



**CLEAN DEVELOPMENT MECHANISM EXECUTIVE BOARD**

**PROPOSED AGENDA AND ANNOTATIONS**

Fifty-ninth meeting

UNFCCC  
Bonn, Germany  
14–18 February 2011

**CONTENTS**

**I. PROPOSED AGENDA**

**II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA**

- Annex 1 - Summary of the public comments on the “Guidelines on the assessment of investment analysis”
- Annex 2 - Draft “Procedures for request for registration of proposed CDM project activities”
- Annex 3 - Draft CDM business plan
- Annex 4 - Draft CDM management plan
- Annex 5 - Status of resources and status of secretariat’s recruitment
- Annex 6 - Compliance with indicative timelines

**Please also refer to the following documents from previous meetings:**

Annex 5 of the annotations of the fifty-eighth meeting of the Board - Draft “Terms of reference of the Registration and Issuance Team”

Annex 6 of the annotations of the fifty- eighth meeting of the Board - Draft “Guideline for decision-making in request for review cases



## I. PROPOSED AGENDA

1. Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)
2. Adoption of the agenda
3. Work plan:
  - (a) Accreditation of operational entities
  - (b) Methodologies for baselines and monitoring plans
  - (c) Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities
  - (d) Matters relating to programme of activities
  - (e) Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities \*
  - (f) Matters relating to the issuance of certified emission reductions and the CDM registry \*\*
4. Management plan and resources for the work on the CDM
5. Other matters
6. Conclusion of the meeting

\* For more information please visit the page CDM Project activities “under review” and “review requested” of the UNFCCC CDM website  
([http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/under\\_review.html](http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/under_review.html)) and (<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/review.html>).

\*\* For more information please visit the “issuance of CERs” section of the UNFCCC CDM website  
(<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>).



## II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

### I. Membership issues (including election of Chair and Vice-Chair and disclosure of possible conflict of interest)

1. ► **Action:** The Executive Board of the clean development mechanism (the Board) may wish to (a) welcome new members and alternates; (b) elect for the year 2011 its Chair from among the members from Parties included in Annex I, and its Vice-Chair from among the members from Parties not included in Annex I; and (c) take note of their oaths of service.

*Background:* The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol (CMP), at its sixth session, in accordance with paragraphs 7 and 8 (b) of the “Modalities and procedures for the clean development mechanism” (hereafter referred as CDM modalities and procedures), has elected to the Board the following members and alternate members:

- (a) Mr. Tosi Mpanu Mpanu (first term), as member, and Ms. Fatou Gaye (first term), as alternate member from the African regional group;
- (b) Mr. Martin Hession (second term), as member, and Mr. Thomas Bernheim (second term), as alternate member, from the Western Europe and Other regional group;
- (c) Mr. Shafqat Kakakhel (second term), as member, and Mr. Hussein Badarin (first term), as alternate member from the Asian regional group;
- (d) Mr. Akihiro Kuroki (first term), as member, and Mr. Peer Stiansen (first term), as alternate member, from Parties included in Annex I;
- (e) Mr. Daniel Ortega Pacheco (first term), as a member, and Mr. Jose Miguel Leiva (first term), as alternate member from the Latin America and Caribbean regional group.

In accordance with paragraph 8 (e) of the CDM modalities and procedures and rule 10 of the “Rules of procedure of the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism” (hereafter referred as Rules of procedure), members and alternate members have to take a written oath of service before being able to participate in the work of the Board. The UNFCCC secretariat has received the written oaths of service from all newly elected members and alternate members.

At the first Board meeting of each calendar year, i.e. at this fifty-ninth meeting, the Board shall elect a Chair and a Vice-Chair from among its members. In accordance with rule 12 of the Rules of procedure, the Board shall elect its own Chair and Vice-Chair, with one being a member from a Party not included in Annex I and the other being from a Party included in Annex I. The positions of Chair and Vice-Chair shall alternate annually between a member from a Party included in Annex I and a member from a Party not included in Annex I. As of 14 February 2011, the newly elected Chair shall therefore be from a Party included in Annex I and the Vice-Chair from a Party not included in Annex I.

Rule 12 of the Rules of procedure stipulates that the secretary of the Board shall preside over the opening of the first Board meeting of a calendar year and conduct the election of the new Chair and Vice-Chair. The secretary of the Board shall ascertain whether (a) the members present constitute a quorum, and (b) the absence of any member or alternate member is “without proper justification”<sup>1</sup>.

---

<sup>1</sup> Please refer to rules 28 and 7 of the Rules of procedures of the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism, 4/CMP.1 <FCCC/KP/CMP/2005/8/Add.1>.

**CDM – Executive Board****Fifty-ninth meeting**  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

At this fifty-ninth meeting, the secretary of the Board shall also assume, at the beginning of the meeting, the function of the Chair to request members and alternates to disclose whether they consider having any conflict of interest relating to the work of the Board.

2. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider information provided by any member and alternate member, including with respect to any potential conflict of interest, and take action, as appropriate.

*Background:* The Chair shall request, at the beginning of each meeting of the Board, members and alternates to disclose whether they have any conflict of interest relating to the work of the Board.

**II. Adoption of the agenda**

3. ► **Action:** Members may wish to adopt the proposed agenda for the fifty-ninth meeting.

*Background:* At its last meeting, the Board agreed on items to be included in the provisional agenda for its fifty-ninth meeting. The proposed agenda was transmitted to the Board on 24 January 2011 and thereafter posted on the UNFCCC CDM website in accordance with rule 21 of the Rules of procedure.

**III. Work plan****(a) Accreditation of operational entities**

4. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the fifty-second progress report on the work of the CDM Accreditation Panel (CDM-AP) and an oral report by its Vice-Chair, Mr. Akihiro Kuroki, on the work of the CDM-AP.

*Background:* The CDM-AP held its fifty-second meeting on 17–19 January 2011 in Bonn, Germany.

***Case specific***

5. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to:

- (a) Accredit and provisionally designate entities for sector specific validation and verification/certification functions;
- (b) Take note of the CDM-AP's notifications on the results of regular on-site surveillances and take actions, as appropriate;
- (c) Take note of the CDM-AP's notifications on the results of performance assessments.

*Background:* In accordance with the accreditation procedure, the recommendations for accreditation and the supporting documentation have been sent to Board members and alternates under separate cover on 31 January 2011 under confidentiality.

***General guidance***

6. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an update by the secretariat on the performance of DOEs. The public report on the performance of DOEs will be available on the UNFCCC CDM website under < <http://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/Notes/index.html>>.

**CDM – Executive Board****Fifty-ninth meeting**  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

*Background:* The Board, at its fifty-seventh meeting, agreed on several issues related to DOE performance, including to a three months frequency for reporting on the same monitoring period.

7. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note of the change of dates for the fifty-third meeting of the CDM-AP. The fifty-third meeting of the CDM-AP was scheduled to be on 9–11 March 2011 and has been postponed to 14–16 March 2011 in Bonn, Germany.

**(b) Methodologies for baselines and monitoring plans*****General guidance and tools***

8. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an update on the work done for the development of guidance on the use of the first-of-its-kind barrier and the assessment of common practice and to agree on next steps.

*Background:* The CMP, at its sixth session, requested the Board, as its highest priority, to finalize guidance on the use of the first-of-its-kind barrier and the assessment of common practice.

9. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an update on the work undertaken with regard to the draft “Guidelines on the assessment of investment analysis”, including a synthesis report of the comments received as a response to the call for inputs launched, as contained in annex 1 to these annotations.

*Background:* At its fifty-eighth meeting the Board launched a call for public inputs from 1 December 2010 to 12 January 2011 on the draft revised “Guidelines on the assessment of investment analysis”, and invited in particular financial institutions, such as regional as well as local development banks, to provide inputs. The Board requested the secretariat to analyze, synthesize and take into account the outcome of the call for further consideration of these guidelines by the Board at a future meeting.

10. **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the forty-eighth meeting of the Meth Panel will be held from 7–11 March 2011 in Bonn, Germany. The deadline for submissions to this meeting was 17 January 2011.

11. **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the thirty-first meeting of the Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group (A/R WG) Panel will be held from 14–16 March 2011 in Bonn, Germany. The deadline for submissions to this meeting was 24 January 2011.

**(c) Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities**

12. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the report of the twenty-ninth meeting of the Small Scale Working Group (SSC WG) and an oral report by the Chair of the working group, Mr. Peer Stiansen, on the work of the group.

*Background:* The SSC WG held its twenty-ninth meeting on 11–14 January 2011 in Bonn, Germany. The SSC WG dealt with case-specific issues, process and methodological clarifications and other issues, as specified below.

***Case specific***

13. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the small-scale methodologies:

(a) SSC-III.AS “Switch from fossil fuel to biomass in existing manufacturing facilities for non-energy applications”, as contained in annex 1 of the report of the twenty-ninth meeting of the SSC WG;

## CDM – Executive Board

Fifty-ninth meeting  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

*Background:* The methodology is triggered by the submissions SSC-NM058 and requests for clarifications such as SSC\_412. It covers switching from a carbon intensive energy source (or a mix of energy sources) to renewable biomass or mix of renewable biomass and fossil fuel in existing manufacturing processes. The methodology provides a cascade of approaches depending upon the size of the project in terms of emission reductions i.e., increased rigor for larger projects and vice versa.

(b) SSC-I.I “Biogas/biomass use for thermal applications for the households/small users”, as contained in annex 2 of the report of the twenty-ninth meeting of the SSC WG;

*Background:* The methodology was developed top-down by the SSC WG taking into account inputs from experts, the project proponents and other stakeholders. It also integrates previous clarifications provided and lessons learned (e.g. SSC\_346). The proposed methodology includes simplified approaches for monitoring of thermal energy generated using biogas or processed biomass in small-scale end use applications.

The recommendations by the SSC WG related to items (a) to (b) above are contained in paragraphs 4 and 7 of the report of the twenty-ninth of the SSC WG available on the UNFCCC CDM website <[http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ssc\\_wg/index.html](http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ssc_wg/index.html)>.

14. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the responses to the requests for clarifications SSC\_482 to SSC\_485, SSC\_489, SSC\_491, SSC\_494, SSC\_499 to SSC\_502 and the responses to the requests for revisions SSC\_481, SSC\_486 to SSC\_488, SSC\_490, SSC\_492, SSC\_493, SSC\_495 to SSC\_498, as provided by the SSC WG and referred to in the SSC WG report (see paragraphs 12 to 35 of the SSC WG 29 report).

*Background:* Information on the clarifications to methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/SSCmethodologies/clarifications>>.

**Revisions of approved methodologies**

15. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the following revisions of SSC methodologies:

(a) Revision of AMS-III.AJ: In response to SSC\_498 “Revision of AMS-III.AJ to allow for inclusion of PET and also inclusion of project activities where plastics are transported over 200km”, the SSC WG recommended a revision of AMS-III.AJ “Recovery and recycling of materials from solid wastes”, as contained in annex 4 of the SSC WG 29 report, to include Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) recovered from municipal solid waste, taking into account public and experts input;

(b) Revision of AMS-III.R: In response to a number of pertinent submissions (e.g. SSC\_492 “Revision of AMS-III.R to allow for non-renewable biomass to be used in the baseline” and SSC\_493 “Revision of AMS-III.R to allow combination with AMS-I.E”), the SSC WG recommended a revision of AMS-III.R “Methane recovery in agricultural activities at household/small farm level”, as contained in annex 5 of the SSC WG 29 report, to include the requested combination with AMS-I.E “Switch from Non-Renewable Biomass for Thermal Applications by the User”;

(c) Revision of AMS-III.F: In response to the submission SSC\_491 “Clarification on the emissions reduction calculation of AMS-III.F in case of increase of capacity utilization”, the SSC WG agreed to recommend a revision of AMS-III.F “Avoidance of methane emissions through composting”, as contained in annex 6 of the SSC WG 29 report, to clarify the issue i.e. relevant equations covering capacity increase in existing composting facilities have been modified;

(d) Revision of AMS-III.AN: In response to the submission SSC\_494 “Clarification on the applicability of AMS-III.AN to early-start CDM projects”, the SSC WG agreed to recommend a revision of AMS-III.AN “Fossil fuel switch in existing manufacturing industries, as contained in annex 7 of the

**CDM – Executive Board****Fifty-ninth meeting**  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

SSC WG 29 report, to allow determination of baseline emissions using three years of historical data prior to the start date of the project;

(e) Revision of AMS-III.AM: In order to achieve consistency across different fuel switch methodologies, the SSC WG agreed to revise AMS-III.AM “Fossil fuel switch in a cogeneration/trigeneration system”, as contained in annex 8 of the SSC WG 29 report, in line with the revision of AMS-III.AN mentioned above;

(f) Revision of AMS-III.B: In response to SSC\_502 “Clarification regarding applicability of AMS-III.B to fuel switching at isolated grid connected electricity generation facilities” the SSC WG agreed to recommend a revision of AMS-III.B “Switching fossil fuels”, as contained in annex 9 of the SSC WG 29 report, to clarify issues related to the installation of new energy generating facility (low carbon intensive) to displace an existing energy generating facility (carbon intensive) connected to an isolated grid(s) system.

*Background:* Information on the revisions to the methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website<<https://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/SSCmethodologies/clarifications>>.

16. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the cases SSC\_487 and SSC\_490 were deemed not suitable for recommendation to the Board by the SSC WG (see SSC WG 29 report).

**General guidance**

17. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve a revision of the “General Guidelines to SSC CDM methodologies”, as contained in annex 10 of the SSC WG 29 report.

*Background:* The SSC WG recommended a revision of the “General Guidelines to SSC CDM methodologies” to clarify the definition of Installed/Rated capacity of Renewable electricity generation projects (consistent with the response to SSC\_338 “Clarification on the 15 MW eligibility limit for small-scale renewable energy projects” agreed by the Board). The proposed revision further clarifies that latest versions of the norms, specifications and standards and guidelines that are available at the time of commencing the validation shall be applied by the project proponents.

18. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the recommendation and justification from the SSC WG on the revised value for BIF1, as contained in annex 11 to SSC WG 29 report.

*Background:* At its fifty-eighth meeting, the Board requested SSC WG to further assess the parameter BIF1 in equation 1 (baseline idling factor in year 1) of the methodology AMS-III.AP “Transport energy efficiency activities using post - fit Idling Stop device”, and make a recommendation for a revised value for BIF1 providing due justifications at a future meeting. In response the SSC WG made detailed recommendations on baseline idling factor providing due justifications as contained in paragraphs 41 to 44 of SSC WG 29 report. After reviewing data and reports from various sources (numbers ranging from 85% to 98% for the percentage of vehicles that idle at intersections in developing countries were found), the group was of the view that the value of 0.95 for BIF is an appropriate, conservative default value for developing countries and its use in AMS-III.AP is in line with the Boards guidance to provide conservative default values for parameters used in small-scale methodologies, alongside an option for the project proponents to carry out a survey to estimate the parameter if they so wish to document a local value. Taking into account these issues, the SSC WG agreed to recommend a revision of AMS-III.AP, as contained in annex 11 of the SSC WG 29 report.

19. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the recommendation from the SSC WG on the application of simple cost analysis to small scale projects involving distributed, household end-use energy efficiency measures.

**CDM – Executive Board****Fifty-ninth meeting**  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

*Background:* The SSC WG received a query pertaining to simple cost analysis to demonstrate additionality in the context of a project which intends to replace incandescent bulbs with compact fluorescent lamps (CFLs) at free of charge in households, and that is funded only by certified emission reductions (CERs) revenue. As detailed in paragraphs 38 to 40 of the SSC WG 29 report, the SSC WG is of the opinion that simple cost analysis may be undertaken, considering only the CERs revenue as the income and the project participant (PP) expenses as the only costs, in cases where: (a) the project involves distributed, household end-use energy efficiency measures; (b) the PP receives no revenue other than the CERs revenue; and (c) the PP actually supplies the energy efficiency measures (equipment). That is in these cases the end-user energy cost savings, or other end-user benefits/costs do not have to be considered.

20. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the SSC WG continued working on the top-down draft methodologies for small scale solar water heating and efficient outdoor/street lighting technologies taking into account public and expert inputs. It agreed to finalise the methodology for recommendation by the thirtieth meeting of the group. In addition, the SSC WG commenced work on the top-down development of a new methodology for solar cooker applications, clarifying issues related to baseline determination and monitoring (e.g. thermal energy output, efficiency testing).

21. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the SSC WG continued working on methodologies for substituting non-renewable biomass (AMS-I.E and AMS-II.G) with a view to enhance the usability of these methodologies. The group agreed to indicate that it will finalise the recommendation for revision of these methodologies at its thirtieth meeting after taking into account public and expert inputs.

22. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that SSC WG agreed that additional work on developing non-binding best practices examples to illustrate the application of sampling guidelines would be required and it aims to finalise a number of examples by the thirtieth meeting of the group for recommendation to the Board.

23. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the thirtieth meeting of the SSC WG will be held from 15–18 March 2011 in Bonn, Germany. The deadline for new methodology submissions to this meeting was 17 January 2011 and the deadline for submitting requests for clarifications/revisions for this meeting is 14 February 2011.

**(d) Matters relating to programme of activities (PoA)**

24. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock on the progress of registration of PoAs.

*Background:* The information available in the section on “Programme of Activities” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/ProgrammeOfActivities/index.html>>), indicates the status of PoAs submitted for registration as a single CDM project activity.

**(e) Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities**

25. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock on the progress of registration.

*Background:* The information available in the section on “Project Activities” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects>>), indicates the status of project activities submitted for registration.

***Case specific***

26. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the documentation for 7 requests for registration as listed in table 1 below, for which a review was commenced.



**CDM – Executive Board**
**Fifty-ninth meeting**  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

*Background:* Three (3) or more members of the Board or a Party involved requested a review of the requests for registration listed in table 1 below. The subsequent assessments of the secretariat and the Registration and Issuance Team (RIT Team) contained different proposed decisions (i.e. one to register and the other to reject), or the same proposed decision (both to register, or both to reject) which received an objection from a member of the Board. In accordance with the “Procedures for review of requests for registration”, adopted by the Board at its fifty-fifth meeting, for each case listed in table 1 below the Board shall decide whether to register the project activity or to reject the request for registration..

*Table 1: Request for registration review cases for which assessments of the secretariat and the RIT Team contain different proposed decisions or an objection by a member of the Board was received*

Ref No.	Title	Register	Reject
3195	Shaqu Coal mine CMM to power generation Phase 2 Project	?	?
3582	Zhuhai Hongwan Natural Gas Power Generation Project	?	?
3630	Expansion of Nature and Waste Bhalaswa Composting Plant at Delhi	?	?
3651	Sichuan Longdong 30MW Hydropower Project of China	?	?
3660	Ningxia Taiyangshan 45MW Wind Farm Project	?	?
3674	Yunnan Hongta Cement Waste Heat Recovery Power Generation Project	?	?
3738	Bekasi Power CCPP project in Indonesia	?	?

27. ► **Action:** The Board shall continue considering the recommendation of the review team for the request for renewal of the crediting period for the project activity listed in table 2, which the Board placed under review at its fifty-sixth meeting.

*Background:* At its fifty-eighth meeting, the Board was not able to reach an agreement with regard to request for renewal of crediting period for project activity "HFC Decomposition Project in Ulsan" (0003) submitted by the DOE (DNV), and decided to continue its deliberations on the request at its next meeting. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, the Board shall decide whether to renew the crediting period for the project activity (case A in table 2), to request the DOE and project participants to make corrections (case B in table 2), or to reject the renewal of the crediting period of the project activity (case C in table 2).

*Table 2: Request for renewal of the crediting period of a registered CDM project activity which was placed “Under review” at EB 56*

Ref No.	Title	A	B	C
0003	HFC Decomposition Project in Ulsan	?	?	?

28. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider corrections made to the proposed CDM project activity listed in table 3 below.

*Background:* Following consideration by the Board at its thirty-sixth meeting of the request for review of the request for registration of the project activity listed in table 3 below, the Board agreed that the project activity could be registered following corrections. The DOE has submitted revised documentation which the Board may wish to consider in accordance with the clarifications to paragraph 18(b) of the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”.

*Table 3: Project to be registered with corrections following consideration of a request for review*

Ref No.	Title	A	B	C
1167	Bundled wind energy power projects (2003 policy) in Rajasthan	?	?	?

*Registration procedure/General guidance*

29. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider draft revised procedures for request for registration of proposed CDM project activities, as contained in annex 2 to these annotations.

*Background:* The CMP at its sixth session requested the Board to revise the procedures for registration to allow the effective date of registration and therefore the possible start date of the crediting period of a clean development mechanism project activity to be the date on which a complete request for registration has been submitted by the designated operational entity where the project activity has been registered automatically.

30. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft revised “Terms of reference of the Registration and Issuance Team”, as contained in annex 5 to the annotations of the fifty-eighth meeting of the Board.

*Background:* Due to time constraints the Board, at its fifty-eighth meeting, did not consider “Terms of reference for a Registration and Issuance Team”, and agreed to consider these at a future meeting. The Board had requested the secretariat to prepare a revision of these terms to adjust them to the new procedures for review of request for registration and issuance.

31. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft “Guideline for decision-making in request for review cases”, as contained in as contained in annex 6 to the annotations of the fifty-eighth meeting of the Board.

*Background:* Due to time constraints the Board, at its fifty-eighth meeting, did not consider “Guidelines for requesting a review and making decisions and objections regarding review assessments”, and agreed to consider these at a future meeting. The Board had requested the secretariat to prepare a revision of the guideline for decision-making in request for review cases to adjust them to the new procedures for review of requests for registration and issuance.

(f) **Matters relating to the issuance of certified emission reductions and the CDM registry**

32. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock of the progress of issuance and the operation of the CDM registry.

*Background:* The information available in the section on “Issuance of CERs” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>), indicates the status of requests for issuance.

*Case specific*

33. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the documentation for 6 requests for issuance as listed in table 4 below, for which a review was commenced.

*Background:* Three (3) or more members of the Board or a Party involved requested a review of the requests for issuance listed in table 4 below. The subsequent assessments of the secretariat and the Registration and Issuance Team (RIT Team) contained different proposed decisions (i.e. one to approve and the other to reject), or the same proposed decision (both to approve, or both to reject) which received an objection from a member of the Board. In accordance with the “Procedures for review of requests for issuance”, adopted by the Board at its fifty-fifth meeting, for each case listed in table 4 below, the Board shall decide whether to approve or to reject the request for issuance.

**CDM – Executive Board**
**Fifty-ninth meeting**  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

*Table 4: Request for issuance review cases for which assessments of the secretariat and the RIT Team contain different proposed decisions or an objection by a member of the Board was received*

<i>Ref. No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Monitoring period</i>	<i>No. of CERs</i>	<i>Approve</i>	<i>Reject</i>
0064	Huitengxile Windfarm Project	01 Jan 09 - 31 Dec 09	44,950	?	?
0887	Shenzhen Xiaping Landfill Gas Collection and Utilization Project	01 Feb 09 - 30 Sep 09	173,780	?	?
1194	China Fluoro HFC23 abatement project in China	01 Jul 09 - 13 Sep 09	466,726	?	?
1264	Sandaowan Hydropower Project in Gansu Province, P.R. China	01 May 08 - 31 Aug 09	195,601	?	?
1546	Straw generation project in Wei county Hebei province, P.R. China	25 Jun 08 - 31 Jan 09	100,420	?	?
2186	Monterrey II LFG to Energy Project	12 Feb 09 - 31 Jul 09	86,907	?	?

34. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the submissions by a DOE with regard to notification of changes or request for approval of changes from the registered project design document (PDD) as listed in table 5 below.

*Background:* The DOE may submit a notification or a request for approval of changes from the registered PDD in accordance with the “Procedures for notifying and requesting approval of changes from the project activity as described in the registered PDD”. The Board, according to these procedures, shall decide if (a) to accept the changes and allow the subsequent requests for issuance; (b) to accept the changes and allow the subsequent requests for issuance, but limit the CERs up to the level estimated in the original PDD; (c) to reject the changes and not allow the subsequent requests for issuance.

*Table 5: Notifications of changes/request for approval of changes from the registered PDD*

<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Type</i>
0916	Methane Recovery and Utilisation Project at TSH Kunak Oil Palm Mill	Notification
1134	ESTRE Pedreira Landfill Gás Project (EPLGP)	Notification
2319	INPA Fuel Switch Project	Request

35. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the request for permission to resubmit a previously withdrawn request for issuance listed in table 6 below.

*Background:* In accordance with paragraph 9 (c) of the annex 33 to the report of the fifty-fourth meeting of the Board, in cases where the request for issuances that have received request for review are withdrawn, if the DOE intends to re-submit the request for issuance for the same monitoring period after such a withdrawal, the DOE shall request a permission to the Board to re-submit such a request.

*Table 6: Request for resubmission of previously withdrawn requests for issuance*

<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Monitoring Period</i>
2432	Jiangxi Luohongkou 8.25MW Hydropower Project, China	04 Aug 2009 - 22 Dec 2009

36. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the request for revision of monitoring plan for the project activity listed in table 7 below.

*Background:* The Chair of the Board, in consultation with the Chair of the Meth Panel, requested further discussion on this case by the Board in accordance with paragraph 15 of the “Procedures for revising monitoring plans in accordance with paragraph 57 of the Modalities and procedures of the CDM”, adopted by

**CDM – Executive Board****Fifty-ninth meeting**  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

the Board at its forty-ninth meeting. In accordance with these procedures, the Board shall decide whether to approve the revised monitoring plan (case A in table 7), to approve the revised monitoring plan with corrections (case B in table 7), or to reject the revised monitoring plan (case C in table 7).

*Table 7: Request for revision of monitoring plan*

<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>
1993	Siam Quality Starch Wastewater Treatment and Energy Generation Project in Chaiyaphum, Thailand	?	?	?

***Issuance procedure/General guidance***

37. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an update on the submissions received and on the implementation of the “Procedures for notifying and requesting approval of changes from the project activity as described in the registered PDD” and “Guidelines on assessment of different types of changes from the project activity as described in the registered PDD”.

*Background:* The Board, at its forty-eighth meeting, requested the secretariat to compile relevant information based on the submissions received every three months.

**IV. Management plan and resources for the work on the CDM**

38. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft CDM business plan and CDM management plan 2011 (CDM-MAP), as contained in annex 3 and annex 4 to these annotations. The Board may also wish to have an initial discussion on a number of policy issues contained in the draft CDM business plan in order to advance the definition of its strategic priorities for the year 2011 and determine the deliverables and workload of the remaining meetings of the year.

*Background:* The Board, at its fifty-eighth meeting, requested the secretariat to prepare a draft CDM-MAP with a two years business plan to be considered at the fifty-ninth meeting of the Board, following the priority areas agreed by the Board at that meeting. The secretariat will provide detailed information for the CDM-MAP and the business plan for the year 2011–2012. The secretariat will also introduce a number of policy issues contained in the draft CDM business plan for the Board consideration.

39. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to: (a) take note of the report by the secretariat on the status of resources and status of secretariat’s recruitment and (b) take further action, as necessary.

*Background:* The status of income and expenditure and status of secretariat’s recruitment is presented in annex 5 to these annotations.

40. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an assessment of compliance with indicative timelines set by the Board in different processes, as contained in annex 6 to these annotations.

*Background:* The Board, at its fifty-seventh meeting, considered an assessment of compliance with indicative timelines set by the Board in different processes and requested the secretariat to continue to report on all processes.

**V. Other matters****(a) Guidance by CMP**

41. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the CMP decision 3/CMP.6 “Further guidance relating to the clean development mechanism” and take action, as appropriate. The decision adopted by the CMP is available on the UNFCCC CDM website at

**CDM – Executive Board****Fifty-ninth meeting**  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

<[http://unfccc.int/files/meetings/cop\\_16/conference\\_documents/application/pdf/20101204\\_cop16\\_cmp\\_guidance\\_cdm.pdf](http://unfccc.int/files/meetings/cop_16/conference_documents/application/pdf/20101204_cop16_cmp_guidance_cdm.pdf)>.

*Background:* The CMP, at its sixth session, took note of the annual report (2009–2010) of the Board and adopted its decision 3/CMP.6 “Further guidance relating to the clean development mechanism”.

**(b) Relations with Designated Operational and Applicant Entities**

42. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to (a) take note of the report by the Chair of the CDM DOE/AE Coordination Forum; and (b) provide feedback to the forum, as appropriate.

*Background:* Views on issues to be addressed to the Board by the CDM DOE/AE Coordination Forum are being sought from the DOEs and AEs. The Chair of the forum will present these orally to the Board for its consideration at the meeting.

43. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the feedback from the Chair of the CDM DOE/AE Coordination Forum regarding previous requests raised by the Board to the forum.

*Background:* The Board at its previous meetings requested the forum to provide feedback and considerations on the issues raised.

**(c) Relations with stakeholders, intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations**

44. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to (a) agree to avail itself for informal briefings with registered observers in the afternoon of the last day of the meeting; and (b) invite members and alternates to share information on events in which they participated.

*Background:* On the occasion of the last meeting of the Board, the Chair and other members of the Board met with registered observers for an informal briefing and agreed to continue with such meetings in the afternoon of the last day of its future meetings, unless otherwise indicated.

**(d) Other business**

45. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the calendar of meetings of the Board for 2011 and to hold focused policy meetings in 2011.

*Background:* The Board, at its fifty-seventh meeting, decided to consider in early 2011 the possibility to hold focused policy meetings once the impacts of the revised registration and issuance procedures are fully assessed and the mandates from the CMP are provided to the Board.

46. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of an update by the secretariat on the formal, legal and logistical arrangements for the sixtieth meeting of the Board to be held in Marrakesh, Morocco.

*Background:* The Board, at its fifty-eighth meeting, agreed to have its sixtieth meeting in Marrakesh, Morocco on 11–15 April 2011. This meeting will commemorate the ten years of the establishment of the CDM Executive Board after the adoption of the Marrakesh Accords. The meeting is planned to be held in conjunction with other events to raise awareness of the CDM in the region. The Board requested the secretariat to start preparing the formal, legal and logistical arrangements for the meeting.

47. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of communications submitted by the public since the last meeting and agree on any actions, as appropriate.

*Background:* At its thirty-first meeting, the Board revised its procedures for public communication, as contained in annex 37 to its report. These procedures shall be followed with respect to all unsolicited submissions.



## CDM – Executive Board

Fifty-ninth meeting  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

During the reporting period no communications were received from Designated Operational Entities (DOEs). The following communications from **Designated National Authorities (DNAs) and/or Governments** have been sent to the Board prior to its fifty-ninth meeting:

- (a) Letter from Mr. Eduardo Durand López-Hurtado, Ministry of Environment - DNA Peru, received on 8 November 2010, relating to a request to approve the additionality of RER Projects.

The following communications from other stakeholders have been sent to the Board prior to its fifty-ninth meeting:

- (a) Letter from Mr. Leo Perkowski, PD Forum, received on 28 January 2011, relating to input to the Board on CMP 6 guidance;
- (b) Letter from Mr. Gareth Phillips, PD Forum, received on 28 January 2011, relating to suggestions for improvements on requests for registration and issuance revised procedures;
- (c) Letter from Mr. Jhonny Virgo, Nagamas Palmoil Lestari, received on 28 January 2011, relating to a request for reconsideration of the grace period in relation to the revision of the CDM accreditation standard for operational entities;
- (d) Letter from Mr. Mischa Classen, First Climate, received on 27 January 2011, relating to a reconsideration request of AM\_REV\_141 and the withdrawal of AM0024;
- (e) Letter from Ms. Nevena Alexandrova, Allplan, received on 24 January 2011, relating to CDM CCPP Skopje project;
- (f) Letter from Ms. Isabelle Barnard, Farmsecure Carbon, received on 14 January 2011, relating to renewable energy technologies;
- (g) Letter from Ms. Eva Filzmoser, CDM Watch, received on 11 January 2011, relating to the publication of the Methodology Panel Report on HFC-23 issues (AM0001);
- (h) Letter from Mr. Almuth Ernsting, Ms. Guadalupe Rodriguez and Ms. Eva Filzmoser, Bio Fuel Watch, Salva la Selva/Rettet den Regenwald and CDM Watch, received on 4 January 2011, relating to concerns on a CDM project activity in Honduras;
- (i) Letter from Mr. Ramnarayan, Mr. Surender Singh Koranga, Mr. Vimal Bhai and Ms. Malika Viridi, Civil Society, received on 18 December 2010, relating to the validation of CDM benefits to Hydro Power project in Uttarakhand, India;
- (j) Letter from Mr. Nguyen Duc Thang, TQCSI Vietnam, received on 14 December 2010, relating to a review recommendation of request for registration of projects 3872 and 3944;
- (k) Letter from Mr. Wang Anle and Mr. Yang Xiaoling, Henan Shenma Nylon Chemical Co., Ltd and Petro China Company Limited Liaoyang Petrochemical Company, received on 13 December 2010, relating to further clarifications on N<sub>2</sub>O CDM projects;
- (l) Letter from Mr. Wang Anle and Mr. Yang Xiaoling, Henan Shenma Nylon Chemical Co., Ltd and Petro China Company Limited Liaoyang Petrochemical Company, received on 6 December 2010, relating to clarifications provided on issues raised in regards to N<sub>2</sub>O in adipid acid plant CDM projects;



## CDM – Executive Board

Fifty-ninth meeting  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

- (m) Letter from Mr. Soon Hun Yang, Eco-Ideal Consulting Sdn Bhd, received on 3 December 2010, relating to a gap in tool to Determine Project Emission for Flaring of Gas Containing Methane;
  - (n) Letter from Ms. Nevena Alexandrova, Allplan, received on 30 November 2010, relating to minor technical errors in the Methodological Tool (Version 02);
  - (o) Letter from Mr. Martin Enderlin, Mr. Leo Perkowski and Mr. Gareth Phillips, PD Forum, received on 24 November 2010, relating to feedback provided - progress on decision 2/CMP.5 related to CDM;
  - (p) Letter from Mr. David Doniger, NRDC, received on 19 November 2010, relating to the report and recommendations on HFC-23 projects;
  - (q) Letter from Mr. Leo Perkowski, PD Forum, received on 18 November 2010, relating to input to annotated agenda of EB58;
  - (r) Letter from Mr. Mahesh Kumar, Ecologikol, received on 18 November 2010, relating to a request to further explain the draft revised investment analysis guidance;
  - (s) Letter from Mr. Yang Xiaolin and Mr. Wang Anle, Limited Liaoyang Petrochemical Company Shenma Nylon Chemical Co., Ltd, received on 18 November 2010, relating to issues on the CDM Adipic Acid N<sub>2</sub>O Project;
  - (t) Letter from Mr. Lalit Kumar Singhania, Indus Technical and Financial Consultants Ltd., received on 2 November 2010, relating to a request for clarification on guidelines.
48. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider any other business it deems necessary.

**VI. Conclusion of the meeting**

49. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree the report of the fifty-ninth meeting.

*Background:* The Chair will summarize the meeting and adopt the report, including references to any decisions taken.

- - - - -