



**CLEAN DEVELOPMENT MECHANISM EXECUTIVE BOARD**

**PROPOSED AGENDA AND ANNOTATIONS**

Sixty-third meeting

UNFCCC  
Quito, Ecuador  
25–29 September 2011

**CONTENTS**

**I. PROPOSED AGENDA**

**II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA**

- Annex 1 - Draft revision of the rules of procedure of the CDM Executive Board
- Annex 2 - Compliance with indicative timelines/status publicly available
- Annex 3 - Status of resources and recruitment
- Annex 4 - Draft standard for demonstration of additionality of a programme of activities
- Annex 5 - Draft standard for the development of eligibility criteria for the inclusion of a project activity as a CPA under the PoA
- Annex 6 - Draft standard for application of multiple CDM methodologies for a programme of activities
- Annex 7 - Draft standard for sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities
- Annex 8 - Information note on draft clean development mechanism project standard, validation and verification standard and project cycle standard
- Annex 9 - Draft clean development mechanism project standard
- Annex 10 - Draft clean development mechanism validation and verification standard
- Annex 11 - Draft clean development mechanism project cycle procedure
- Annex 12 - Draft procedure for submission and consideration of standardized baseline
- Annex 13 - Draft work programme on suppressed demand
- Annex 14 - Summary note of the public inputs received from the call on the use of first-of-its-kind barrier and the assessment of common practice
- Annex 15 - Draft proposal on the use of first-of-its-kind barrier and the assessment of common practice
- Annex 16 - Information note on addressing significant deficiencies in past validation, verification or certification report



## I. PROPOSED AGENDA

1. Agenda and meeting organization
  - 1.1. Quorum
  - 1.2. Adoption of the agenda
  - 1.3. External input to matters on the agenda of the meeting
2. Governance and management matters
  - 2.1. Membership issues
  - 2.2. Strategic planning/direction
  - 2.3. Matters related to the Board and its support structure
  - 2.4. Management plan
  - 2.5. Panels and working groups
3. Rulings (case specific matters)
  - 3.1. Accreditation
  - 3.2. Programme of activities
  - 3.3. Registration
  - 3.4. Issuance
4. Regulatory matters
  - 4.1. Standards/tools
    - (a) Standards for CDM project activities and programme of activities
    - (b) Methodological standards for large-scale CDM project activities
    - (c) Methodological standards for small-scale CDM project activities
    - (d) Methodological standards for afforestation and reforestation CDM project activities
  - 4.2. Procedures
    - (a) Procedures related to project cycle
    - (b) Procedures related to methodologies
  - 4.3. Policy issues
    - (a) Related to methodologies
    - (b) Related to registration
    - (c) Related to issuance
5. Relations with forums and other stakeholders
  - 5.1. Designated National Authorities
  - 5.2. Designated Operational Entities
  - 5.3. Communications and activities with stakeholders
  - 5.4. Interaction with registered observers
6. Other matters
7. Conclusion of the meeting



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
<b>1. Agenda and meeting organization .....</b>	<b>4</b>
1.1. Quorum .....	4
1.2. Adoption of the agenda .....	4
1.3. External input to matters on the agenda of the meeting .....	4
<b>2. Governance and management matters .....</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1. Membership issues .....	4
2.2. Strategic planning/direction .....	5
2.3. Matters related to the Board and its support structure .....	5
2.4. Management plan .....	5
2.5. Panels and working groups .....	6
<b>3. Rulings (case-specific matters) .....</b>	<b>9</b>
3.1. Accreditation .....	9
3.2. Programme of activities .....	10
3.3. Registration .....	10
3.4. Issuance .....	11
<b>4. Regulatory matters .....</b>	<b>13</b>
4.1. Standards/tools .....	13
(a) Standards for CDM project activities and programme of activities .....	14
(b) Methodological standards for large-scale CDM project activities .....	15
(c) Methodological standards for small-scale CDM project activities .....	19
(d) Methodological standards for afforestation and reforestation CDM project activities .....	21
4.2. Procedures .....	22
(a) Procedures related to project cycle .....	22
(b) Procedures related to methodologies .....	22
4.3. Policy issues .....	22
(a) Related to methodologies .....	22
(b) Related to registration .....	23
(c) Related to issuance .....	23
<b>5. Relations with forums and other stakeholders .....</b>	<b>23</b>
5.1. Designated National Authorities .....	23
5.2. Designated Operational Entities .....	24
5.3. Communications and activities with stakeholders .....	24
5.4. Interaction with registered observers .....	24
<b>6. Other matters .....</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>7. Conclusion of the meeting .....</b>	<b>24</b>

## II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

### 1. Agenda and meeting organization

#### 1.1. Quorum

1. ► **Action:** The Chair of the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism (CDM) (hereinafter referred to as the Board) shall ascertain whether (a) the members present constitute a quorum, and (b) the absence of any member or alternate member is “without proper justification”<sup>1</sup>.

*Background:* According to the Rules of procedure of the Board (decision 4/CMP.1), at least two thirds of the members of the Board, representing a majority of members from Parties included in Annex I and a majority of members from Parties not included in Annex I, must be present to constitute a quorum.

#### 1.2. Adoption of the agenda

2. ► **Action:** Members may wish to adopt the proposed agenda for the sixty-third meeting.

*Background:* At its last meeting, the Board agreed on items to be included in the provisional agenda for its sixty-third meeting. The proposed agenda was transmitted to the Board on 5 September 2011 and thereafter posted on the UNFCCC CDM website in accordance with rule 21 of the Rules of procedure of the Board.

#### 1.3. External input to matters on the agenda of the meeting

3. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the external inputs to the annotated agenda of this Board meeting.

*Background:* Following the “Modalities and procedures for direct communication with stakeholders” approved at the sixty-second meeting, stakeholders have the opportunity to provide comments to the annotated agenda. Comments received will be posted on the UNFCCC CDM website. In addition, the DOE/AIE Coordination Forum Chair may provide comments on issues on the agenda of the Board meeting.

### 2. Governance and management matters

#### 2.1. Membership issues

4. ► **Action:** Members of the Board may wish to provide statements to any potential conflict of interest on the issues on the agenda for the meeting, and take action, as appropriate.

*Background:* Following the request by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol (CMP), through its decision 2/CMP.5, to publish statements of conflict of interest on the UNFCCC CDM website, members and alternates are requested to disclose whether they have any conflict of interest relating to the work of the Board at each meeting.

5. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the resignation of Mr. José Miguel Leiva, alternate member of the Board from Guatemala, who was nominated by the Latin American and Caribbean Group.

---

<sup>1</sup> Please refer to rule 28 of the Rules of procedures of the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism, decision 4/CMP.1 <FCCC/KP/CMP/2005/8/Add.1>.

**CDM – Executive Board****Sixty-third meeting**  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

*Background:* Mr. José Miguel Leiva resigned from his function as Board alternate member on 20 July 2011. In accordance with rule 8 of the Rules of procedure of the Board, the relevant constituency is to nominate a new alternate member to fill the vacant seat for the remainder of the term.

**2.2. Strategic planning/direction**

6. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider issues arising from the annual policy retreat of the Board and the secretariat held back-to-back with the sixty-third meeting of the Board, and to take any action, as appropriate.

*Background:* The Board holds at least one strategy retreat a year to set strategic direction and plan annual priorities, within mandates given by CMP. The retreat in the third quarter is to define direction and priorities for the following year, for reporting to the CMP and as input to the preparation of the forthcoming CDM management plan (CDM-MAP).

**2.3. Matters related to the Board and its support structure**

7. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft revision of the rules of procedure of the CDM Executive Board, as contained in annex 1 to these annotations.

*Background:* The Board, at its sixty-first meeting, assessed the possible revision of its Rules of procedure, in accordance with the mandate of the CMP to the Board, through its decision 3/CMP.6, and requested the secretariat to prepare a recommendation with alternative options for the decision-making process for consideration by the Board.

8. ► **Action:** The Board may also wish to discuss issues related to its annual report to the CMP.

*Background:* In accordance with decisions 1/CMP.2 and 2/CMP.3, the Board's annual report to the CMP is to be agreed by the Board at its next meeting.

9. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider to extend for one (1) year the term for the CDM Registration and Issuance Team (RIT) members.

*Background:* The current term of the RIT will expire on 30 September 2011. The Board may wish to request the secretariat to make the necessary administrative arrangements.

**2.4. Management plan**

10. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an assessment of compliance with indicative timelines set by the Board in different processes, as contained in annex 2 to these annotations.

*Background:* The Board, at its fifty-ninth meeting, considered an assessment of compliance with indicative timelines set by the Board in different processes and requested the secretariat to continue to report on all processes.

11. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to: (a) take note of the report on the status of resources and status of the secretariat's recruitment, for the second quarter of 2011, and (b) take further action, as necessary.

*Background:* The status of income and expenditure and status of the secretariat's recruitment is presented in annex 3 to these annotations. The comprehensive report of the status of resources and recruitment will be provided at the sixty-fourth meeting of the Board.

**CDM – Executive Board****Sixty-third meeting**  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations**2.5. Panels and working groups**

12. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider revising, as necessary, the work plans of its panels and working groups.

*Background:* The Board, at its sixtieth meeting, agreed to the work plans of the CDM Accreditation Panel (CDM-AP), Methodologies Panel (Meth Panel), Small-Scale Working Group (SSC WG) and Afforestation/Reforestation Working Group (A/R WG) for the year 2011 and decided that it may revise these during the year based on the progress made against the work plans at a future meeting.

**CDM Accreditation Panel**

13. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the progress report of the fifty-sixth meeting of the CDM-AP.

*Background:* The CDM-AP held its fifty-sixth meeting on 23–26 August 2011 in Bonn, Germany.

14. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the fifty-seventh meeting of the CDM-AP will be held on 11–14 October 2011 in Bonn, Germany.

**Methodologies Panel**

15. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the report of the fifty-first meeting of the Meth Panel.

*Background:* The Meth Panel held its fifty-first meeting from 15–19 August 2011 in Bonn, Germany. The Meth Panel dealt with case-specific issues, methodological clarifications, guidance and other issues, as specified below.

16. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note of an information note on the draft new methodology based on the proposed new methodology NM0292: “Highly efficient power plant fuelled with blast furnace gas at TKCSA, in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil”. The note prepared by the Meth Panel provides the outcome of its consideration of the request contained in paragraph 15 of the report of the sixty-second meeting of the Board, concerning the rationale for the threshold value used in the common practice analysis of the proposed methodology. The information note is contained in annex 18 of the report of the fifty-first meeting of the Meth Panel.

17. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the Meth Panel could not conclude its consideration of the following proposed new methodologies and will continue the consideration of these cases at a future meeting:

(a) NM0338: “Methodology for GHG emission reductions using advanced electric arc furnace integrated with high-efficiency shaft-type scrap preheater”;

(b) NM0344: “Introduction of a New Natural Gas Based Gas Turbine Cogeneration in Existing CHP Facilities Connected to the Electricity Grid”;

(c) NM0345: “Methodology for conversion of a Combined Cycle Power Plant to an Integrated Solar Combined Cycle (ISCC)”.

18. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the Meth Panel could not conclude its consideration of the requests for revision AM\_REV\_0213, AM\_REV\_0217, AM\_REV\_0218, and AM\_REV\_0219. The Meth Panel will continue the consideration of these requests at a future meeting.

19. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that, in response to the request contained in paragraph 19 of the report of the sixty-second meeting of the Board, the Meth Panel was not able to conclude its analysis on the issues related to the methodology ACM0013 “Consolidated baseline and monitoring methodology for new

## CDM – Executive Board

Sixty-third meeting  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

grid connected fossil fuel fired power plants using a less GHG intensive technology”, as it could not gather all the necessary data and expertise required to undertake a thorough analysis within the short timeframe since the sixty-second meeting of the Board. The panel intends to finalize its analysis and the related report at its next meeting.

20. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that, in response to the task of improving approved methodologies and tools as contained in the 2011 work plan of the panel, the Meth Panel prepared a draft revision of the following methodology and tool:

- (a) ACM0016: “Baseline Methodology for Mass Rapid Transit Projects”; and
- (b) “Tool to determine methane emissions avoided from disposal of waste at a solid waste disposal site”.

In accordance with paragraph 21(a) of the “Procedure for the submission and consideration of requests for revisions of approved baseline and monitoring methodologies and tools for large scale CDM projects”, the Chair of the panel launched a call for public inputs on the draft revised methodology and tool, started on 29 August 2011 and open for 10 calendar days.

21. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that, in response to the task of improving approved methodologies and tools as contained in the 2011 work plan of the panel, the Meth Panel continued its work to improve the methodology AM0031 “Baseline methodology for bus rapid transit projects”. The Meth Panel intends to continue its work, with the aim of providing a draft revision to the methodology for its consideration by the Board at a future meeting.

22. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that, in response to the requests contained in paragraph 25 of the report of the fifty-fourth meeting of the Board and in paragraph 32 of the report of the fifty-sixth meeting of the Board, concerning the analysis to determine whether the use of ex-ante dispatch analysis is suitable when compared with other methods of estimating the operating margin, the Meth Panel concluded its analysis of the issue. In that regard, the Meth Panel agreed that the use of ex ante dispatch analysis is not suitable when compared with other methods of estimating the operating margin. The Board may wish to take note of an information note, which outlines the analysis performed by the panel and substantiates its conclusion. The information note is contained in annex 20 of the report of the fifty-first meeting of the Meth Panel.

23. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that, in response to the task of developing standardized baselines as contained in the 2011 work plan of the panel, the Meth Panel identified the following approved methodologies and tools as possible candidates for the development of standardized baselines derived from approved methodologies:

- (a) AM0070: “Manufacturing of energy efficient domestic refrigerators”;
- (b) AM0091: “Energy efficiency technologies and fuel switching in new buildings”;
- (c) AM0057: “Avoided emissions from biomass wastes through use as feed stock in pulp and paper, cardboard, fibreboard or bio-oil production”; and
- (d) “Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system”.

24. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that, in response to the task of developing tables of calculation for approved methodologies, as contained in the CDM-MAP 2011, the tables for three tools have been finalized in consultation with the Meth Panel, as referred to in paragraph 30 of the fifty-first meeting of the panel. Information on the tables developed can be found on the UNFCCC CDM website under: [<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/Notes/index.html>.](http://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/Notes/index.html)

**CDM – Executive Board****Sixty-third meeting**  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

25. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that, in response to the request contained in paragraph 35 of the sixty-second meeting of the Board, the Meth Panel has considered a draft work programme, as prepared by the secretariat, to further improve and implement the “Guidelines for the establishment of sector specific standardized baselines” and that it provided feedback on the draft. The secretariat has taken note of the feedback and it will be considered during the finalization of the work programme to be presented to the Board at its sixty-fifth meeting.

26. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that, in response to the request contained in paragraph 33 of the sixty-second meeting of the Board, the Meth Panel has considered a draft work programme, as prepared by the secretariat, to further improve and implement the “Guidelines on the consideration of suppressed demand in CDM methodologies” and that it provided feedback on the draft. The secretariat has taken note of the feedback and considered it during the finalization of the work programme to be presented to the Board at this meeting.

27. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the fifty-second meeting of the Meth Panel will be held on 3–7 October 2011 in Bonn, Germany.

***Small-Scale Working Group***

28. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the report of the thirty-third meeting of the SSC WG.

*Background:* The SSC WG held its thirty-third meeting on 22–25 August 2011 in Bonn, Germany. The SSC WG dealt with case-specific issues, processes and methodological clarifications and other issues, as specified below.

29. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the SSC WG continued its consideration of new top-down methodologies as follows:

(a) For efficient agricultural pumping and irrigation activities with a focus on one-to-one replacement of existing inefficient pumps, and expects to present a draft new methodology for the Board’s consideration at its sixty-sixth meeting.

(b) For energy efficiency measures in buildings using computer simulation, taking into account different approaches presented by external experts, with the aim to present a draft new methodology for the Board’s consideration at its sixty-fifth meeting.

30. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the proposed new SSC methodology SSC-NM066 “Switch from non-renewable biomass for feedstock applications by the user” was deemed not suitable for recommendation to the Board by the SSC WG.

The recommendation by the SSC WG is contained in paragraph 4 of the report of the thirty-third meeting of the SSC WG, available on the UNFCCC CDM website  
<[http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ssc\\_wg/index.html](http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ssc_wg/index.html)>.

31. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the SSC WG could not conclude its consideration of the following proposed new methodologies and further input from the project proponents has been sought. It will continue the consideration of these cases at its future meetings:

- (a) SSC-NM067: “Methane oxidation layer (MOL) for solid waste disposal sites”;
- (b) SSC-NM068: “Rural electrification by extension of existing low carbon intensive electricity distribution network”; and
- (c) SSC-NM069: “Super-Efficient Major Domestic Appliances”.



**CDM – Executive Board****Sixty-third meeting**  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

32. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note of the responses to the requests for clarifications SSC\_543, SSC\_545 - SSC\_559 and the responses to the requests for revisions SSC\_542 and SSC\_544, as provided by the SSC WG and referred to in the SSC WG report (see paragraphs 19–35 of the SSC WG 33 report). Information on the clarifications to methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/SSCmethodologies/clarifications>>.

33. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the SSC WG could not conclude its work on the revision of AMS-II.C “Demand-side energy efficiency activities for specific technologies”, AMS-III.AR “Substituting fossil fuel based lighting with LED lighting systems”, AMS-III.Q “Waste energy recovery (gas/heat/pressure) projects”, and AMS-III.W “Methane capture and destruction in non-hydrocarbon mining activities”; and will continue to consider them at its next meeting.

34. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the thirty-fourth meeting of the SSC WG will be held on 11–14 October 2011 in Banjul, The Gambia.

***Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group***

35. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the report of the thirty-third meeting of the A/R WG.

*Background:* The A/R WG held its thirty-third meeting on 22–24 August 2011 in Bonn, Germany. The A/R WG dealt with case-specific issues and general issues.

36. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the A/R WG could not conclude its consideration of the draft “Tool for demonstration of applicability of allometric equations and volume equations in A/R CDM project activities” and agreed to continue its work during the next meeting.

37. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the A/R WG will continue consideration of the following issues:

- (a) Accounting for emissions resulting from forest fires in A/R CDM project activities;
- (b) Developing guidelines on standardized baselines to be applied in A/R CDM project activities;
- (c) Alternative approaches used for demonstrating additionality in SSC A/R CDM projects;
- (d) Consideration of simplified approaches to eligibility of land and determination of project boundary for A/R CDM activities.

38. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the A/R WG could not conclude its consideration of an amendment of the approved methodology AR-AM0005 “Afforestation and reforestation project activities implemented for industrial and/or commercial uses”.

39. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the A/R WG could not conclude its consideration of request for clarification AR\_AM\_CLA\_0016 and will continue its consideration at the next meeting.

40. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the thirty-fourth meeting of the A/R WG will be held on 10–12 October 2011 in Bonn, Germany.

**3. Rulings (case-specific matters)****3.1. Accreditation**

41. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to:

- (a) Accredit and provisionally designate entities for sector specific validation and verification/certification functions;

**CDM – Executive Board**
**Sixty-third meeting**  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

- (b) Consider recommendations of the CDM-AP on transfer of accreditation of designated operational entities;
- (c) Take note of the CDM-AP's notifications on the results of regular on-site surveillances and take actions, as appropriate;
- (d) Take note of the CDM-AP's notifications on the results of performance assessments;
- (e) Take note of the notification of the CDM-AP on initiated spot-check.

*Background:* In accordance with the accreditation procedure, the recommendations for accreditation and the supporting documentation will be sent to Board members and alternates under separate cover under confidentiality.

**3.2. Programme of activities**

42. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock on the progress of registration of PoAs.

*Background:* The information available in the section on “Programme of Activities” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/projsearch.html>>), indicates the status of PoAs submitted for registration as a single CDM project activity.

**3.3. Registration**

43. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock on the progress of registration.

*Background:* The information available in the section on “Project Activities” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/projsearch.html>>), indicates the status of project activities submitted for registration.

44. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the documentation for 21 requests for registration as listed in table 1 below, for which a review was commenced.

*Background:* Three (3) or more members of the Board or a Party involved requested a review of the requests for registration listed in table 1 below. The subsequent assessments of the secretariat and the RIT Team contained different proposed decisions (i.e., one to register and the other to reject) or the same proposed decisions (both to register or to reject), but received an objection from a member of the Board. In accordance with the “Procedures for review of requests for registration”, adopted by the Board at its fifty-fifth meeting, for each case listed in table 1 below, the Board shall decide whether to register the project activity or to reject the request for registration.

*Table 1: Request for registration review cases for which assessments of the secretariat and the RIT Team contain different proposed decisions or an objection by a member of the Board was received*

Ref No.	Title	Register	Reject
3268	Korea Hydro & Nuclear Power Co.(KHNP) Cheongpyeong Hydro Power Plant Unit 4 Project	?	?
3461	Rio Amoyá Run-of-River Hydro Project	?	?
3503	Hebei Shengyuan Xuandong Coal Mine Methane Utilization Project	?	?
3522	Waste Heat Recovery and Utilisation for Power Generation Project of Xuancheng Conch Cement Company Limited	?	?
3869	BRT Lines 1-5 EDOMEX, Mexico	?	?
3890	Fuel switch from fossil fuel to biomass residues for cogeneration in integrated pulp and paper unit of ITC PSPD at Bhadrachalam	?	?

**CDM – Executive Board**
**Sixty-third meeting**  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Register</i>	<i>Reject</i>
3994	Waste Heat Recovery and Power Generation Project in Jilin Yatai Group Mingcheng Cement Co., Ltd.	?	?
4063	10MW biomass power plant at Sangli by Sinewave Power	?	?
4103	Cabo Negro Wind Farm Project, Phase 1	?	?
4169	Pure-low Temperature Waste Heat Recovery for Power Generation (9MW) in Changsha Pingtang Cement Co., Ltd.	?	?
4226	Utilisation of the thermal energy content of the waste gas of clinker cooler and pre-heater for power generation at a cement plant in Rajasthan	?	?
4291	Methane Recovery and Utilization Project of Dai Viet Co. Ltd, Vietnam	?	?
4293	Carroll's Foods do Brasil & LOGICarbon – GHG Emission Reductions from Swine Manure Management System, Diamantino, MT, Brazil	?	?
4407	Biomass based co-generation project	?	?
4420	Pure-low Temperature Waste Heat Recovery for Power Generation (12MW) in Hunan Cement Co., Ltd. of Zhaoshan Xinxing Group (ZSHN)	?	?
4514	Quang Ngai APFCO Tapioca starch wastewater biogas extraction and utilization project, Quang Ngai Province, Socialist Republic of Viet Nam	?	?
4540	19.8MW grid connected Wind farm project by K.P.R Mill Private Limited, Tamil Nadu, India at Villages: Keelaveeranam, Kuruchampatti, Vadi, Ayansurandi, Rajagopalaperi, District: Tirunelveli, Tamilnadu by M/s K. P. R. Mill Private Limited	?	?
4550	Dak Pone Hydropower Project	?	?
4598	Monterrey I LFG to Energy Project	?	?
4629	Greenhouse Gas Emission Reductions Through Super Critical Technology - Jharkhand Integrated Power Ltd.	?	?
4668	Changchun City Landfill Gas Power Generation Project	?	?

45. ► **Action:** The Board shall continue considering the recommendation of the review team for the request for renewal of the crediting period for the project activity listed in table 2, which the Board placed under review at its fifty-sixth meeting.

*Background:* At its sixty-second meeting, the Board was not able to reach an agreement with regard to the request for renewal of crediting period for project activity “HFC Decomposition Project in Ulsan” (0003) submitted by the designated operational entity (DOE) (DNV), and decided to continue its deliberations on the request at its sixty-third meeting. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, the Board shall decide whether to renew the crediting period for the project activity (case A in table 2), to request the DOE and project participants to make corrections (case B in table 2), or to reject the renewal of the crediting period of the project activity (case C in table 2).

*Table 2: Request for renewal of the crediting period of a registered CDM project activity which was placed “Under review” at EB 56*

<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>
0003	HFC Decomposition Project in Ulsan	?	?	?

**3.4. Issuance**

46. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock of the progress of issuance and the operation of the CDM registry.

**CDM – Executive Board**
**Sixty-third meeting**  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

*Background:* The information available in the section on “Issuance of CERs” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>), indicates the status of requests for issuance.

47. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the documentation for two (2) requests for issuance, for which a review was commenced, as listed in table 3 below.

*Background:* Three (3) or more members of the Board or a Party involved requested a review of the issuance requests listed in table 3 below. The subsequent assessments of the secretariat and the RIT Team contained different proposed decisions (i.e., one to approve and the other to reject) or the same proposed decision (both to approve or to reject), but received an objection from a member of the Board. In accordance with the “Procedures for review of requests for issuance of CERs”, adopted by the Board at its fifty-fifth meeting, for each case listed in table 3 below, the Board shall decide whether to approve or to reject the request for issuance.

*Table 3: Request for issuance review cases for which assessments of the secretariat and the RIT Team contain different proposed decisions or an objection by a member of the Board was received*

Ref. No.	Title	Monitoring period	No. of CERs	Approve	Reject
0276	Bundled wind power project in Chitradurga (Karnataka in India) managed by Enercon (India) Ltd.	01 Jul 07 - 31 Dec 09	112,719	?	?
1000	Hangyeong second phase SS-wind power Project	24 Dec 07 - 31 Oct 10	71,353	?	?

48. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the request for permission to resubmit 11 previously rejected requests for issuance listed in table 4 below.

*Background:* In accordance with paragraph 96 of the report of the twenty-eighth meeting of the Executive Board, in cases where the reasons for rejection can be addressed by means of a revised verification report, based on a revised monitoring report, if appropriate, the DOE may request, explaining the reasons, to be permitted to submit a revised request for issuance for the same monitoring period covered by the rejection.

*Table 4: Requests for resubmission of previously rejected requests for issuance*

Ref No.	Title	Monitoring Period
0337	AWMS GHG Mitigation Project BR05-B-07, Mato Grosso, Minas Gerais and Goiás, Brazil.	01 Sep 2009 - 28 Feb 2010
0411	AWMS GHG Mitigation Project BR05-B-04, Paraná, Santa Catarina, and Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil	01 Dec 2009 - 31 May 2010
0412	AWMS GHG Mitigation Project BR05-B-05, Minas Gerais and São Paulo, Brazil	01 Apr 2009 - 31 Oct 2009
0627	Aquarius Hydroelectric Project	01 Jan 2008 - 31 Dec 2009
0830	Rialma Companhia Energética I S/A. - Santa Edwiges I Small Hydro Power Plant - Small Scale CDM Project	01 Jan 2009 - 31 Dec 2009
0887	Shenzhen Xiaping Landfill Gas Collection and Utilization Project	01 Feb 2009 - 30 Sep 2009
1313	MEN-Tangerang 13.6MW Natural Gas Co-generation Project	01 Sep 2008 - 31 Aug 2009
1534	AWMS Methane Recovery Project BR07-S-34, Bahia, Espirito Santo, Minas Gerais, and Sao Paulo, Brazil	10 Apr 2008 - 31 May 2010

**CDM – Executive Board****Sixty-third meeting**  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Monitoring Period</i>
2130	Methane Recovery in Wastewater Treatment, Project AIN07-W-04, Sumatera Utara, Indonesia	16 Jan 2009 - 28 Feb 2010
2845	Datong River Zhuchaxia Hydropower Station	18 Dec 2009 - 29 May 2010
2866	Bailongjiang Shuiboxia Hydropower Station	21 Dec 2009 - 29 May 2010

49. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the request for permission to resubmit three (3) previously withdrawn requests for issuance listed in table 5 below.

*Background:* In accordance with paragraph 9 (c) of annex 33 to the report of the fifty-fourth meeting of the Board, in cases where the request for issuances that have received request for review are withdrawn, if the DOE intends to resubmit the request for issuance for the same monitoring period after such a withdrawal, the DOE shall request permission from the Board to resubmit such a request.

*Table 5: Request for resubmission of previously withdrawn requests for issuance*

<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Monitoring Period</i>
0346	Nueva Aldea Biomass Power Plant Phase 2	01 Oct 2008 - 31 Dec 2009
0579	Optimal utilization of clinker: Substitution of Clinker by Slag in Portland Slag Cement at OCL, Rajgangpur, Sundargarh, Orissa.	01 Apr 2001 - 31 Mar 2007
0605	Paramount Integrated Corporation Methane Recovery and Electricity Generation	01 Nov 2006 - 30 Jun 2009

50. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider two (2) submissions by a DOE with regard to notification of changes or request for approval of changes from the registered project design document (PDD), as listed in table 6 below.

*Background:* The DOE may submit a notification or a request for approval of changes from the registered PDD in accordance with the “Procedures for notifying and requesting approval of changes from the project activity as described in the registered PDD”. The Board, according to these procedures, shall decide whether to: (a) accept the changes and allow the subsequent requests for issuance; (b) accept the changes and allow the subsequent requests for issuance, but limit the CERs up to the level estimated in the original PDD; or(c) reject the changes and not allow the subsequent requests for issuance.

*Table 6: Notifications of changes/requests for approval of changes from the registered PDD*

<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Type</i>
0530	ARAPUtanga Centrais ELétricas S. A. - ARAPUCEL - Small Hydroelectric Power Plants Project	Request
0545	Durban Landfill-gas-to-electricity project – Mariannhill and La Mercy Landfills	Notification

#### **4. Regulatory matters**

##### **4.1. Standards/tools**

##### **(a) *Standards for CDM project activities and programme of activities***

51. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the following four draft standards for PoAs:

(a) “Draft standard for demonstration of additionality of a programme of activities”, as contained in annex 4 to these annotations;

**CDM – Executive Board****Sixty-third meeting**  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

(b) “Draft standard for the development of eligibility criteria for the inclusion of a project activity as a CPA under the programme of activities”, as contained in annex 5, to these annotations;

(c) “Draft standard for application of multiple CDM methodologies for a programme of activities”, as contained in annex 6, to these annotations; and

(d) “Draft standard for sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities”, as contained in annex 7 to these annotations.

*Background:* At the sixtieth meeting of the Board, the Board took note of a summary of public inputs to the call on programmes of activities, launched at the fifty-ninth meeting of the Board, and agreed to a workprogramme that envisaged the consideration at its sixty-third meeting of the following standards (EB 60 report, annex 27):

- (a) Standards for the development and operation of a programme of activities, which should include standards for the coordination of programmes applying multiple emissions reduction methods;
- (b) Standards for the development of eligibility criteria for the inclusion of CPAs;
- (c) A standard for the demonstration of additionality of a programme of activities;
- (d) Standards and guidelines related to sampling; and
- (e) Revised procedures to address the erroneous inclusion of CPAs.

Stakeholder inputs for these documents were received during the “Integrated Workshop on Project Standard, Validation and Verification Standard, Project Cycle Procedure and PoA Standards” referred to in paragraph 88 below.

52. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the information note, as contained in annex 8 to these annotations, related to the following three documents: (a) draft CDM project standard, (b) draft CDM validation and verification standard, (c) draft CDM project cycle procedure.

*Background:* The Board, through its CDM-MAP 2011, decided to develop the above mentioned documents. This information note provides the background, the process of preparation and a brief overview of these documents.

53. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft CDM project standard, as contained in annex 9 to these annotations.

*Background:* The Board, through its CDM-MAP 2011, decided to develop a project standard to include, inter alia, obligations of project participants during the validation, operation and verification of project activities. The new standard consolidates the requirements for project participants wishing to design and implement a CDM project activity or PoA and seeking issuance of CERs.

Stakeholder inputs for this document were received during the “Integrated Workshop on Project Standard, Validation and Verification Standard, Project Cycle Procedure and PoA Standards” referred to in paragraph 88 below. This document is to be read in conjunction with annex 8 to these annotations referred above.

54. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft clean development mechanism validation and verification standard (VVS), as contained in annex 10 to these annotations.

## CDM – Executive Board

Sixty-third meeting  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

*Background:* The Board, through its CDM-MAP 2011, decided to revise the “CDM Validation and Verification Manual” (VVM) into a VVS to be used by the DOEs with a view to, inter alia, enhancing consistency and clarity of minimum requirements for all types of CDM validation and verification activities.

Stakeholder inputs for this document were received during the “Integrated Workshop on Project Standard, Validation and Verification Standard, Project Cycle Procedure and PoA Standards” referred to in paragraph 88 below. This document is to be read in conjunction with annex 8 to these annotations referred above.

**(b) Methodological standards for large-scale CDM project activities***New methodologies*

55. ► **Action:** Taking into consideration the inputs from experts (desk reviewers), the public, and the recommendations of the Meth Panel, the Board may wish to consider the recommendation:

- (a) To approve the proposed new methodologies:
  - (i) NM0292: “Highly efficient power plant fuelled with blast furnace gas at TKCSA, in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil”, as contained in annex 1 of the fifty-first meeting report of the Meth Panel;
  - (ii) NM0332: “PFCs emission reduction from installation of an abatement device in a semiconductor manufacturing facility”, as contained in annex 2 of the fifty-first meeting report of the Meth Panel;
  - (iii) NM0334: “Installation of high efficient technology for power transmission”, as contained in annex 3 of the fifty-first meeting report of the Meth Panel;
  - (iv) NM0346: “Utilization of ammonia-plant off gas for heat generation”, as contained in annex 4 of the fifty-first meeting report of the Meth Panel; and
  - (v) NM0347: “Biomass residue co-firing at an existing or a new boiler(s)”, as contained in annex 4 of the fifty-first meeting report of the Meth Panel.
- (b) Not to approve the proposed new methodologies:
  - (i) NM0343: “Methodology for RHF-based energy efficient iron-making technology”; and
  - (ii) NM0349: “Avoidance of N<sub>2</sub>O formation by switching over to the catalytic oxidation with oxygen from oxidation with nitric acid in manufacturing of substituted benzoic acids at GIDC, Ankleshwar, India”.

*Background:* The recommendations agreed by the Meth Panel are contained in paragraphs 6 and 8 of the report of the fifty-first meeting of the Meth Panel available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/index.html>>.

56. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider withdrawing the approved methodology AM0085 “Co-firing of biomass residues for electricity generation in grid connected power plants”.

*Background:* The Meth Panel recommended the withdrawal of the methodology AM0085 as it is fully incorporated in the proposed new consolidated methodology based on NM0347, as explained in paragraph 9 of the report of the fifty-first meeting of the Meth Panel available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/index.html>>.

## CDM – Executive Board

Sixty-third meeting  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

57. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the draft methodological tool “Project and leakage emissions from road transportation of freight”. The draft tool offers project participants two options to determine emissions from freight transport by allowing for the monitoring of the actual fuel consumption or by using conservative default values. The draft tool is contained in annex 14 of the report of the fifty-first meeting of the Meth Panel.

*Background:* The Board requested the development of this draft tool under the task of developing top-down methodologies and tools, as included in the 2011 work plan of the Meth Panel, contained in annex 6 of the report of the sixtieth meeting of the Board.

58. ► **Action:** The Board may wish:

(a) To take note that, the Meth Panel developed a first draft of the following methodology and tool:

- (i) Draft methodology: “Renewable energy power generation in isolated grids”. The draft methodology is contained in annex 6 of the report of the fifty-first meeting of the Meth Panel; and
- (ii) Draft tool: “Project and leakage emissions from composting”. The draft tool is contained in annex 13 of the report of the fifty-first meeting of the Meth Panel.

(b) To consider launching a call for public inputs on the draft methodology and tool mentioned above, open for a period of 10 calendar days, with the aim that the Meth Panel may take these inputs into consideration while preparing the final drafts. The Meth Panel intends to recommend the draft methodology and tool to the Board for its approval at a future meeting.

*Background:* The Board requested the development of top-down methodologies and tools, as included in the 2011 work plan of the Meth Panel, contained in annex 6 of the report of the sixtieth meeting of the Board. The Meth Panel recommends seeking inputs from the public on these drafts in order to improve them before they are recommended to the Board for approval.

**Revisions to approved methodologies and tools**

59. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to continue to consider the draft revised methodology AM0001 “Incineration of HFC 23 waste streams”.

*Background:* The Board, at its sixty-second meeting, took note of a presentation made by the secretariat to further clarify the options proposed by the Meth Panel for the revision of AM0001 “Incineration of HFC 23 waste streams”. The Board agreed to continue the consideration of the revision of methodology AM0001 at its sixty-third meeting.

60. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revision/amendment to the following approved methodologies and tools:

(a) AM0023: “Leak reduction from natural gas pipeline compressor or gate stations”. The draft revision, prepared in response to the task of improving approved methodologies and tools as contained in the 2011 work plan of the Meth Panel, inter alia:

- (i) Expands the applicability of the methodology to refinery gas and other natural gas operations;
- (ii) Introduces an additional alternative to calculate baseline and project emissions using default values;



## CDM – Executive Board

Sixty-third meeting  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

- (iii) Removes one measurement method to determine baseline emissions, as the method was not regarded as appropriate in the context of the applicable project activities; and
- (iv) Undertakes several editorial improvements.

The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 7 of the report of the fifty-first meeting of the Meth Panel.

(b) AM0034: “Catalytic reduction of N<sub>2</sub>O inside the ammonia burner of nitric acid plants”. The draft editorial amendment provides more clarity on how baseline as well as project data should be analyzed. The draft editorially amended methodology is contained in annex 8 of the report of the fifty-first meeting of the Meth Panel.

(c) ACM0006: “Consolidated methodology for electricity and heat generation from biomass residues”. In response to the request for revision AM\_REV\_0212, the draft amendment broadens the applicability of the methodology to situations where mechanical energy is produced using process heat generated from biomass. Furthermore, the panel agreed to amend the methodology to increase the maximal share of the co-fired fossil fuels, in the total fuel fired, from 50% to 80% on an energy basis. The draft amended methodology is contained in annex 9 of the report of the fifty-first meeting of the Meth Panel.

(d) ACM0017: “Production of biodiesel for use as fuel”. The draft amendment:

- (i) Simplifies the determination of the quantity of biodiesel eligible for crediting in year y; and
- (ii) Improves the methodology by including missing parameters in the monitoring table, removing non-required parameters from the monitoring table, and correcting editorial errors in the description for several parameters.

The draft amended methodology is contained in annex 11 of the report of the fifty-first meeting of the Meth Panel.

(e) ACM0018: “Consolidated methodology for electricity generation from biomass residues in power-only plants”. The draft amendment increases the maximum share of the co-fired fossil fuels, in the total fuel fired, from 50% to 80% on an energy basis. The draft amended methodology is contained in annex 12 of the report of the fifty-first meeting of the Meth Panel.

(f) “Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system”. The draft editorial amendment corrects equations (4) and (6) in the tool, and provides other editorial improvements. The draft editorially amended tool is contained in annex 16 of the report of the fifty-first meeting of the Meth Panel.

(g) “Tool to assess the validity of the original/current baseline and to update the baseline at the renewal of a crediting period”. The draft editorial amendment, prepared in response to the requests contained in paragraph 30 of the fifty-sixth meeting of the Board and paragraph 43 of the report of the sixtieth meeting of the Board, addresses cases when the end of the technical lifetime of the baseline equipment occurs before the end of the crediting period. The draft amended tool is contained in annex 17 of the report of the fifty-first meeting of the Meth Panel.

*Background:* Information on the revisions to the methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/revisions>>.

61. ► **Action:** In regard to the “Tool to assess the validity of the original/current baseline and to update the baseline at the renewal of a crediting period”, the Board may wish:

## CDM – Executive Board

Sixty-third meeting  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

(a) To take note that, in response to the request contained in paragraph 31 of the fifty-sixth meeting of the Board, the Meth Panel considered the request to adjust all methodologies which are identified as not complying with the Board ruling on the reassessment of baseline emissions by removing reference to the reassessment of the baseline scenario. The Meth Panel agreed to address this request by initiating the revision of methodologies and prioritize those methodologies that are used by CDM project activities currently requesting the renewal of the crediting period;

(b) To take note that the Meth Panel discussed the possible need to provide further guidance in the tool, concerning the consideration of changes in circumstances at the renewal of the crediting period. The Meth Panel did not reach consensus on this matter;

(c) To consider the following two different views from the panel:

- (i) It is considered that further guidance in the tool is required regarding the assessment of circumstances at the renewal of the crediting period, for the case where the baseline is the continuation of the current practice without any investment. The proposed amendment is reflected within brackets in the draft revised tool contained as an appendix in the information note, prepared by the panel, referred to hereunder;
- (ii) It is considered that further guidance in the tool is not required regarding the assessment of circumstances at the renewal of the crediting period.

The arguments for the different views are elaborated in an information note, contained in annex 21 of the report of the fifty-first meeting of the Meth Panel.

*Background:* The Meth Panel did not reach consensus on whether it is required to provide further guidance in the tool and presented two views for consideration by the Board, as described in paragraph 26(c) of the report of the fifty-first meeting of the Meth Panel.

62. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the following responses to the requests for revision, as referred to in the Meth Panel report (see table 2 in paragraph 13 of the report of the fifty-first meeting of the Meth Panel):

(a) To accept request AM\_REV\_0212 concerning the amendment to the approved consolidated methodology ACM0006, with a view to include mechanical and other forms of power in the methodology;

(b) Not to accept request AM\_REV\_0214 concerning the revision to the approved methodology AM0029, with a view to expand its applicability to power plants using secondary fuels beside natural gas and to situations where natural gas is insufficiently available - Option 1;

(c) Not to accept request AM\_REV\_0215 concerning the revision to the approved methodology AM0029, with a view to expand its applicability to power plants using secondary fuels beside natural gas and to situations where natural gas is insufficiently available - Option 2;

(d) Not to accept request AM\_REV\_0216 concerning the revision to the approved methodology AM0036, with a view to broaden its scope to include the use of biomass from newly developed dedicated plantations in addition to biomass residues; and

(e) Not to accept request AM\_REV\_0220 concerning the revision to the approved consolidated methodology ACM0017, with a view to, inter alia, exclude biodiesel end-users from project boundary.

*Background:* Information on the revisions to the methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/revisions>>.

**CDM – Executive Board****Sixty-third meeting**  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations***Responses to requests for clarification***

63. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the responses to the requests for clarifications AM\_CLA\_0210, AM\_CLA\_0211, AM\_CLA\_0212, AM\_CLA\_0213, AM\_CLA\_0214, and AM\_CLA\_0215, as provided by the Meth Panel and referred to in the Meth Panel report (see table 3 in paragraph 27 of the report of the fifty-first meeting of the Meth Panel).

*Background:* Information on the clarifications to methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/clarifications>>.

64. ► **Action:** The Board may wish:

(a) To take note that the Meth Panel could not conclude its consideration of the request for clarification AM\_CLA\_0216 on the use of ACM0012 “Consolidated baseline methodology for GHG emission reductions from waste energy recovery projects” under a PoA; and

(b) To consider providing guidance to the Meth Panel on issues identified in relation to the request for clarification AM\_CLA\_0216, as presented in an information note prepared by the Meth Panel. The information note is contained in annex 19 of the report of the fifty-first meeting of the Meth Panel.

*Background:* During its consideration of the request for clarification AM\_CLA\_0216, the Meth Panel agreed to seek guidance from the Board on issues related to the use of the methodology ACM0012 under a PoA.

(c) ***Methodological standards for small-scale CDM project activities***

***New methodologies***

65. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to launch two calls for public inputs for the following top-down developed draft SSC methodologies.

(a) Draft methodology SSC-II.N “Demand-side energy efficiency activities for installation of energy efficient lighting and/or controls in buildings”, as contained in annex 1 of the report of the thirty-third meeting of the SSC WG. The call for inputs will be for issues related to, among other things, applicability to Greenfield projects and level of complexity, as contained in annex 2 of the report of the thirty-third meeting of the SSC WG.

(b) Draft methodology SSC-I.K “Solar cookers for households”, as contained in annex 3 of the report of the thirty-third meeting of the SSC WG. The draft has been prepared based on initial comments received in response to the call launched at the sixty-first meeting of the Board (from 3 June to 3 July 2011).

*Background:* The task to develop top-down methodologies and tools is included in the 2011 work plan of the SSC WG, as contained in annex 6 of the report of the sixtieth meeting of the Board. The SSC WG wishes to seek inputs from the public on these drafts in order to improve them before they are recommended to the Board for approval.

***Revisions to approved methodologies and tools***

66. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the following revisions of SSC methodologies:

(a) AMS-III.G “Landfill methane recovery”, as contained in annex 4 of the report of the thirty-third meeting of the SSC WG. The request is based on submission SSC\_544. The revision will expand the methodology to cover, among others, more types of gainful use of landfill gas.

**CDM – Executive Board****Sixty-third meeting**  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

(b) AMS-III.D “Methane recovery in animal manure management systems” as contained in annex 9 of the report of the thirty-third meeting of the SSC WG. As part of the work towards clarifying monitoring requirements and simplifying methodologies serving agreed sectors, as contained in the CDM-MAP, the SSC WG, taking into account inputs from stakeholders, prepared a draft revised methodology with the monitoring table specifying monitoring requirements.

*Background:* Information on the revisions to the SSC methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/revisions>>.

67. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the recommendation made by the SSC WG on the combination of AMS-I.A with AMS-I.D and/or AMS-I.F for application in a PoA.

*Background:* In response to the submission SSC\_547, the SSC WG clarified that in the situation where there is no electricity usage prior to the project activity, the methodology to be applied should be AMS-I.A, not AMS-I.F. The group also agreed to recommend that the Board approve the combination of AMS-I.A with AMS-I.D and/or AMS-I.F in a PoA.

68. ► **Action:** The Board may wish not to approve the following revisions of SSC methodologies:

(a) Revision of AMS-III.AJ “Recovery and recycling of materials from solid wastes”.

*Background:* The request is based on submission SSC\_542. The SSC WG agreed not to recommend a revision since scrap tyres are not necessarily regarded as “waste material” that is dumped into municipal waste sites, and AMS-III.AJ is based on the principle that the recycled waste would be treated in municipal solid waste disposal sites in the absence of the project activity. The project proponents have been advised to submit a new methodology.

**Guidelines**

69. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the recommendation prepared by the SSC WG in relation to the “Guidelines for the demonstration of additionality of microscale project activities”. The analysis performed by the SSC WG is outlined in the information note as contained in annex 6 of the thirty-third report of the SSC WG.

*Background:* The recommendation has been prepared in response to the request by the Board, as contained in paragraphs 48 and 49 of the report of the sixty-second meeting of the Board, and is based on an analysis of the issues and impacts while also providing rationale for the recommendation.

70. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the recommendation by the SSC WG on the technical assessment of two submissions from designated national authorities (DNAs) pertaining to criteria 2 (d) of the “Guidelines for the demonstration of additionality of microscale project activities” as contained in annex 7 of the report of the thirty-third meeting of the SSC WG, and to provide further guidance.

*Background:* The SSC WG undertook a technical assessment of the submissions from the governments of Ecuador and Indonesia, on the “Guidelines for the demonstration of additionality of microscale project activities”. The group concluded that the submissions are technically valid.

**(d) Methodological standards for afforestation and reforestation CDM project activities****New methodologies**

71. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider that the proposed new A/R methodology:

(a) ARNM0039: “CarbonFix A/R baseline and monitoring methodology for multiple baseline land uses and leakage settings” was deemed not suitable for recommendation to the Board by the A/R WG.

**CDM – Executive Board****Sixty-third meeting**  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

*Background:* The recommendation by the A/R WG is contained in paragraph 6 of the report of the thirty-third meeting of the A/R WG available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<https://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ar>>.

***Revisions to approved methodologies and tools***

72. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revision/amendment to this approved methodology.

(a) AR-ACM0002: “Afforestation or reforestation of degraded land without displacement of pre-project activities”.

The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 1 of the report of the thirty-third meeting of the A/R WG.

*Background:* The recommendations by the A/R WG are contained in paragraph 8 of the report of the thirty-third meeting of the A/R WG available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<https://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ar>>.

73. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to withdraw the approved methodology AR-AM0006: “Afforestation/Reforestation with Trees Supported by Shrubs on Degraded Land”.

*Background:* The recommendations by the A/R WG are contained in paragraph 9 of the report of the thirty-third meeting of the A/R WG available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<https://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ar>>.

***Responses to requests for clarification***

74. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the responses of the requests for clarifications AR\_AM\_CLA\_0015 and SSC\_AR\_007, as provided by the A/R WG and referred to in paragraphs 10 to 13 of the report of the thirty-third meeting of the A/R WG.

*Background:* Information on the clarifications to methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/ARmethodologies/clarifications>>.

***Guidelines***

75. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the draft guidelines on the application of specified versions of A/R CDM methodologies in verification of registered A/R CDM project activities, as contained in annex 2 of the report of the thirty-third meeting of the A/R WG.

*Background:* Taking into account the outcome of the one-day stakeholder workshop held on 12 May 2011 in Bonn, the A/R WG considered the draft guidelines for application of specified versions of A/R CDM methodologies in verification of registered A/R CDM project activities and agreed to recommend them to the Board for approval.

76. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the draft guidelines on accounting of changes in A/R CDM project activities from the description in registered project design documents, as contained in annex 3 of the report of the thirty-third meeting of the A/R WG.

*Background:* Taking into account the outcome of the one-day stakeholder workshop held on 12 May 2011 in Bonn and the response to the call for public inputs opened by the Board from 3 June 2011 to 3 July 2011, the A/R WG considered draft guidelines on accounting of changes in A/R CDM project activities from the description in registered project design documents and agreed to recommend them to the Board for approval.

## CDM – Executive Board

Sixty-third meeting  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations**4.2. Procedures****(a) *Procedures related to project cycle***

77. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft clean development mechanism project cycle procedure (PCP), as contained in annex 11 to these annotations.

*Background:* The Board, through its CDM-MAP 2011, decided to develop a consolidated validation and verification procedure. Stakeholder inputs for these documents were received during the “Integrated Workshop on Project Standard, Validation and Verification Standard, Project Cycle Procedure and PoA Standards” referred to in paragraph 88 below. This document is to be read in conjunction with annex 8 to these annotations referred above.

**(b) *Procedures related to methodologies***

78. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to launch a call for public inputs in relation to standardized approaches for facilitating baseline emission calculations under SSC CDM methodologies for displacement of non-renewable biomass, and in particular for quantifying the fraction of non-renewable biomass and default parameters for baseline fuel wood consumption, as contained in annex 8 of the thirty-third report of the SSC WG.

*Background:* As per the task of developing standardized baselines, contained in the CDM-MAP 2011, related to rural energy, the SSC WG considered the technical inputs received from experts on approaches for fNRB quantification, i.e. by using mean annual increment of biomass growth and applying the Woodfuel Integrated Supply/Demand Overview Mapping (WISDOM) tool in relation to the methodologies AMS-I.E “Switch from Non-Renewable Biomass for Thermal Applications by the User” and AMS-II.G “Energy efficiency measures in thermal applications of non-renewable biomass”.

79. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the SSC WG will continue its work on standardized baselines for transport (AMS-III.AA), methane emissions in rice fields (AMS-III.AU), and biogas digesters (AMS-I.I and AMS-I.E).

80. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft procedure for submission and consideration of standardized baselines, as contained in annex 12 to these annotations.

*Background:* The Board, at its sixty-second meeting, adopted the “Guidelines for the establishment of sector specific standardized baselines” and requested the secretariat to prepare a work programme to implement, in consultation with the relevant panels and working groups, the guidelines.

**4.3. Policy issues****(a) *Related to methodologies***

81. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft work programme on suppressed demand, as contained in annex 13 to these annotations.

*Background:* The Board, at its sixty-second meeting, adopted “Guidelines on the consideration of suppressed demand in CDM methodologies” and requested the secretariat to prepare, in consultation with the relevant panels and working groups, a work programme for the implementation of these guidelines in relevant CDM methodologies. At the same meeting, the Board also requested the SSC WG and the secretariat to propose procedures for consideration of inputs from DNAs for microscale.

82. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider (a) the summary note of the public inputs received from the call for inputs on first-of-its-kind and common practice, as contained in annex 14 to these annotations; and

**CDM – Executive Board****Sixty-third meeting**  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

(b) a draft proposal on the use of the first-of-its-kind barrier and the assessment of common practice, as contained in annex 15 to these annotations.

*Background:* The CMP, at its sixth session, requested the Board as its highest priority, to finalize guidance on the use of the first-of-its-kind barrier and the assessment of common practice. The Board, at its sixty-second meeting, launched a call for public inputs on the work related to the use of the first-of-its-kind barrier and the assessment of common practice. The Board further requested the secretariat to prepare:

(a) A note that summarizes the inputs received from the call, taking feedback from the small group of Board members working on the issue; and

(b) A new proposal on the use of the first-of-its-kind barrier and the assessment of common practice, taking into account the inputs received from the call.

**(b) Related to registration**

83. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to discuss policy issues encountered through the experience of the assessment of cases.

*Background:* Following the “Procedures for review of requests for registration”, policy issues related to the policies and goals of the CDM arising from the experience of the assessment shall be highlighted to the Board.

**(c) Related to issuance**

84. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to discuss policy issues encountered through the experience of the assessment of cases.

*Background:* Following the “Procedures for review of requests for issuance of CERs”, policy issues related to the policies and goals of the CDM arising from the experience of the assessment of cases shall be highlighted to the Board.

85. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an information note on addressing significant deficiencies in past validation, verification or certification report, as contained in annex 16 to these annotations.

*Background:* The Board, through its CDM-MAP 2011, decided to develop a consolidated validation and verification procedure, including a procedure to identify and correct significant deficiencies in validation and verification reports. The information note for consideration of the Board aims to provide a basis for initial discussion of this issue.

**5. Relations with forums and other stakeholders****5.1. Designated National Authorities**

86. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the activities and submissions by **DNAs and/or governments** since the last meeting and agree on any actions, as appropriate.

*Background:* Following the “Modalities and procedures for direct communication with stakeholders” approved at the sixty-second meeting, DNAs may make a non-case-specific request for clarification or guidance, or feedback to the Board through a dedicated interface on the DNA forum extranet provided by the secretariat.

## CDM – Executive Board

Sixty-third meeting  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations**5.2. Designated Operational Entities**

87. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of communications submitted by the **CDM DOE/AE Coordination Forum** since the last meeting and agree on any actions, as appropriate.

*Background:* Following the “Modalities and procedures for direct communication with stakeholders” approved at the sixty-second meeting, an AE/DOE may make a non-case-specific request for clarification or guidance, or feedback to the Board through a dedicated interface on the operational entities extranet provided by the secretariat.

**5.3. Communications and activities with stakeholders**

88. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the workshops and events that have taken place and other communications, as appropriate, since the last meeting, and agree on any actions.

*Background:* The following events were organized since the last meeting:

(a) Integrated Workshop on Project Standard, Validation and Verification Standard, Project Cycle Procedure and Programme of Activities Standards (24–26 August 2011). More details and documents on the workshop may be found under: <http://cdm.unfccc.int/stakeholder/index.html>;

(b) Stakeholder Consultation Workshop on Standardized Baseline under the CDM (5–6 September 2011). Relevant documentation is published on the DNA extranet;

(c) Workshop on Enhancing the Regional Distribution of CDM Projects in Asia and the Pacific (6–7 September 2011). This workshop was organized in partnership with the Asian Development Bank and the Institute for Global Environmental Strategies.

**5.4. Interaction with registered observers**

89. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to: (a) agree to make itself available for informal briefings with registered observers in the afternoon of the last day of the meeting; and (b) invite members and alternates to share information on events in which they participated.

*Background:* On the occasion of the last meeting of the Board, the Chair and other members of the Board met with registered observers for an informal briefing and agreed to continue with such meetings in the afternoon of the last day of its future meetings, unless otherwise indicated.

**6. Other matters**

90. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider any other business it deems necessary.

**7. Conclusion of the meeting**

91. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the report of the sixty-third meeting.

*Background:* The Chair will summarize the meeting and adopt the report, including references to any decisions taken.

- - - - -