biomass consumption and energy output). The revision also expands the baseline scenarios for co-generation project activities.

(c) Revision of AMS-I.D “Grid connected renewable electricity generation” and AMS-I.F “Renewable electricity generation for captive use and mini-grid”: taking into account a number of submissions (e.g., SSC_466, SSC_521 and SSC_517), the SSC WG agreed to recommend a revision of AMS-I.D and AMS-I.F, as contained in annex 6 and annex 7 of the report of the thirty-first meeting of the SSC WG.

CDM – Executive Board

Sixty-first meeting
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

The revisions further clarify the applicability of AMS-I.D and AMS-I.F. They also simplify the monitoring requirements for the quantity of biomass used, NCV and moisture content, and the procedure to cross-check the measurements (e.g., biomass consumption and energy output).

(d) Revision of AMS-III.C “Emission reductions by electric and hybrid vehicles”: the SSC WG agreed to recommend a revision of AMS-III.C, as contained in annex 8 of the report of the thirty-first meeting of the SSC WG. Taking into account the inputs from the “Practitioner workshop on the improvement of CDM methodologies for transportation” <https://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/Workshops/transportation/index.html>, the revision includes specific guidance for demonstrating additionality for project activities introducing electric and hybrid vehicles, provides procedures for calculating baseline and project emissions based on the distance travelled by the project vehicles and average emissions per vehicle type per km.

General guidance

47. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the recommendation of the SSC WG to launch a call for public inputs on specific aspects of the draft methodology for low-flow showerhead hot water saving devices, as contained in annexes 1 and 2, respectively, of the report of the thirty-first meeting of the SSC WG.

Background: The SSC WG prepared a top-down draft methodology for low-flow showerhead hot water saving devices, as contained in annex 1 of the SSC WG 31 report. Although the methodology in its current structure is limited to low-flow showerheads, it can be adapted to other water saving devices if adequate, reliable and conservative data and methods for determining usage patterns for applications are proposed. The SSC WG agreed to recommend the Board to launch a call for public inputs for issues specified in annex 2 of the SSC WG 31 report to facilitate further development of this methodology.

48. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the recommendation of the SSC WG to launch call for public input on the key issues identified in relation to the development of a solar cooker methodology, as contained in annex 3 of the report of the thirty-first meeting of the SSC WG.

Background: The SSC WG agreed to recommend the Board to launch a call for public inputs on the key issues identified in relation to the development of a solar cooker methodology (e.g., reliable and verifiable monitoring procedures for solar cooker use in households). The SSC WG also agreed to prepare a final recommendation for the Board, at its sixty-third meeting, with either a proposed new methodology or proposed revisions to existing SSC methodologies to include specific procedures for solar cooker projects or an indication that additional research and data are required before finalizing a methodology.

49. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the recommendation made by the SSC WG on the applicability of AMS-III.Q for project activity recovering and using small amounts of waste energy in the baseline, as contained in paragraph 26 of the report of the thirty-first meeting of the SSC WG.

Background: The SSC WG received queries on the potential restriction of the applicability of the revised AMS-III.Q (version 4) approved at the sixtieth meeting of the Board for waste heat recovery project activities. The SSC WG agreed to clarify that project activities that recover a small amount of waste energy in the baseline may apply AMS-III.Q provided that the current practice continues during the project (e.g., recirculation of waste heat to preheat raw materials and hot gases from clinker cooler) and that there is no diversion of the baseline waste energy use (i.e., only energy that was otherwise wasted through venting to atmosphere is utilized by the project activity).

50. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve a recommendation by the SSC WG on the combination of: (a) AMS-III.D, AMS-I.C and AMS-I.F; and (b) AMS-I.C and AMS-I.F, respectively, for application in a programme of activity (PoA).

Background: In response to the submission SSC_528 requesting clarification on the combination of, AMS-III.D “Methane recovery in animal manure management systems”, AMS-I.C “Thermal energy production with or without electricity”, and AMS-I.F “Renewable electricity generation for captive use and mini-grid”; and the submission SSC_533 requesting approval of the combination of AMS-I.C and AMS-I.F for application in a PoA, the SSC WG agreed to recommend the proposed combinations for approval.

51. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the SSC WG will continue working on the top-down development of a demand side energy efficiency methodology for agricultural pumping and irrigation activities, taking into account the input from the practitioners workshop on SSC renewable energy and demand side energy efficiency methodologies, possibly using standardized baseline approaches. The replacement of inefficient pumps with efficient ones will be the primary focus, efforts will also be made to include efficient irrigation (e.g., drip irrigation).

52. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the SSC WG will continue working on the development of a new top-down small-scale methodology for comprehensive energy efficiency measures in buildings using computer simulation, deemed savings values, and/or metering approaches. In addition, the SSC WG agreed to continue working on a revision of AMS-III.AE “Energy efficiency and renewable energy measures in new residential buildings” and AMS-II.E “Energy efficiency and fuel switching measures for buildings” to include thermal applications and other technologies and measures currently not covered.

53. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the SSC WG will continue working on the methodology AMS-III.AJ “Recovery and recycling of materials from solid wastes” to allow for a simplification for the informal waste collection sector and to cover avoidance of methane emissions in the case of paper and cardboard, with the aim of finalizing the revision at SSC WG 32. The SSC WG will also continue to work on expanding the methodology to include other recyclable materials taking into account the public inputs received.

54. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the SSC WG will work on revision of existing methodologies and the development of new SSC methodologies to cover energy efficiency project activities involving control/optimization activities (e.g., optimization of a pump scheduling system, intuitive energy saving devices in households, lighting controls and/or energy management systems in buildings) and retrofits of large, variable output chillers either on a one-to-one basis or for replacement of multiple chillers with a centralized chillers plant.

55. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note of the following recommendations by the SSC WG related to the developing new standards or revising the existing procedures pertaining to use of multiple methodologies in a PoA. The recommendations are based on assessment of related submissions received (e.g., SSC_528, SSC_533; and others):

(a) Revise footnote one (1) of the document titled “Registration of a programme of activities as a single CDM project activity and issuance of CERs for a PoA” (version 04.1, EB 55, annex 38) to provide more clarifications and explicitly allowing the use of different combination of SSC methodologies and project/baseline technologies among CPAs of PoA covering the following situations:

- (i) When a single methodology is consistently applied to all CPAs, but with different baseline and project technologies, (e.g., different waste water treatment technologies can be applied for one PoA, using AMS-III.H);
- (ii) When multiple methodologies are applied in a PoA with a principal technology/measure consistently used across all the CPAs however with different combination of the methodologies. For example, waste water treatment projects with different ways of utilization of recovered methane (AMS-I.C for heat, AMS-I.D and AMS-I.F for electricity, or both), biomass/biogas projects with different fuel

CDM – Executive Board

Sixty-first meeting
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

displacement (AMS-I.C and AMS-I.I for fossil fuel, AMS-I.E for non-renewable biomass, or both);

(b) Allow the combination of SSC methodologies in the context of PoAs without pre-approval of combinations as long as the project proponent is able to demonstrate that there are no interactive or cross effects between the measures applied in respective component methodologies or that if there are such cross effects they are conservatively accounted for in the calculation of emission reductions in the PoA-DD;

(c) Amend the “Procedures for approval of the application of multiple methodologies to a programme of activities” (version 01.0, EB 47, annex 31), considering the above;

(d) In general, if a coordinating/managing entity aims to include different types of project/baseline technologies in a PoA and/or propose different combinations of methodologies, the PoA-DD (and generic CPA-DD) shall list all the technologies/measures and methodology combinations that will be covered by the PoA. Furthermore, the eligibility criteria of CPA inclusion shall clarify applicability of the respective combinations.

56. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the thirty-second meeting of the SSC WG will be held from 21–24 June 2011 in Bonn, Germany. The deadline for new methodology submissions to this meeting was 26 April 2011 and the deadline for submitting requests for clarifications/revisions for this meeting is 24 May 2011.

(e) **Matters relating to programme of activities**

57. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock on the progress of registration of PoAs.

Background: The information available in the section on “Programme of Activities” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/projsearch.html>>), indicates the status of PoAs submitted for registration as a single CDM project activity.

58. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider a recommendations related to PoAs arising from stakeholder consultation, as contained in annex 4 to these annotations.

Background: The Board, at its fifty-ninth meeting, launched a call for public inputs on PoA to seek comments on issues associated with the development and scaling-up of PoAs as a CDM project activity and its difficulties. The inputs received from this public call served as discussion points for a workshop organized by the UNFCCC secretariat on 7–8 May 2011 involving CDM stakeholders. The Board also agreed, at its sixtieth meeting, on priority areas related to the work of PoA to be addressed during this current year and decided on its workprogramme.

(f) **Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities**

59. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock on the progress of registration.

Background: The information available in the section on “Project Activities” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/projsearch.html>>), indicates the status of project activities submitted for registration.

Case specific

60. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the documentation for 11 requests for registration as listed in table 1 below, for which a review was commenced.

CDM – Executive Board
Sixty-first meeting
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

Background: Three (3) or more members of the Board or a Party involved requested a review of the requests for registration listed in table 1 below. The subsequent assessments of the secretariat and the Registration and Issuance Team (RIT Team) contained different proposed decisions (i.e., one to register and the other to reject) or the same proposed decisions (both to register or to reject), but received an objection from a member of the Board. In accordance with the “Procedures for review of requests for registration”, adopted by the Board at its fifty-fifth meeting, for each case listed in table 1 below, the Board shall decide whether to register the project activity or to reject the request for registration.

Table 1: Request for registration review cases for which assessments of the secretariat and the RIT Team contain different proposed decisions or an objection by a member of the Board was received

Ref No.	Title	Register	Reject
3237	Barro Blanco Hydroelectric Power Plant Project	?	?
3249	New England Landfill Gas to Energy Project	?	?
3407	Shanxi Yuncheng 25MW Biomass Power Plant Project	?	?
3462	Bangkok Kamphaeng Saen East: Landfill Gas to Electricity Project	?	?
3477	Shenzhen Dongbu LNG Power Generation Project	?	?
3498	Waste Gas for Power Generation in Fugu County Yuchao Coal Electricity Chemical Industry Co., Ltd.	?	?
3536	Beijing Yanqing Low Wind-speed Pilot Windpower Project	?	?
3581	Sichuan Lengshuikou 12.1 MW Small-Scale Bundled Hydropower Project	?	?
3860	Eléctrica del Valle de México Wind Farm	?	?
3998	“Cooperativa Lar Wastewater Treatment and Energy Generation Project”	?	?
4089	S.K. Power Wastewater Project	?	?

61. **► Action:** The Board shall continue considering the recommendation of the review team for the request for renewal of the crediting period for the project activity listed in table 2, which the Board placed under review at its fifty-sixth meeting.

Background: At its sixtieth meeting, the Board was not able to reach an agreement with regard to request for renewal of crediting period for project activity “HFC Decomposition Project in Ulsan” (0003) submitted by the DOE (DNV), and decided to continue its deliberations on the request at its sixty-first meeting. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, the Board shall decide whether to renew the crediting period for the project activity (case A in table 2), to request the DOE and project participants to make corrections (case B in table 2), or to reject the renewal of the crediting period of the project activity (case C in table 2).

Table 2: Request for renewal of the crediting period of a registered CDM project activity which was placed “Under review” at EB 56

Ref No.	Title	Register	B	C
0003	HFC Decomposition Project in Ulsan	?	?	?

General guidance

62. **► Action:** The Board may wish to consider the final assessment report of CDM project cycle operations, as contained in annex 5 to these annotations.

Background: In the context of reviewing the CDM operations and develop innovative measures for improving efficiencies in its process cycle, the Board requested the secretariat, at its fifty-ninth meeting, in the agreed CDM-MAP, to assess the process flows and identify areas for streamlining and development of timelines for processing project submissions, with a focus on ensuring predictability and sustainability of the

CDM – Executive Board**Sixty-first meeting**
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

project assessment process. The Board also agreed to clarify, consolidate and enhance the consistencies of all the existing regulatory decisions of the Board that relate to validation and verification of project activities.

63. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to hold an initial discussion on a new reporting and verification standard to ensure the actual realization of the stated sustainability benefits of CDM projects.

Background: The Board agreed, at its fifty-ninth meeting, in the CDM-MAP for 2011, to improve the standards, procedures, guidelines and tools to enhance objectivity and environmental integrity, using, where possible, new and innovative approaches.

64. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to discuss policy issues encountered by the secretariat through the experience of the assessment of cases, including first-of-its-kind and common practice analysis, continuing and real action, and an update of the highest tariffs.

Background: Following the “Procedures for review of requests for registration”, policy issues related to the policies and goals of the CDM arising from the experience of the assessment shall be highlighted to the Board.

65. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider of an information note on improvements of guidance regarding stakeholder and public participation, as contained in annex 6 to these annotations.

Background: As per the rule 21 of the Rules of procedure, a member of the Board proposed to incorporated in the agenda for this meeting issues related to the consideration of stakeholder comments and public participation.

(g) Matters relating to the issuance of certified emission reductions and the CDM registry

66. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock of the progress of issuance and the operation of the CDM registry.

Background: The information available in the section on “Issuance of CERs” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>), indicates the status of requests for issuance.

Case specific

67. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the request for permission to resubmit a previously rejected request for issuance listed in table 3 below.

Background: In accordance with paragraph 96 of the report of the twenty-eighth meeting of the Executive Board, in cases where the reasons for rejection can be addressed by means of a revised verification report, based on a revised monitoring report, if appropriate, the DOE may request, explaining the reasons, to be permitted to submit a revised request for issuance for the same monitoring period covered by the rejection.

Table 3: Request for resubmission of previously rejected requests for issuance

<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Monitoring Period</i>
0100	Small Hydropower Projects at Alupola and Badulu Oya.	01 October 2008 - 31 December 2009

68. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the request for permission to resubmit a previously withdrawn request for issuance listed in table 4 below.

Background: In accordance with paragraph 9 (c) of the annex 33 to the report of the fifty-fourth meeting of the Board, in cases where the request for issuances that have received request for review are

CDM – Executive Board

Sixty-first meeting
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

withdrawn, if the DOE intends to re-submit the request for issuance for the same monitoring period after such a withdrawal, the DOE shall request a permission to the Board to re-submit such a request.

Table 4: Request for resubmission of previously withdrawn requests for issuance

Ref No.	Title	Monitoring Period
0791	Maguan Daliangzi Hydro Power Project	22 April 2009 - 21 April 2010
1038	6.5MW WHR Project in Huasheng Tianya Cement Co., Ltd.	01 July 2009 - 31 May 2010
1083	N ₂ O decomposition project of Henan Shenma Nylon Chemical Co., Ltd	01 July 2009 - 15 December 2009
1083	N ₂ O decomposition project of Henan Shenma Nylon Chemical Co., Ltd	16 December 2009 - 30 April 2010
1535	Changwa 10 MW Small-scale Hydro Project	09 July 2008 - 31 August 2009
2162	Yidaoqiao Hydropower Project in Tiechang River, Jiulong County, Sichuan Province	31 January 2009 - 25 July 2009

69. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the response from the Meth Panel on the applicability and application of methodology AM0013, version 4, in the context of notification of changes of project activity for “Methane Recovery and Utilisation Project at TSH Kunak Oil Palm Mill” (0916), as contained in paragraph 35 of the Meth Panel report of the forty-ninth meeting.

Background: With regard to a notification of changes of the project activity for “Methane Recovery and Utilisation Project at TSH Kunak Oil Palm Mill” (0916) submitted by the DOE (TÜV Nord), the Board, at its fifty-ninth meeting, requested the Meth Panel to provide technical inputs on the applicability and application of the used methodology, in accordance with paragraph 18 of the “Procedures for notifying and requesting approval of changes from the project activity as described in the registered PDD” document adopted by the Board at its forty-eighth meeting, and to provide a recommendation to the Board.

70. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the response from the Meth Panel on “the acceptability of the alternative approach for the monitoring and determination of the parameter “Flow rate of the heat generation equipment stack gases” in the context of request for the revision of the monitoring plan for “Siam Quality Starch Wastewater Treatment and Energy Generation Project in Chaiphum, Thailand” (1993), as contained in paragraph 36 of the Meth Panel report of the forty-ninth meeting.

Background: In accordance with the “Procedures for revising monitoring plans in accordance with paragraph 57 of the Modalities and Procedures of the CDM”, the Board, at its fifty-ninth meeting, considered the submission with regard to revision of the monitoring plan for “Siam Quality Starch Wastewater Treatment and Energy Generation Project in Chaiphum, Thailand” (1993) submitted by the DOE (DNV). The Board requested the Meth Panel to provide technical inputs and to provide its recommendation to the Board regarding the acceptability of the alternative approach for the monitoring and determination of the parameter “Flow rate of the heat generation equipment stack gases”.

71. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider any requests listed in table 5, which were rejected in accordance with the provisions of the “Procedures for review of requests for Issuance of CERs” (adopted at EB 55, annex 41, paragraph 20), where a Board member has objected to the proposed final ruling of the Board.

Background: In accordance with the “Procedure for review of requests for issuance of CERs” (adopted at EB 55, annex 41, paragraph 30-31), if a Board member objects to the proposed final ruling more than two weeks prior to the next Board meeting, the matter shall be placed on the agenda of the next Board meeting; otherwise it shall be placed on the agenda of the subsequent Board meeting.

CDM – Executive Board**Sixty-first meeting**
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

Table 5: Rejected request for issuance case for which an objection by a member of the Board to the proposed final ruling was received

<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Monitoring Period</i>
1238	N ₂ O decomposition project of PetroChina Company Limited Liaoyang Petrochemical Company	01 December 2009 - 13 March 2010

IV. Management plan and resources for the work on the CDM

72. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to: (a) take note of the report by the secretariat on the status of resources and status of secretariat's recruitment and (b) take further action, as necessary.

Background: The comprehensive report on the status of resources and recruitment for the first quarter of 2011 is presented in annex 7 to these annotations.

73. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an assessment of compliance with indicative timelines for different processes, as contained in annex 8 to these annotations.

Background: The Board requested through its 2011 CDM management plan (CDM-MAP), to develop a new standard set of reports with graphic aids for all processes.

V. Other matters**(a) Regional and sub-regional distribution and capacity-building**

74. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an update on the selection of an implementing agency and the arrangements to ensure the operationalization of the loan scheme.

Background: The CMP, at its sixtieth session, requested the secretariat to make the necessary arrangements to ensure the operationalization of the loan scheme, including the selection of an institution to serve as an implementing agency, to oversee the performance of the implementing agency and to report back to the CMP.

(b) Relations with Designated Operational and Applicant Entities

75. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to (a) take note of the report by the Chair of the CDM DOE/AE Coordination Forum; and (b) provide feedback to the forum, as appropriate.

Background: Views on issues to be addressed to the Board by the CDM DOE/AE Coordination Forum are being sought from the DOEs and applicant entities (AEs). The Chair of the forum will present these orally to the Board for its consideration at the meeting.

(c) Relations with stakeholders, intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations

76. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to (a) agree to avail itself for informal briefings with registered observers in the afternoon of the last day of the meeting; and (b) invite members and alternates to share information on events in which they participated.

Background: On the occasion of the last meeting of the Board, the Chair and other members of the Board met with registered observers for an informal briefing and agreed to continue with such meetings in the afternoon of the last day of its future meetings, unless otherwise indicated.

77. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of communications submitted by the public since the last meeting and agree on any actions, as appropriate, and consider the process of dealing with communication.

CDM – Executive Board**Sixty-first meeting**
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

Background: At its thirty-first meeting, the Board revised its procedures for public communication, as contained in annex 37 to its report. These procedures shall be followed with respect to all communications.

The following communication from **Designated National Authorities (DNAs) and/or governments** have been sent to the Board prior to its sixtieth meeting:

(a) Letter from Mr. Carlos Castaño Uribe, Ministry of Environment, Housing and Territorial Development - DNA Colombia, received on 9 May 2011, relating to a request for clarification on DNA faculties in CERs issuance;

(b) Letter from Mr. Chris Huhne, Department of Energy and Climate Change - Government of UK, received on 13 April 2011, relating to allegations against a CDM project in Honduras.

The following communications from other stakeholders have been sent to the Board prior to its sixtieth meeting:

(a) Letter from Mr. David Morrison, IFJ Korea Ltd, received on 15 May 2011, relating to a complaint in regard to the delayed delivery to the Executive Board of the Ulsan renewal request;²

(b) Letter from Mr. Raja Chidambaram, URs Productively, received on 14 May 2011, relating to a report on TUV Nord' misconduct;

(c) Letter from Mr. German Rodriguez, Residencial Pinares, received on 14 May 2011, relating to Uguan CDM Project (3197);

(d) Letter from Ms. Rachel Child, PD Forum, received on 13 May 2011, relating to prior consideration issues following EB60 discussions;

(e) Letter from Mr. Lalit K. Singhanian, Indus Technical and Financial Consultant Limited, received on 5 May 2011, relating to a correction request in Methodology AMS III Q;

(f) Letter from Mr. Sujatha Ramasamy, EVI, received on 13 April 2011, relating to additionality for renewable energy projects - additional revenue;

(g) Letter from Ms. Anja Kollmuss, South Pole Carbon Asset Management Ltd., received on 12 April 2011, relating to the proposed new methodology SSC-III.A;

(h) Letter from Ms. Joelle Chassard, World Bank, received on 11 April 2011, relating to eligible CERs for 1st Kyoto compliance period;

(i) Letter from Ms. Rachel Child, PD Forum, received on 7 April 2011, relating to inputs on the annotated agenda for EB 60;

(j) Letter from Mr. Dan White, ITR, received on 5 April 2011, relating to the EB review of SSC_519;

(k) Letter from Mr. Xuebin Jiang, CNPC Transportation Company Limited, received on 4 April 2011, relating to the Meth Panel recommendation for M-DEV0394;

(l) Letter from Mr. Sander Simonetti, De Brauw Blackstone Westbroek, received on 1 April 2011, relating to LoA questions;

(m) Letter from Ms. Nevena Alexandrova, All plan, received on 31 March 2011, relating to information on CDM Project "223 MWel CCPP Skop;

² Letter added to this list, since omitted from the previous annotations.

CDM – Executive Board**Sixty-first meeting**
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

(n) Letter from Mr. Gareth Phillips, PD Forum, received on 28 March 2011, relating to investment analysis issues;

(o) Letter from Mr. Toru Komai, INEOS Fluor IFJ Korea, received on 24 March 2011, relating to the Ulsan renewal request.

(d) Other business

78. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an update on market and policy developments and raise issues requiring Board consideration.

Background: The Board, at its forty-ninth meeting, in the agreed CDM-MAP for 2011, decided to monitor and consider developments in carbon market activities and related policies and negotiations.

79. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft “CDM Executive Board decision framework: decision hierarchy, document types and control of documentation issued by the Board”, as contained in annex 9 to these annotations.

Background: The secretariat recommends to the Board to revise the hierarchy of decisions to include a definition of editorial revisions and to clarify the implications of editorial revisions on regulations.

80. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider a work plan for improving format of meeting reports and documentation of the CDM Executive Board and its panels and working groups, as contained in annex 10 to these annotations.

Background: The Board, at its forty-ninth meeting, in the agreed CDM-MAP for 2011, requested the secretariat to provide an analysis of current format of theses documentation and collect identified areas for improvement, in accordance with the CMP request, at its sixth session, to continue to improve transparency.

81. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an update regarding the translation of CDM documentation into the six UN official languages.

Background: The Board, at its sixtieth meeting, requested the secretariat to prepare a recommendation on selected documents and products of the Board to be translated into the six UN official languages and assess its financial implications. When preparing this recommendation, the secretariat should take into account the outcome of a secretariat's survey to CDM stakeholders on the documents and/or products of the Board that would be beneficial to increase the participation in the CDM if translated into these languages. In addition, the Board requested the secretariat to liaise with DNAs and other institutions to assess the already existing translated versions of CDM documentation. The Board also agreed to translate summaries of its meeting reports into the six UN official languages and requested the secretariat to take necessary actions in this regard.

82. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider any other business it deems necessary.

II. Conclusion of the meeting

83. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree the report of the sixty-first meeting.

Background: The Chair will summarize the meeting and adopt the report, including references to any decisions taken.

- - - - -