



## **CLEAN DEVELOPMENT MECHANISM EXECUTIVE BOARD**

### **PROPOSED AGENDA AND ANNOTATIONS**

Fifty-eighth meeting

UNFCCC  
Cancun, Mexico  
22–26 November 2010

#### **CONTENTS**

#### **I. PROPOSED AGENDA**

#### **II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA**

- Annex 1 - Draft “Procedure on performance monitoring of designated operational entities”
- Annex 2 - Draft “Tool to assess the validity of the original/current baseline and to update the baseline at the renewal of a crediting period”
- Annex 3 - Information note on the update on 2010 project assessments and implementation of procedures for registration, issuance and reviews
- Annex 4 - Draft “Procedures for the consideration of validation reports of emission factors for electricity systems”
- Annex 5 - Draft “Terms of reference for a Registration and Issuance Team”
- Annex 6 - Draft “Guidelines for requesting a review and making decisions and objections regarding review assessments”
- Annex 7 - Draft “Indicative work plan regarding the Board’s regulatory decisions for validation and verification”
- Annex 8 - Status of implementation of 2010 clean development mechanism management plan reporting period 1 January to 31 October 2010
- Annex 9 - Compliance with indicative timelines for different processes



## I. PROPOSED AGENDA

1. Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)
2. Adoption of the agenda
3. Work plan:
  - (a) Accreditation of operational entities
  - (b) Methodologies for baselines and monitoring plans
  - (c) Issues relating to CDM afforestation and reforestation project activities
  - (d) Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities
  - (e) Matters relating to programme of activities
  - (f) Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities \*
  - (g) Matters relating to the issuance of certified emission reductions and the CDM registry \*\*
4. Management plan and resources for the work on the CDM
5. Other matters
6. Conclusion of the meeting

\* For more information please visit the page CDM Project activities “under review” and “review requested” of the UNFCCC CDM website  
([http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/under\\_review.html](http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/under_review.html) and <http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/review.html>).

\*\* For more information please visit the “issuance of CERs” section of the UNFCCC CDM website  
(<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>).



## II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

### 1) Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)

1. ► **Action:** The Chair of the CDM Executive Board shall ascertain whether (a) the members present constitute a quorum, and (b) the absence of any member or alternate member is “without proper justification”<sup>1</sup>.

2. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider information provided by any member and alternate member, including with respect to any potential conflict of interest, and take action, as appropriate.

*Background:* The Chair shall request, at the beginning of each meeting of the Board, members and alternates to disclose whether they have any conflict of interest relating to the work of the Board.

### 2) Adoption of the agenda

3. ► **Action:** Members may wish to adopt the proposed agenda for the fifty-eighth meeting.

*Background:* At its last meeting, the Board agreed on items to be included in the provisional agenda for its fifty-eighth meeting. The proposed agenda was transmitted to the Board on 2 November 2010 and thereafter posted on the UNFCCC CDM website.

### 3) Work plan

#### (a) Accreditation of operational entities

4. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the fifty-first progress report on the work of the CDM Accreditation Panel (CDM-AP) and an oral report by its Chair, Mr. Samuel Adeoye Adejuwon, on the work of the CDM-AP.

*Background:* The CDM-AP held its fifty-first meeting on 20–22 October 2010 in Bonn, Germany.

#### *Case specific*

5. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to:

(a) Accredit and provisionally designate entities for sector specific validation and verification/certification functions;

(b) Take note of the CDM-AP’s notifications on the results of performance assessments.

*Background:* In accordance with the accreditation procedure, the recommendations for accreditation and the supporting documentation have been sent to Board members and alternates under separate cover on 8 November 2010 under confidentiality.

6. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an update on the activities of a designated operational entity (DOE).

---

<sup>1</sup> Please refer to rules 28 and 7 of the Rules of procedures of the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism, 4/CMP.1 <FCCC/KP/CMP/2005/8/Add.1>.

**CDM – Executive Board****Fifty-eighth meeting**  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

*Background:* At its fifty-fifth meeting, the Board decided to conduct a spot-check of the entity Det Norske Veritas Certification AS (DNV). It requested the CDM-AP to complete the process in an expedited manner and to submit its recommendation for consideration by the Board.

***General guidance***

7. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the synthesis of annual activity reports submitted by the DOEs.

*Background:* In accordance with paragraph 27 (g) of the CDM modalities and procedures, DOEs are required to submit an annual activity report to the Board. Guidelines for the preparation of the annual activity report by a DOE have been approved by the Board in order to assist DOEs in reporting their activities.

8. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft “Procedure on performance monitoring of designated operational entities”, as contained in annex 1 to these annotations.

*Background:* Since its forty-seventh meeting the Board has been gradually developing a system for monitoring the performance of DOEs. The result of this is that many key decisions of the Board are dispersed through-out a variety of meeting reports and other documentation. The draft procedure consolidates the existing decisions of the Board, thereby facilitating future revisions to the framework and enhancing transparency.

9. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the fifty-second meeting of the CDM-AP will be held on 17–19 January 2011 in Bonn, Germany.

**(b) Methodologies for baselines and monitoring plans**

10. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the report of the forty-sixth meeting of the Methodologies Panel (Meth Panel), and an oral report by the Chair of the Meth Panel, Mr. Lex de Jonge, on the work of the panel.

*Background:* The Meth Panel held its forty-sixth meeting from 25–29 October 2010 in Bonn, Germany. The Meth Panel dealt with case-specific issues, methodological clarifications, guidance and other issues, as specified below.

***Case specific***

11. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the Meth Panel could not conclude its consideration of the following proposed new methodologies:

(a) NM0302 “Benchmark methodology for cement and clinker manufacturing”;

(b) NM0328 “Energy efficiency and fuel switching measures in new buildings”.

The Meth Panel will further consider these methodologies at its next meetings.

***Responses to requests for clarification***

12. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the responses to the requests for clarifications AM\_CLA\_0190, AM\_CLA\_0192 and AM\_CLA\_0193, as provided by the Meth Panel and referred to in the panel report (see table 02 in paragraph 9 of the MP 46 report).

*Background:* Information on the clarifications to methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/goto/MPclar>>).

*Responses to requests for revision*

13. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree to the following responses to the requests for revision, as referred to in the Meth Panel report (see table 3 in paragraph 9 of the MP 46 report):

- (a) To accept request AM\_REV\_00190 concerning revision to the approved consolidated methodology AM0070;
- (b) To accept request AM\_REV\_00198 concerning revision to the approved consolidated methodology ACM0018;
- (c) To accept request AM\_REV\_00199 concerning revision to the approved consolidated methodology ACM0006;
- (d) To accept request AM\_REV\_00200 concerning revision to the approved consolidated methodology AM0031;
- (e) To accept request AM\_REV\_00201 concerning revision to the approved consolidated methodology AM0014.

The Meth Panel could not conclude its consideration of the requests for revision AM\_REV\_041, AM\_REV\_00157, AM\_REV\_00197 and AM\_REV\_0202, and will continue the consideration of these requests at its future meeting.

*Background:* Information on the revisions to the methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/revisions>>.

*Revisions to approved methodologies*

14. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revisions to the following approved methodologies:

- (a) **AM0031:** “Baseline Methodology for Bus Rapid Transit Projects”. The draft revision expands the applicability conditions by allowing more gaseous fossil fuels to be used in the project scenario than in the baseline scenario. The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 1 of the Meth Panel report;
- (b) **AM0070:** “Manufacturing of energy efficient domestic refrigerators”. The draft revision adds an option to calculate the market benchmark based on data from a national labelling scheme where such labelling scheme exists and where labelled refrigerators are the most efficient refrigerators in the host country. The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 3 of the Meth Panel report;
- (c) **AM0086:** “Installation of zero energy water purifier for safe drinking water application”. The draft revision improves clarity and corrects units of several parameters. The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 4 of the Meth Panel report;
- (d) **ACM0006:** “Consolidated methodology for electricity and heat generation from biomass residues”. The draft revision expands the applicability of the methodology to project activities that use biogas produced from wastewater treatment as fuel. The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 7 of the Meth Panel report;
- (e) **ACM0014:** “Mitigation of greenhouse gas emissions from treatment of industrial wastewater”. The draft revision expands the application of the methodology to include a situation in the project scenario where the wastewater is dewatered and directed to land application. The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 8 of the Meth Panel report;

## CDM – Executive Board

Fifty-eighth meeting  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

- (f) **ACM0018:** “Consolidated methodology for electricity generation from biomass residues in power-only plants”. The draft revision expands the applicability of the methodology to project activities in which biomass residues undergo shredding, bricketting or pelletization prior to combustion. The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 9 of the Meth Panel report.

*Background:* Information on the revisions to the methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/revisions>>.

15. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revisions to the following approved methodologies that were undertaken following requests from the Board:

- (a) **AM0034:** “Catalytic reduction of N<sub>2</sub>O inside the ammonia burner of nitric acid plants”. In response to the request contained in paragraph 29 of the report of the fifty-sixth meeting of the Board, the panel revised the methodology to include the definition of the abnormal campaign. The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 2 of the Meth Panel report;
- (b) **AM0089:** “Production of diesel using a mixed feedstock of gasoil and vegetable oil”. In response to the request contained in paragraph 21 of the report of the fifty-sixth meeting of the Board, the panel revised the methodology by excluding the consumers from the project boundary. The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 5 of the Meth Panel report.
- (c) **ACM0002:** “Consolidated baseline methodology for grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources”. In response to the request contained in paragraph 25(a) of the report of the fifty-sixth meeting of the Board, the panel revised the methodology to include a definition for a reservoir and approved clarification on the term “existing reservoir”. The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 6 of the Meth Panel report.

*Background:* Information on the revisions to the methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/revisions>>.

**General guidance and tools**

16. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the draft revised “Guidelines on the assessment of investment analysis” as contained in annex 10 of the Meth Panel report.

*Background:* In response to the request from the Board at its fifty-fifth meeting, the panel recommended the Board to approve a revision to the “Guidelines on the assessment of investment analysis”. The proposed revision provides further guidance on determining the financial benchmark, including guidance on the determination of the expected return on equity, the cost of debt and the percentage of debt and equity funding. The panel agreed that this additional guidance could be incorporated in the existing guideline and that therefore the development of a new “Tool to determine the weighted average cost of capital”, as envisaged earlier, may not be necessary.

A key element of the draft revised guidelines is a table providing default values for the expected return on equity for different project types by host countries. The default values are composed of a risk free rate, an equity premium, a country specific risk premium and an adjustment factor for different project types.

17. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to open a call for public comments on the draft “Tool for baseline scenario identification and baseline emission calculations” and to consider organizing a technical workshop on this tool.

*Background:* The Meth Panel recommends the Board to open a call for public comments on the draft “Tool for baseline scenario identification and baseline emission calculations”. The call should be aimed at collecting opinions and ideas that might be used in further development of the tool in order to ensure that it

## CDM – Executive Board

Fifty-eighth meeting  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

meets expectations of project developers before it is recommended to the Board. The panel further requested the Board to organize a workshop with CDM stakeholders on the issues from the outcome of the call to be used as input for the discussion.

18. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an update on the revision of approved methodologies to further improve their objectivity, applicability, usability and consistency.

*Background:* The Board, at its forty-ninth meeting, requested the Meth Panel to revise approved methodologies to further improve their objectivity, applicability, usability and consistency. The Board requested the secretariat to report on the progress of this work.

19. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft “Tool to assess the validity of the original/current baseline and to update the baseline at the renewal of a crediting period”, as contained in annex 2 to these annotations.

*Background:* The Board, at its fifty-sixth meeting, requested the secretariat to revise the “Tool to assess the validity of the original/current baseline and to update the baseline at the renewal of a crediting period”, to cover cases when end of the technical lifetime of the baseline equipment occurs before the end of the first, second and third renewable crediting period, taking into account guidance provided in paragraph 49 (a) of the decision 3/CMP.1, and to make the revised tool available for consideration by the Board at its fifty-eighth meeting.

20. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an update on the progress made in the production of a methodology booklet promoting the awareness of CDM methodologies.

*Background:* The Board in its report to the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol (CMP) at its fifth session agreed to promote the awareness of methodologies, focusing on the methodologies that are used most frequently. At its fifty-fifth meeting, the Board encouraged the secretariat to finalize the work on the methodology booklet.

21. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the work undertaken by the Meth Panel on HCFC-22 production and HFC-23 generation as related to methodology AM0001.

*Background:* The Board, at its fifty-sixth meeting, requested the panel to finalize the recommendation to the Board on HCFC-22 production and HFC-23 generation as related to methodology AM0001 to be considered by the Board at its fifty-eighth meeting. The Board also requested the Meth Panel to hold an extraordinary meeting on 15–16 November 2010 to finalize this work.

22. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the Meth Panel considered the issue related to the monitoring requirement of the parameter “Waste heat use within the cement works and normal uses of waste heat in cement production commonly practiced in the region or Host country”, contained in the methodology AM0024 “Baseline methodology for greenhouse gas reductions through waste heat recovery and utilization for power generation at cement plants”, as per the request made by the Board, through the secretariat, while evaluating specific project case requesting the registration. The panel agreed that the requirement to monitor the above parameter is redundant, hence the parameter need not be monitored.

23. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the Meth Panel considered the requests contained in paragraph 29 (d) of the report of the forty-fifth meeting of the Board and in paragraph 28 of the report of the fifty-third meeting of the Board. The panel agreed that further consideration of the issue is required before a final response is provided to the Board. The panel will continue to consider the issue at its forty-eighth meeting.

24. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the Meth Panel could not conclude its consideration of the “Tool to calculate the grid emission factor” to further improve it for project activities hosted in countries

**CDM – Executive Board****Fifty-eighth meeting**  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

with a paucity of relevant data, including providing flexibility for the calculation of grid emission factors. The Meth Panel will further consider this issue at its forty-eighth meeting.

25. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the Meth Panel could not conclude its consideration of issues related to methods for including in baseline and monitoring methodologies, as appropriate, a scenario where future anthropogenic emissions by sources are projected to rise above current levels due to specific circumstances of the host Party. The Meth Panel will further consider this issue at its forty-eighth meeting.

26. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the forty-eighth meeting of the Meth Panel will be held on 17–21 January 2011 in Bonn, Germany.

**(c) Issues relating to afforestation and reforestation CDM project activities**

27. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the report on the work of the thirtieth meeting of the Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group (A/R WG) and an oral report by its Chair, Mr. José Domingos Miguez, on the work of the group.

*Background:* The A/R WG held its thirtieth meeting on 18–20 October 2010 in Bonn, Germany. The A/R WG dealt with case-specific issues and general issues.

***Case specific***

28. ► **Action:** Taking into consideration the recommendations by the A/R WG, the Board may wish to approve the new A/R methodology AR-AM00XX: “Afforestation or reforestation of degraded or abandoned agricultural land”.

*Background:* The A/R WG considered the new A/R methodology, and agreed to recommend to the Board to approve the new methodology. The recommendations by the A/R WG are contained in paragraph 6 of the report of the thirtieth meeting of the A/R WG available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<https://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ar>>.

***Revisions to approved methodologies***

29. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revisions to the following approved methodology:

- (a) **AR-AM0006:** “Afforestation/Reforestation with Trees Supported by Shrubs on Degraded Land”. The draft revised baseline and monitoring methodology AR-AM0006 is contained in annex 2 of the A/R WG report.

*Background:* The A/R WG considered revision of the approved methodology AR-AM0006, and agreed to recommend to the Board to approve the revised methodology.

***General guidance and tools***

30. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the draft revision to the “Tool for estimation of Carbon Stocks, Removals and Emissions for the Dead Organic Matter Pools due to Implementation of a CDM A/R Project Activity”, as contained in annex 3 of the A/R WG report.

*Background:* The A/R WG, at its thirtieth meeting, agreed to recommend to the Board to approve the revised tool.

31. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the draft revision to the tool “Calculation of the number of sample plots for measurements within A/R CDM project activities”, as contained in annex 4 of the A/R WG report.



## CDM – Executive Board

Fifty-eighth meeting  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

*Background:* The A/R WG, at its thirtieth meeting, agreed to recommend to the Board to approve the revised tool.

32. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the draft spreadsheet for the “Tool for estimation of change in soil organic carbon stocks due to the implementation of A/R CDM project activities”. The draft spreadsheet is provided at the following link on the UNFCCC CDM website:  
<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ar>>.

*Background:* The A/R WG, at its thirtieth meeting, agreed to recommend to the Board to approve the above-mentioned spreadsheet as a standardized utility for calculations.

33. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the thirty-first meeting of the A/R WG will be held on 14–16 March 2011 in Bonn, Germany.

**(d) Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities**

34. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the report of the twenty-eighth meeting of the Small Scale Working Group (SSC WG) and an oral report by the Chair of the working group, Mr. Peer Stiansen, on the work of the group.

*Background:* The SSC WG held its twenty-eight meeting on 19–22 October 2010 in Bonn, Germany. The SSC WG dealt with case-specific issues, process and methodological clarifications and other issues, as specified below.

***Case specific***

35. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the methodologies:

(a) SSC-III.AO “Methane recovery through controlled anaerobic digestion”, as contained in annex 2 of the report of the twenty-eighth meeting of the SSC WG;

*Background:* In response to a number of pertinent submissions (e.g. SSC\_461, SSC\_462 and SSC\_445), the SSC WG agreed to recommend the methodology. The methodology is for anaerobic controlled biological treatment of biomass i.e. digestion and co-digestion of waste including manure.

(b) SSC-III.AP “Transport energy efficiency activities using post - fit Idling Stop device”, as contained in annex 5 of the report of the twenty-eighth meeting of the SSC WG;

*Background:* In response to SSC-NM052-rev “Transport energy efficiency activities using post - fit Idling Stop device”, the SSC WG agreed to recommend a new methodology. This methodology comprises installation of post-fit type Idling Stop devices in passenger vehicles used for public transport (e.g. buses), in order to reduce fossil fuel consumption and GHG emissions.

(c) SSC-III.AQ “Introduction of Bio-CNG in transportation applications”, as contained in annex 6 of the report of the twenty-eighth meeting of the SSC WG;

*Background:* In response to SSC-NM055-rev “Introduction of Bio-CNG in road transportation”, the SSC WG agreed to recommend the methodology. The methodology involves project activities that produce Biogenic Compressed Natural Gas (Bio-CNG) from renewable biomass sources including waste organic matters and utilize as a fuel for transportation applications (e.g. compressed natural gas fuelled vehicles and modified gasoline vehicles).

(d) SSC-III.AR “Substituting fossil fuel based lighting with LED lighting systems”, as contained in annex 7 of the report of the twenty-eighth meeting of the SSC WG.

## CDM – Executive Board

Fifty-eighth meeting  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

*Background:* As envisaged in the workprogramme of the SSC WG <[http://cdm.unfccc.int/reference/Notes/info\\_note06.pdf](http://cdm.unfccc.int/reference/Notes/info_note06.pdf)> the group developed in consultation with project proponents, experts and public and agreed to recommend a Type III methodology. The methodology includes several conservative default values for determining the emissions from the baseline fossil fuel lighting equipment to enable simplified determination of baseline emissions. It also includes quality requirements for project equipment, i.e. LED lighting systems distributed under the CDM project to ensure continued provision of lighting service at the same time as simplified survey requirement for the retention rates of project equipment. The group agreed to indicate that the methodology may have high relevance for expanded application of CDM to least developed countries (LDCs) and small island developing states (SIDs).

The recommendations by the SSC WG related to items (a) to (d) above are contained in paragraphs 7 (b) and 30-37 of the report of the twenty-eighth of the SSC WG available on the UNFCCC CDM website <[http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ssc\\_wg/index.html](http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ssc_wg/index.html)>.

36. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the responses to the requests for clarifications SSC\_456 to SSC\_460, SSC\_462 to SSC\_466, SSC\_469 to SSC\_471 and SSC\_473 to SSC\_480 and the responses to the requests for revisions SSC\_467, SSC\_468 and SSC\_472, as provided by the SSC WG and referred to in the SSC WG report (see paragraphs 4 to 6 and 10 to 29 of the SSC WG 28 report).

*Background:* Information on the clarifications to methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/SSCmethodologies/clarifications>>.

**Revisions of approved methodologies**

37. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the following revisions of SSC methodologies:

(a) Revision of AMS-III.F: In response to a number of pertinent submissions (e.g. SSC\_461, SSC\_462 and SSC\_445), the SSC WG recommended a revision of AMS-III.F “Avoidance of methane emissions through composting”, as contained in annex 1 of the SSC WG 28 report, to clarify that the methodology is only applicable for composting of municipal solid waste and other organic matter such as manure. Co-treatment of different types of waste in the same anaerobic treatment facility ( e.g. manure and municipal solid waste in the same biogas digester) is now covered under the proposed methodology AMS-III.AO;

(b) Revision of AMS-III.H: In response to a number of pertinent submissions (e.g. SSC\_461, SSC\_469), the SSC WG recommended a revision of AMS-III.H “Methane recovery in wastewater treatment”, as contained in annex 3 of the SSC WG 28 report, to include additional guidelines pertaining to transport of biogas (e.g. by trucks) and application of upgraded biogas in transportation applications. Additional procedures for determining baseline emissions through measurement campaign are also included;

(c) Revision of AMS-III.D: In response to SSC\_461, the SSC WG agreed to recommend a revision of AMS-III.D “Methane recovery in animal manure management systems”, as contained in annex 4 of the SSC WG 28 report, to broaden the applicability to cover centralised anaerobic treatment of animal manure collected from different farms and includes provisions for estimating baseline emissions based on the direct measurement of manure quantity and its volatile solids.

*Background:* Information on the revisions to the methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<https://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/SSCmethodologies/clarifications>>.

38. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the case SSC\_NM062 was deemed not suitable for recommendation to the Board by the SSC WG (see SSC WG 28 report).

*General guidance and tools*

39. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the revision of the general guidelines to SSC CDM methodologies as contained in annex 8 of the SSC WG 28 report to include additional guidelines pertaining to combinations of SSC methodologies that could be applied in a programme of activities (PoA) without specific pre-approval of combinations.

*Background:* The Board, at its fifty-sixth meeting, requested the update of the general guidelines to SSC methodologies by including combinations of SSC methodologies approved by the Board that could be applied in a PoA without specific pre-approval of combinations. Further it requested the SSC WG to continue working on the issue and make recommendations on further combination of methodologies suitable for application in PoAs without the need for a prior approval. The SSC WG at its twenty-eighth meeting recommended that any combination of SSC methodologies that has been applied in a registered CDM project may also be applied in the context of PoAs as long as the project proponent is able to demonstrate that there are no interactive or cross effects between the measures applied in respective component methodologies or that if there are such cross effects they are conservatively accounted for in the calculation of CERs. For example, if under a CPA, a lighting energy efficiency project is achieved under one component/methodology and a lighting control efficiency project is achieved in the same buildings under another methodology/component then the reduced energy consumption of the lights should be taken into account when determining savings from the lighting controls project.

40. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider opening a call for public inputs with regard to feasibility of a calculation tool to determine an emission factor for a water supply system/grid.

*Background:* The SSC WG at its twenty-eighth meeting, noted that there is significant potential for both emission reductions and sustainable development through water conservation activities. Such activities can reduce the energy consumption associated with water supply and treatment systems, for example through the reduction in energy use in water pumping requirements. However, a barrier to cost effectively implementing such conservation projects is that the savings may be associated with multiple water systems within a region and thus the calculation of energy savings and emission reductions per unit of water saved can be complex; this is similar to the situation associated with electricity saving associated with a power grid. Therefore, the SSC WG recommends that a call for public comment be issued for creation of a calculation tool to determine an emission factor for a water supply system/grid. Such a tool may be similar in concept to the “Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system”. The tool should be applicable to small-scale water conservation projects and PoAs in industrial, agricultural, commercial and residential facilities where the source of water is a regional (or national) water supply system with or without a regional water treatment system.

41. ► **Note:** The SSC WG continued working on several top-down draft methodologies and items related to general guidelines to SSC methodologies as envisaged in the workprogramme of SSC WG.

*Background:* The Board may wish to take note that the SSC WG considered a draft new methodology for application of biogas in small scale end use applications (e.g. cooking, drying etc.). The working group agreed to continue to consider the methodology taking into account inputs from the project proponents and make a recommendation at its twenty-ninth meeting. The SSC WG also agreed to work on a new methodology for solar cooker applications, clarifying issues related to baseline determination and monitoring (e.g. thermal energy output, efficiency testing) for recommendation to the Board at a future meeting.

Further the SSC WG continued to consider the methodology for small scale solar water heating and the methodology for efficient outdoor/street lighting technologies taking into account public and expert inputs and agreed to make a recommendation in early 2011. The SSC WG appreciates all the input received and encourages continued interaction and input from project proponents during the development of methodologies.

**CDM – Executive Board**
**Fifty-eighth meeting**  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

42. **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the twenty-ninth meeting of the SSC WG will be held from 11–14 January 2011 in Bonn, Germany.

**(e) Matters relating to programme of activities (PoA)**

43. **► Action:** The Board may wish to take stock on the progress of registration of PoAs.

*Background:* The information available in the section on “Programme of Activities” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/ProgrammeOfActivities/index.html>>), indicates the status of PoAs submitted for registration as a single CDM project activity.

**(f) Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities**

44. **► Action:** The Board may wish to take stock on the progress of registration.

*Background:* The information available in the section on “Project Activities” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects>>), indicates the status of project activities submitted for registration.

***Case specific - processed in accordance with previous review procedures***

45. **► Action:** The Board shall consider the recommendation of the review teams for 24 project activities listed in table 1, which the Board placed under review at its fifty-sixth meeting.

*Background:* At its fifty-sixth meeting, the Board decided to place the project activities identified in table 1 below under review. A review has been conducted by the review teams and recommendations have been circulated to the Board. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, the Board shall decide whether to register the project activity (case A in table 1), to request the DOE and project participants (PPs) to make corrections (case B in table 1), or to reject the project activity (case C in table 1). Project activities “Tiantie Metallurgy Group CDQ Project” (3162) and “6 MW Biomass Based Power Project in Assam by BEPL” (3189) were also placed under review by the Board at its fifty-sixth meeting; however, the DOE and PP have withdrawn these requests for registration.

*Table 1: Requests for registration which were placed “Under review” at EB56*

Ref No.	Title	A	B	C
2705	Hangzhou Huadian Banshan Power Generation Co., Ltd.’s Natural Gas Power Generation Project	?	?	?
3015	Yunnan Kegonghe 10MW Hydropower Project	?	?	?
3028	Kamolang Geothermal	?	?	?
3067	Tunlan Coal Mine Methane Utilization Project, Shanxi Province, People’s Republic of China	?	?	?
3082	Yunnan Youfanggou Hydropower Project	?	?	?
3107	Xinjiang Dabancheng Sanchang Phase IV Wind Power Project	?	?	?
3122	Jilin Longyuan Changling Shuanglong Phase I Wind Power Project	?	?	?
3130	Ningxia Rujigou Coal Mine Methane Power Generation Project	?	?	?
3134	Inner Mongolia Saiwusu I Wind Power Project	?	?	?
3180	Malan Coal Mine Methane Utilisation Project	?	?	?
3183	Xinjiang Midong Tianshan Cement Co. Ltd’s 1600td Utilization Calcium Carbide for Cement Clinker Project	?	?	?
3188	Thermal energy generation from renewable biomass by Amir Chand Jagdish Kumar Exports Ltd.	?	?	?



## CDM – Executive Board

Fifty-eighth meeting  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

Ref No.	Title	A	B	C
3192	Biogas recovery and Thermal Power production at CITRUSVIL Citric Plant in Tucumán, Argentina	?	?	?
3193	Wayang Windu Phase 2 Geothermal Power Project	?	?	?
3212	Funing County Gula Township Nalin Hydropower Station	?	?	?
3219	SDIC Xiyang Baiyangling CMM to power generation project	?	?	?
3225	Energy efficient power generation in Tirora, India	?	?	?
3260	Suzhou Landfill in Anhui Province Gas Utilization Project	?	?	?
3269	Guangxi Longsheng Lejiang Hydropower Project	?	?	?
3280	Yunnan Wenshan Yanlashan Hydropower Project	?	?	?
3289	Ningxia Wulan Coal Mine Methane Power Generation Project	?	?	?
3312	Hebei Kangbao Sanxiatian Wind Farm Project	?	?	?
3335	Trang Palm Oil Wastewater Treatment Project in Trang Province, Thailand	?	?	?
3393	Sichuan Jinyanxi 8MW Hydropower Project	?	?	?

46. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider corrections made to the proposed CDM project activities listed in table 2 below.

*Background:* At previous meetings, following consideration by the Board of the reviews of requests for registration of the project activities listed in table 2 below, the Board agreed that the project activities could be registered following corrections. The DOEs have submitted revised documentation which the Board may wish to consider in accordance with the clarifications to paragraph 18(b) of the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”. If the Board considers the corrections as satisfactory, the projects shall be registered (case A in table 2), otherwise the requests are rejected (case B in table 2).

Table 2: Projects to be registered with corrections following consideration of a review

Ref No.	Title	A	B
2799	Hunan Shatian Hydroelectric Project	?	?

47. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the recommendation of the review team for the request for renewal of the crediting period for the project activity listed in table 3, which the Board placed under review at its fifty-sixth meeting.

*Background:* At its fifty-sixth meeting, the Board decided to place the request for renewal of the crediting period for the project activity identified in table 3 below under review. A review has been conducted by the review team and a recommendation has been circulated to the Board. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, the Board shall decide whether to renew the crediting period for the project activity (case A in table 3), to request the DOE and PPs to make corrections (case B in table 3), or to reject the renewal of the crediting period of the project activity (case C in table 3).

Table 3: Request for renewal of the crediting period of a registered CDM project activity which was placed “Under review” at EB56

Ref No.	Title	A	B	C
0003	HFC Decomposition Project in Ulsan	?	?	?

**CDM – Executive Board**
**Fifty-eighth meeting**  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

*Case specific -processed in accordance with new review procedures*

48. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the documentation for 8 requests for registration as listed in table 4 below, for which a review was commenced.

*Background:* Three (3) or more members of the Executive Board or a Party involved requested a review of the requests for registration listed in table 4 below. The subsequent assessments of the secretariat and the Registration and Issuance Team (RIT Team) contained different proposed decisions (i.e. one to register and the other to reject), or the same proposed decision (both to register, or both to reject) which received an objection from a member of the Board. In accordance with the “Procedures for review of requests for registration”, adopted by the Board at its fifty-fifth meeting, for each case listed in table 4 below the Board shall decide whether to register the project activity or to reject the request for registration.

*Table 4: Request for registration review cases for which assessments of the secretariat and the RIT Team contain different proposed decisions or an objection by a member of the Board was received*

Ref No.	Title	Register	Reject
3102	Jilin Nongan 50MW Biomass Power Plant Project	?	?
3262	Siam Cement (Thung Song) Waste Heat Power Generation Project (TS46 Project)	?	?
3447	Inner Mongolia Bayannaoer Chuanjingsumu ( III ) Wind Power Project	?	?
3465	Inner Mongolia Mangniuhai II Wind Power Project	?	?
3501	Zafarana 8 - Wind Power Plant Project, Arab Republic of Egypt	?	?
3524	Caojie Hydropower Project on Jiangling River in Chongqing City	?	?
3550	Wind Power Project by M/s Chhotabhai Jethabhai Patel & Co. (CJP) at Sinnar, Maharashtra	?	?
3603	Huaneng Binhai Wind Farm Project	?	?

**Registration procedure/General guidance**

49. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider a report on the update of the 2010 project assessments and implementation of procedures for registration, issuance and reviews, as contained in annex 3 to these annotations.

*Background:* The Board, at its fifty-seventh meeting, considered an update of the implementation of the new procedures for registration and issuance. Due to the short period of implementation of the procedure at that time, a full comprehensive document has been planned to be provided at this meeting.

50. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider draft “Procedures for the consideration of validation reports of emission factors for electricity systems”, as contained in annex 4 to these annotations.

*Background:* The Board at its fifty-fourth meeting requested the secretariat to develop procedures for the Board to consider grid emission factors published by DNAs and validated by a DOE.

51. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft “Terms of reference for a Registration and Issuance Team”, as contained in annex 5 to these annotations.

*Background:* The Board requested the secretariat to prepare a revision of the terms of reference of the Registration and Issuance Team to adjust them to the new procedures for review of request for registration and issuance.

52. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft “Guidelines for requesting a review and making decisions and objections regarding review assessments”, as contained in annex 6 to these annotations.

**CDM – Executive Board**
**Fifty-eighth meeting**  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

*Background:* The Board requested the secretariat to prepare a revision of the guideline for decision-making in request for review cases to adjust them to the new procedures for review of requests for registration and issuance.

**(g) Matters relating to the issuance of certified emission reductions and the CDM registry**

53. **► Action:** The Board may wish to take stock of the progress of issuance and the operation of the CDM registry.

*Background:* The information available in the section on “Issuance of CERs” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>), indicates the status of request for issuance.

***Case specific - processed in accordance with previous review procedures***

54. **► Action:** The Board shall consider the recommendation of the review teams for 7 requests for issuance for project activities listed in table 5, which the Board placed under review at its fifty-sixth meeting.

*Background:* At its fifty-sixth meeting, the Board decided to place the requests for issuance for project activities identified in table 5 below under review. A review has been conducted by the review teams and recommendations have been circulated to the Board. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 65 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, the Board shall decide whether to issue CERs for the project activity (case A in table 5), to request the DOE and PPs to make corrections (case B in table 5), or to reject the request for issuance (case C in table 5). Requests for issuance for project activities “Optimal utilization of clinker: Substitution of Clinker by Slag in Portland Slag Cement at OCL, Rajgangpur, Sundargarh, Orissa” (0579) and “Yidaoqiao Hydropower Project in Tiechang River, Jiulong County, Sichuan Province” (2162) were also placed under review by the Board at its fifty-sixth meeting; however, the DOE and PP have withdrawn these requests for issuance.

*Table 5: Requests for issuance which were placed “Under review” at EB 56*

<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>
0085	Hapugastenne and Hulu Ganga Small Hydropower Projects.	?	?	?
0151	Quimobásicos HFC Recovery and Decomposition Project	?	?	?
0193	HFC23 Decomposition Project of Zhejiang Juhua Co., Ltd, P. R. China	?	?	?
0419	AWMS GHG Mitigation Project BR05-B-13, Goiás and Minas Gerais, Brazil	?	?	?
0838	GHG emission reduction by thermal oxidation of HFC 23 at Navin Fluorine International Limited (NFIL), Surat, Gujarat, India	?	?	?
2470	Upgradation, Operation and Maintenance of 200 TPD Composting facility at Okhla, Delhi	?	?	?
2502	Upgradation and expansion of A.P.M.C compost plant at Tikri, Delhi	?	?	?

55. **► Action:** The Board may wish to consider the submission by a DOE with regard to notification of changes or request for approval of changes from the registered project design document (PDD) as listed in table 6 below.

*Background:* The DOE may submit a notification or a request for approval of changes from the registered PDD in accordance with the “Procedures for notifying and requesting approval of changes from the project activity as described in the registered PDD”. The Board, according to these procedures, shall decide if (a) To accept the changes and allow the subsequent requests for issuance; (b) To accept the changes and allow the subsequent requests for issuance, but limit the Certified Emission Reductions up to the level estimated in the original PDD; (c) To reject the changes and not allow the subsequent requests for issuance.

**CDM – Executive Board**
**Fifty-eighth meeting**  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

*Table 6: Notifications of changes/requests for approval of changes from the registered PDD*

Ref No.	Title	Type
0528	Shri Bajrang WHR CDM Project	Request
0544	Jilin Taobei Fuyu 49.5MW Wind Power Project	Notification
1405	CEMEX Costa Rica: Use of biomass residues in Colorado cement plant	Notification

56. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the request for permission to resubmit a previously rejected request for issuance listed in table 7 below.

*Background:* In accordance with paragraph 96 of the report of the twenty-eighth meeting of the Executive Board, in cases where the reasons for rejection can be addressed by means of a revised verification report, based on a revised monitoring report, if appropriate, the DOE may request, explaining the reasons, to be permitted to submit a revised request for issuance for the same monitoring period covered by the rejection.

*Table 7: Request for resubmission of previously rejected requests for issuance*

Ref No.	Title	Monitoring Period
0526	Indocement Blended Cement Project	01 January 2005 to 31 October 2006

57. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the request for revision of monitoring plan for the project activity listed in table 8 below.

*Background:* The Chair of the Meth Panel, in consultation with the Chair of the Board, requested further discussion on this case by the Board in accordance with paragraph 15 of the “Procedures for revising monitoring plans in accordance with paragraph 57 of the Modalities and Procedures of the CDM”, adopted by the Board at its forty-ninth meeting. In accordance with these procedures, the Board shall decide whether to approve the revised monitoring plan (case A in table 8), to approve the revised monitoring plan with corrections (case B in table 8), or to reject the revised monitoring plan (case C in table 8).

*Table 8: Request for revision of monitoring plan*

Ref No.	Title	A	B	C
1405	CEMEX Costa Rica: Use of biomass residues in Colorado cement plant	?	?	?

**Case specific - processed in accordance with new review procedures**

58. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the documentation for 17 requests for issuance as listed in table 9 below, for which a review was commenced.

*Background:* Three (3) or more members of the Executive Board or a Party involved requested a review of the requests for issuance listed in table 9 below. The subsequent assessments of the secretariat and the Registration and Issuance Team (RIT Team) contained different proposed decisions (i.e. one to approve and the other to reject), or the same proposed decision (both to approve, or both to reject) which received an objection from a member of the Board. In accordance with the “Procedures for review of requests for issuance”, adopted by the Board at its fifty-fifth meeting, for each case listed in table 9 below, the Board shall decide whether to approve or to reject the request for issuance.





## CDM – Executive Board

Fifty-eighth meeting  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

Table 9: Request for issuance review cases for which assessments of the secretariat and the RIT Team contain different proposed decisions or an objection by a member of the Board was received

Ref. No.	Title	Monitoring period	No. of CERs	Approve	Reject
0003	HFC Decomposition Project in Ulsan	01 Apr 2009 - 30 Jun 2009	626331	?	?
0011	Project for GHG Emission Reduction by Thermal Oxidation of HFC23 in Jiangsu Meilan Chemical CO. Ltd., Jiangsu Province, China	01 Sep 2009 - 30 Nov 2009	1320235	?	?
0193	HFC23 Decomposition Project of Zhejiang Juhua Co., Ltd, P. R. China	01 Dec 2009 - 31 Dec 2009	499090	?	?
		01 Sep 2009 - 30 Nov 2009	1441592	?	?
0232	Shandong Dongyue HFC23 Decomposition Project	01 Sep 2009 - 31 Dec 2009	3177013	?	?
0306	Project for HFC23 Decomposition at Changshu 3F Zhonghao New Chemical Materials Co. Ltd, Changshu, Jiangsu Province, China	26 Sep 2009 - 21 Dec 2009	0	?	?
		26 Jun 2009 - 25 Sep 2009	3260020	?	?
0499	Destruction of HFC-23 at refrigerant (HCFC-22) manufacturing facility of Chemplast Sanmar Ltd	01 Jul 2009 - 31 Dec 2009	317173	?	?
0520	Cachoeira Encoberta and Triunfo Small Hydroelectric Power Plants - Brascan Energética Minas Gerais S.A (BEMG) Project Activity	01 Jan 2009 - 31 Dec 2009	65379	?	?
0549	Project for HFC23 Decomposition at Zhejiang Dongyang Chemical Co., Ltd., China	06 Jul 2009 - 31 Oct 2009	0	?	?
		01 Nov 2009 - 30 Dec 2009	1093122	?	?
0550	Project for HFC23 Decomposition at Limin Chemical Co., Ltd. Linhai, Zhejiang Province, China	01 Aug 2009 - 31 Dec 2009	1742146	?	?
0767	HFC23 Decomposition Project at Zhonghao Chenguang Research Institute of Chemical Industry, Zigong, SiChuan Province, China	26 Jul 2009 - 25 Dec 2009	951731	?	?



## CDM – Executive Board

Fifty-eighth meeting  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

Ref. No.	Title	Monitoring period	No. of CERs	Approve	Reject
0830	Rialma Companhia Energética I S/A. - Santa Edwiges I Small Hydro Power Plant - Small Scale CDM Project	01 Jan 2009 - 31 Dec 2009	14005	?	?
1105	Changshu Haike HFC 23 Decomposition Project	01 Dec 2009 - 31 Jan 2010	797183	?	?
1194	China Fluoro HFC23 abatement project in China	14 Sep 2009 - 31 Dec 2009	1403586	?	?
		01 Jan 2010 - 31 Mar 2010	1165744	?	?

**Issuance procedure/General guidance**

59. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider a draft “Indicative work plan regarding the Board’s regulatory decisions for validation and verification”, as contained in annex 7 to these annotations.

*Background:* The Board at its fifty-fourth meeting, requested the secretariat to prepare draft revisions to the “Guidelines on assessment of different types of changes from the project activity as described in the registered PDD”, to incorporate the examples of minor changes that could be dealt in the verification report, for its consideration at a future meeting. In developing a revision to this document the secretariat has noted a number of inconsistencies in the application of the hierarchy of decisions. In reviewing the current documentation related to the rules for validation and verification the secretariat has noted that this is a recurring problem. This issue has impacts on such a quantity of documents that it is not possible to approach the revisions in a piecemeal fashion, as this would result in requirements being located in two places or a requirement being temporarily deleted during the revision process. Therefore, the secretariat is proposing a work plan to revise the “Clean Development Mechanism Validation and Verification Manual” (VVM) and streamline existing procedures to enhance the transparency and consistency of the Board’s decisions.

**4) Management plan and resources for the work on the CDM**

60. ► **Action:** The Board may also wish to consider an update on the status of implementation of the 2010 CDM management plan (CDM-MAP) reporting period 1 January to 31 October 2010, as contained in annex 8 to these annotations.

*Background:* The Board, at its fifty-sixth meeting, requested the secretariat to create a suitable monitoring system to assess its implementation and report back to the Board on a regular basis with particular emphasis on the improvements achieved through the new secretariat structure.

61. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an assessment of compliance with indicative timelines for different processes set by the Board in different processes, as contained in annex 9 to these annotations.

*Background:* At its last meeting, the Board considered an assessment of compliance with indicative timelines for different processes set by the Board in different processes and requested the secretariat to continue to report on all processes.

## 5) Other matters

### (a) Tentative strategic priorities for 2011

62. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to set tentative strategic priorities for its work in the coming year, as a basis for agreeing on its work plan and the CDM-MAP for 2011 at its fifty-ninth meeting.

*Background:* The Board agreed at its fifty-seventh meeting to have a strategic discussion at its next meeting with a view to setting tentative priorities for 2011. This builds upon discussions at its policy retreat held back-to-back with its fifty-sixth meeting, in which several concrete actions relating to strategic priorities for its work were discussed. These included the setting of the strategic direction and planning of annual priorities, within the mandates given by the CMP, for reporting to the CMP and to initiate the preparation of the forthcoming CDM-MAP; stronger, ongoing implementation planning by the secretariat, with regular monitoring and reporting to the Board; and ensuring realistic agendas for meetings.

The tentative strategic priorities identified at this meeting, together with any additional mandates to the Board and the secretariat provided by the CMP at its sixth session, will form the basis for the preparation of a draft work plan and CDM-MAP for 2011.

A number of specific issues and indicative work plans on the agenda of this meeting are relevant to the setting of the tentative strategic priorities of the Board, in particular:

(a) Status of implementation of registration and issuance procedures under agenda item 3 (f) (see paragraphs 49 and annex 4). As well as noting the status of implementation of the revised procedures and means to ensure that the current backlog of cases is effectively addressed in the short term, annex 4 includes a discussion of means to ensure the long-term sustainability of project assessments;

(b) Draft “indicative work plan regarding the Board’s regulatory decisions for validation and verification” under agenda item 3 (g) (see paragraphs 58 and annex 8). At its forty-ninth meeting, the Board directed the secretariat to comprehensively revise the VVM every two years. In its report to the fifth session of the CMP, the Board reported its agreement to develop and implement a work programme for undertaking a stocktaking and systematic review of guidance established on the operation of the CDM;

(c) Status on the implementation of the CDM-MAP, status of income, expenditure and recruitment under agenda item 4 (see paragraphs 59 and annex 9).

### (b) Relations with Designated National Authorities

63. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of an update on the Designated National Authorities Forum (DNA Forum) meetings.

*Background:* The CMP, at its first session, requested the Board, in relation to regional distribution and capacity-building, to broaden the participation in the CDM, including through meetings with a DNA Forum on a regular basis in conjunction with meetings of CMP and its subsidiary bodies. The Board, at its twenty-third meeting, agreed to establish the DNA Forum and requested the secretariat to provide support to this forum.

### (c) Relations with Designated Operational and Applicant Entities

64. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to (a) take note of the report by the Chair of the CDM DOE/AE Coordination Forum; and (b) provide feedback to the forum, as appropriate.

**CDM – Executive Board****Fifty-eighth meeting**  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

*Background:* Views on issues to be addressed to the Board by the CDM DOE/AE Coordination Forum are being sought from the DOEs and AEs. The Chair of the forum will present these orally to the Board for its consideration at the meeting.

65. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider feedback by the Chair of the CDM DOE/AE Coordination Forum on the unwillingness of DOEs to validate PoAs in certain countries.

*Background:* The Board, at its fifty-seventh meeting, informed the Chair of the CDM DOE/AE Coordination Forum, with concern, that some DOEs are not willing to validate PoAs in certain countries. The Board requested the forum to provide feedback on this matter at the fifty-eighth meeting of the Board.

**(d) Relations with stakeholders, intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations**

66. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an update on additional areas of focus for future practitioner workshops and to provide a structured feedback to DOEs to enable them to increase a common understanding of the Board's requirements.

*Background:* Based on the experience gained with the recent interactions with stakeholders, the Board at its fifty-fifth meeting, launched a call for inputs to allow CDM stakeholders to provide input to any additional areas of focus for future practitioner workshops. The CMP at its fifth session requested the Board to develop and apply a system to improve the performance of DOEs.

67. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to (a) agree to avail itself for informal briefings with registered observers in the afternoon of the last day of the meeting; and (b) invite members and alternates to share information on events in which they participated.

*Background:* On the occasion of the last meeting of the Board, the Chair and other members of the Board met with registered observers for an informal briefing and agreed to continue with such meetings in the afternoon of the last day of its future meetings, unless otherwise indicated.

**(e) Other business**

68. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of communications submitted by the public since the last meeting and agree on any actions, as appropriate.

*Background:* At its thirty-first meeting, the Board revised its procedures for public communication, as contained in annex 37 to its report. These procedures shall be followed with respect to all unsolicited submissions.

The following communications from **DNAs and/or Governments** have been sent to the Board prior to its fifty-sixth meeting:

- (a) Letter from Ms. Weerada Tivasuradej, Greenhouse Gas Management Organization - DNA Thailand, received on 22 October 2010, relating to a written approval for a CDM project in another country;
- (b) Letter from Mr. Su Wei, Department of Climate Change National Development and Reform Commission, China, received on 17 September 2010, relating to issuances of HFC-23 incineration CDM projects.

The following communications from **DOEs** have been sent to the Board prior to its fifty-eighth meeting:

- (a) Letter from Mr. Stein B. Jensen, DNV, received on 1 October 2010, relating to DNV facing forgery allegations;



The following communications from other stakeholders have been sent to the Board prior to its fifty-eighth meeting:

- (a) Letter from Mr. Leo Perkowski, Vice-chair of the Project Developer Forum, received on 11 October 2010, relating to input on annotated agenda for EB 57;
- (b) Letter from Mr. Martin Enderlin, PD Forum, received on 8 November 2010, relating to an input provided to EB58 on completeness checks;
- (c) Letter from Mr. Sergio Lozano García, Quimobásicos, S.A. de C.V., received on 1 November 2010, relating to the investigation of the Meth Panel on HFC23 projects;
- (d) Letter from Ms. Jennifer Wang, received on 28 October 2010, relating to a request to reconsider the highest tariff for ROR hydropower projects in Gansu province;
- (e) Letter from Mr. Leo Perkowski, received on 11 October 2010, relating to inputs on the annotated agenda for EB 57;
- (f) Letter from Mr. Stephen Thorne, SSNAFRICA, received on 29 September 2010, relating to large-scale solar water heating methodology submissions.

69. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider any other business it deems necessary.

#### 6) Conclusion of the meeting

70. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree the report of the fifty-eighth meeting.

*Background:* The Chair will summarize the meeting and adopt the report, including references to any decisions taken.

- - - - -