

CDM-EB75

Meeting report

CDM Executive Board seventy-fifth meeting

Version 01.0

Date of meeting: 30 September to 4 October 2013

Place of meeting: Bonn, Germany



United Nations
Framework Convention on
Climate Change

TABLE OF CONTENTS

AGENDA ITEM 1.	AGENDA AND MEETING ORGANIZATION	4
Agenda item 1.1.	Opening	4
Agenda item 1.2.	Adoption of the agenda	4
AGENDA ITEM 2.	GOVERNANCE AND MANAGEMENT MATTERS	5
Agenda item 2.1.	Membership issues	5
Agenda item 2.2.	Strategic planning and direction	5
Agenda item 2.3.	Performance management	5
Agenda item 2.4.	Matters related to the Board and its support structure	5
Agenda item 2.5.	Operation of panels and working groups	6
	(a). CDM Accreditation Panel	6
	(b). Methodologies Panel	6
	(c). Small-Scale Working Group	6
	(d). Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group	6
AGENDA ITEM 3.	RULINGS (CASE-SPECIFIC)	6
Agenda item 3.1.	Accreditation	6
Agenda item 3.2.	Programmes of activities	8
Agenda item 3.3.	Registration	8
Agenda item 3.4.	Issuance	9
AGENDA ITEM 4.	REGULATORY MATTERS	9
Agenda item 4.1.	Standards/tools	9
	(a). Standards for CDM project activities and programmes of activities	9
	(b). Methodological standards for large-scale CDM project activities	14
	(c). Methodological standards for small-scale CDM project activities	16
	(d). Methodological standards for afforestation and reforestation CDM project activities	19
Agenda item 4.2.	Procedures	21
	(a). Procedures related to project cycle	21
Agenda item 4.3.	Policy issues	23
AGENDA ITEM 5.	RELATION WITH FORUMS AND OTHER STAKEHOLDERS	23
Agenda item 5.1.	Designated national authorities	23
	(a). Information on activities related to DNAs	23
Agenda item 5.2.	Designated operational entities	23
	(a). Interaction with the DOE/AIE Coordination Forum Chair	23
	(b). Information activities related to AEs/DOEs	24

	(c). Letters to the Board from AEs/DOEs	24
Agenda item 5.3.	Communication and activities with stakeholders	25
	(a). External input to items on the agenda of the meeting	25
	(b). Information on activities related to stakeholders	25
	(c). Letters to the Board from stakeholders	25
Agenda item 5.4.	Interaction with registered observers	25
AGENDA ITEM 6.	OTHER MATTERS	26
AGENDA ITEM 7.	CONCLUSION OF THE MEETING	26
ANNEXES TO THE REPORT		27

Agenda item 1. Agenda and meeting organization

Agenda item 1.1. Opening

1. Mr. Peer Stiansen, Chair of the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism (CDM) (hereinafter referred to as the Board), opened the meeting and asserted that the quorum requirement was met.
2. The Board noted that Mr. Christopher Faris and Mr. Hussein Badarin were unable to attend the meeting. The alternate members provided proper justification for their absence. Mr. Antonio Huerta, Mr. Victor Kabengele and Ms. Natalie Kushko had to leave the meeting before it ended.
3. Table 1 below represents the attendance of members and alternate members at the meeting. The names of members and alternate members present at the meeting are in bold print. Where only the name of an alternate member is in bold print, the alternate participated as a member.

Table 1 . Attendance

Members	Alternates
Mr. Martin Cames^(a)	<i>Mr. Christopher Faris^(a)</i>
Ms. Laksmi Dhewanthi^(b)	<i>Mr. Hussein Badarin^(b)</i>
Mr. Maosheng Duan^(a)	Mr. Qazi Ahmad^(a)
Ms. Diana Harutyunyan^(a)	Ms. Natalie Kushko^(a)
Mr. Antonio Huerta-Goldman^(b)	Mr. Eduardo Calvo^(b)
Mr. Victor Kabengele^(b)	Mr. Kadio Ahossane^(b)
Mr. José Miguez^(a)	Mr. Washington Zhakata^(a)
Mr. Lambert Schneider^(b)	Mr. Kazunari Kainou^(b)
Mr. Hugh Sealy^(a)	Mr. Amjad Abdulla^(a)
Mr. Peer Stiansen^(b)	Mr. Olivier Kassi^(b)

^(a) Term: Two years (term of service ends immediately before the first meeting in 2014)

^(b) Term: Two years (term of service ends immediately before the first meeting in 2015)

Agenda item 1.2. Adoption of the agenda

4. The Board adopted the agenda of the meeting.

Agenda item 2. Governance and management matters

Agenda item 2.1. Membership issues

5. The Board considered information provided by members and alternate members with respect to any potential conflict of interest. The statements on conflict of interest from members and alternate members can be viewed on the UNFCCC CDM website at [<http://cdm.unfccc.int/EB/index.html>](http://cdm.unfccc.int/EB/index.html).

Agenda item 2.2. Strategic planning and direction

6. The Board held its annual strategy planning session in Bonn, Germany, on 28 and 29 September 2013, back-to-back with this seventy-fifth meeting of the Board. The session focused on strategic issues facing the CDM, in particular the preparation of its business plan 2014–2015 and its consideration of the future work of the CDM and the Board.
7. The Board adopted the CDM business plan for 2014–2015 as contained in [annex 1](#) to this report. The Board requested the secretariat, in consultation with the Board's Finance Committee, to prepare the CDM management plan (CDM-MAP) for 2014–2015, based on the approved business plan, and present it for the Board's consideration at its next meeting. The Board agreed that members and alternate members may provide ideas for potential inclusion in the CDM-MAP 2014–2015 that align with the goals and objectives of the business plan and that these should be submitted to the secretariat by **9 October 2013**.

Agenda item 2.3. Performance management

8. The Board took note of an update on the status of the work of the Board, taking note of the changes highlighted in the workplan.
9. The Board agreed on its annual report to the ninth session of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol (CMP), covering the period from 14 September 2012 to 4 October 2013, and requested the secretariat to finalize the report in cooperation with the Chair and Vice-Chair of the Board to include the outcomes of its seventy-fifth meeting. Once the report is complete, it will be published on the UNFCCC and UNFCCC CDM websites accordingly.

Agenda item 2.4. Matters related to the Board and its support structure

10. The Board took note of the annual implementation report of the CDM loan scheme 2013 and requested the secretariat to include this implementation report in the Board's annual report to the CMP, as referred to in paragraph 9 above. The Board requested the secretariat to include further information in the next implementation report, such as the number of cases by country, analysis of the reasons for the rejection of cases, and the numbers of cases arising from the work of Regional Collaboration Centres (RCCs). The Board also requested the secretariat to renew the call for interested donors to make voluntary contributions to the scheme.
11. The Board took note of an analysis report on the perception of the CDM by stakeholders. The Board agreed to consider, as part of its deliberations on the CDM-MAP 2014–2015, further actions in relation to monitoring and addressing the perception of the CDM.

12. The Board took note of the status of submissions of requests for registration and issuance in 2013 and the processing of these requests by the secretariat following the guidance provided by the Board. The Board also noted that, as of 24 September 2013, the waiting time for all new submissions had been reduced to an average of 18 days.

Agenda item 2.5. Operation of panels and working groups

(a). CDM Accreditation Panel

13. The Board took note of the report of the 65th meeting of the CDM Accreditation Panel (CDM-AP). The report summarized information relating to the work of the panel, including the status of applications and developments with respect to accreditation assessments and other accreditation-related issues. The CDM-AP meeting considered 70 accreditation cases, of which 36 were submitted for consideration by the Board in accordance with the CDM accreditation procedure.

(b). Methodologies Panel

14. The Board took note of the report of the 60th meeting of the Methodologies Panel (Meth Panel). The report summarized information relating to the work of the panel, including submissions of methodologies and recommendations to the Board, based on the 2013 workplan of the panel.

(c). Small-Scale Working Group

15. The Board took note of the report of the 41st meeting of the Small-Scale Working Group (SSC WG). The report summarized information relating to the work of the SSC WG, including submissions of methodologies and recommendations to the Board, based on the 2013 workplan of the SSC WG.

(d). Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group

16. The Board took note of the report of the 38th meeting of the Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group (A/R WG). The report summarized information relating to the work of the A/R WG, including submissions of methodologies and recommendations to the Board, based on the 2013 workplan of the A/R WG.

Agenda item 3. Rulings (case-specific)

Agenda item 3.1. Accreditation

17. The Board considered recommendations of the CDM-AP on the outcomes of spot-check assessments and agreed to confirm the accreditation and designation of the following entities:
 - (a) CDM-E-0003 "DNV Climate Change Services AS" (DNV);
 - (b) CDM-E-0022 "TÜV NORD CERT GmbH" (TÜV NORD).
18. The Board considered recommendations of the CDM-AP and agreed to accredit and provisionally designate for three years the following entities:
 - (a) CDM-E-0062 "EPIC Sustainability Services Pvt. Ltd." (EPIC) for validation, verification and certification functions in sectoral scopes 1–11 and 13–15;

- (b) CDM-E-0063 "Northeast Audit Co., Ltd." (NAC) for validation, verification and certification functions in sectoral scopes 1–13 and 15.
19. The Board considered recommendations of the CDM-AP and agreed to reaccredit for three years the following entities:
- (a) CDM-E-0020 "Conestoga Rovers & Associates Limited" (CRA) for validation, verification and certification functions in sectoral scopes 1, 4, 5, 10, 12 and 13;
 - (b) CDM-E-0022 "TÜV NORD CERT GmbH" (TÜV NORD) for validation, verification and certification functions in sectoral scopes 1–16;
 - (c) CDM-E-0032 "LGAI Technological Center, S.A." (LGAI) for validation, verification and certification functions in sectoral scopes 1 and 13.
20. The Board took note of notifications by the CDM-AP on the positive outcome of the regular on-site surveillance assessments of the following entities:
- (a) CDM-E-0005 "TÜV SÜD South Asia Private Limited" (TÜV SÜD), central office (Pune, India);
 - (b) CDM-E-0022 "TÜV NORD CERT GmbH" (TÜV NORD), non-central site (Shanghai, China);
 - (c) CDM-E-0054 "Re-consult Ltd." (Re-consult), central office (Ankara, Turkey).
21. The Board took note of notifications by the CDM-AP on the positive outcome of performance assessments of the following entities:
- (a) CDM-E-0001 "Japan Quality Assurance Organisation" (JQA);
 - (b) CDM-E-0002 "JACO CDM., LTD" (JACO);
 - (c) CDM-E-0003 "DNV Climate Change Services AS" (DNV);
 - (d) CDM-E-0006 "Deloitte Tohmatsu Evaluation and Certification Organization" (Deloitte-TECO);
 - (e) CDM-E-0007 "Japan Consulting Institute" (JCI);
 - (f) CDM-E-0009 "Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS" (BVCH);
 - (g) CDM-E-0010 "SGS United Kingdom Limited" (SGS);
 - (h) CDM-E-0013 "TÜV Rheinland (China) Ltd." (TÜV Rheinland);
 - (i) CDM-E-0022 "TÜV NORD CERT GmbH" (TÜV NORD);
 - (j) CDM-E-0023 "Lloyd's Register Quality Assurance Ltd." (LRQA);
 - (k) CDM-E-0027 "Swiss Association for Quality and Management Systems" (SQS);
 - (l) CDM-E-0031 "Perry Johnson Registrars Carbon Emissions Services" (PJRCS);
 - (m) CDM-E-0034 "China Environmental United Certification Center Co., Ltd." (CEC);
 - (n) CDM-E-0037 "RINA Services S.p.A." (RINA);

- (o) CDM-E-0052 “Carbon Check (Pty) Ltd” (Carbon Check).
- 22. The Board took note of notifications by the CDM-AP on the negative outcome of performance assessments of the following entities:
 - (a) CDM-E-0005 “TÜV SÜD South Asia Private Limited” (TÜV SÜD);
 - (b) CDM-E-0044 “China Quality Certification Center” (CQC);
 - (c) CDM-E-0055 “URS Verification Private Limited” (URS).
- 23. The Board agreed to conduct a spot-check of the entity CDM-E-0055 “URS Verification Private Limited” (URS), in accordance with paragraph 117 of the CDM accreditation procedure. The Board agreed on the scope of the spot-check and requested the CDM-AP to complete the process in an expedited manner and submit its recommendation for consideration by the Board.
- 24. The Board took note of a notification by the CDM-AP of the partial voluntary withdrawal of the entity CDM-E-0002 “JACO CDM., LTD” (JACO) of its accreditation status from sectoral scopes 2 and 4.

Agenda item 3.2. Programmes of activities

- 25. The Board noted that 223 CDM programmes of activities (PoAs) have been registered by 4 October 2013 and that, under these PoAs, 1562 component project activities (CPAs) have been included. The status of requests for registration of PoAs can be viewed on the UNFCCC CDM website at <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/ProgrammeOfActivities/>>.
- 26. The Board noted that 58,401 certified emission reductions (CERs) have been issued for PoAs as of 4 October 2013. The status of requests for issuance of CERs for PoAs can be viewed on the UNFCCC CDM website at <http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance/cers_iss.html>.

Agenda item 3.3. Registration

- 27. The Board noted that 7,305 CDM project activities have been registered as of 4 October 2013. The status of requests for registration of project activities can be viewed on the UNFCCC CDM website at <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/>>.
- 28. In accordance with the applicable regulations, the Board considered five requests for registration for which assessments of the secretariat and the RIT contained different proposed decisions or for which the proposed decision was the same between the secretariat and the RIT but an objection from a member of the Board had been received.
- 29. The Board agreed to register the following project activities:
 - (a) “Green Building at Kolkata” (7985), submitted by the designated operational entity (DOE) “JACO CDM., LTD” (JACO);
 - (b) “Methane Recovery and Use of the Biogas in the Dak To Tapioca Starch Making Plant of APFCO, Vietnam” (8752), submitted by the DOE “Swiss Association for Quality and Management Systems” (SQS);
 - (c) “Biogas recovery from wastewater treatment in Hargy Oil Palms Ltd Palm Oil Mill.” (9168), submitted by the DOE “SIRIM QAS INTERNATIONAL SDN.BHD” (SIRIM).

30. The Board could not register the following project activities:
- (a) "Methane Recovery from Advanced Wastewater Treatment System in an Ethanol Plant" (7740), submitted by the DOE "DNV Climate Change Services AS" (DNV);
 - (b) "Market Coke Waste Heat Recovery Project" (8870), submitted by the DOE "DNV Climate Change Services AS" (DNV).

Agenda item 3.4. Issuance

31. The Board noted that 1,389,355,255 certified emission reductions (CERs) have been issued for project activities as of 4 October 2013. The status of requests for issuance of CERs can be viewed on the UNFCCC CDM website at <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>>.
32. In accordance with the applicable regulations, the Board considered one request for issuance for which assessments of the secretariat and the RIT contained different proposed decisions or for which the proposed decision was the same between the secretariat and the RIT but an objection from a member of the Board had been received.
33. The Board could not approve the request for issuance of project activity "Caieiras landfill gas emission reduction" (0171) submitted by the DOE "Germanischer Lloyd Certification GmbH" (GLC) for the monitoring period from 1 September 2011 to 31 March 2012.
34. In accordance with the relevant regulations, the Board considered one request for permission to resubmit a previously rejected request for issuance, and agreed to permit the resubmission of the request for issuance of project activity "Energas Varadero Conversion from Open Cycle to Combined Cycle Project" (0918) submitted by the DOE "SGS United Kingdom Limited" (SGS) for the monitoring period from 1 July 2008 to 31 December 2010.

Agenda item 4. Regulatory matters

Agenda item 4.1. Standards/tools

(a). Standards for CDM project activities and programmes of activities

35. The Board adopted the revised "CDM accreditation standard", as contained in [annex 2](#) to this report. The Board further agreed to the "Transitional provisions for the implementation of the revised CDM accreditation standard", as contained in [annex 3](#) to this report, with the following dates:
- (a) The CDM accreditation standard (version 05.0) shall be effective as of 1 March 2014;
 - (b) All DOEs shall comply with the CDM accreditation standard (version 05.0) as of 1 July 2014.
36. The Board considered the revised draft "Revision of regulatory documents due to the introduction of standardized baselines" and requested the secretariat to revise the draft for consideration by the Board at its seventy-seventh meeting, taking into account the feedback provided by the Board, including:
- (a) The demonstration of prior consideration shall also be required for project activities using standardized baselines that standardize the additionality;

- (b) Project participants shall not select standardized baselines that standardize the additionality where the starting dates of the proposed CDM project activities are before the date of approval of the relevant standardized baseline.
- 37. While considering the revised draft "Revision of regulatory documents due to the introduction of standardized baselines", the Board discussed instances in which project participants are required to select either an approved standardized baseline or an approved methodology, where both are applicable to their proposed CDM project activity at the time of preparation of project design document (PDD) or at the time of renewal of crediting period. The Board requested the secretariat to prepare a concept note, in consultation with the Meth Panel, for its consideration at a future meeting, providing analysis of the following issues:
 - (a) Whether the selection of a standardized baseline should be mandatory or voluntary, particularly in the context of decision 3/CMP.6;
 - (b) How the decision of the Board on this issue impacts various situations, such as multi-country standardized baselines, application of standardized baselines to PoAs, environmental integrity, etc.
- 38. The Board considered the draft guidelines for development of standardized baselines and requested the secretariat to revise the draft, in consultation with the Meth Panel, and present it for the consideration of the Board at a future meeting. The Board also agreed to discuss the strategic direction of the future work related to standardized baselines in the context of the development of the CDM-MAP for 2014–2015. While revising the guidelines, the following inputs from the Board should be taken into account:
 - (a) With regard to the issue of inclusion of the facilities with CDM registered projects in developing sector-specific standardized baselines, the Board agreed that further analysis is required for different types of sectors, including the determination of the right threshold of percentage output covered by facilities with registered CDM projects that should trigger the inclusion of such facilities when developing sector-specific standardized baselines. The Board requested the secretariat to conduct such analysis for a future revision;
 - (b) The guidelines for the development of sector-specific standardized baselines should be classified as a "standard", based on the hierarchy of CDM documents.
 - (c) The results and conclusion from road testing that led to the revision of these guidelines should be presented in the cover note of the revised document to be presented for consideration of the Board.
- 39. The Board considered the draft guideline for establishment of standardized baselines in the transport sector and agreed that the document should be a methodological tool to ensure its immediate use by project participants and other stakeholders in the development of standardized baselines. The Board requested the Meth Panel to revise the draft, taking into account the inputs provided by the Board, for the consideration of the Board at a future meeting.
- 40. The Board considered the draft standard for determining coverage of data and validity of standardized baselines and requested the secretariat to revise the draft, for consideration by the Board at a future meeting, taking into account the inputs provided by the Board.

41. The Board considered the revision to the draft guidelines for quality assurance and quality control of data used in the establishment of standardized baselines and requested the secretariat to revise the draft, for consideration by the Board at a future meeting, taking into account the inputs provided by the Board, including:
- (a) The guidelines can be made simpler and less demanding for designated national authorities (DNAs) for the ease of their implementation;
 - (b) Internal inconsistencies in the guidelines can be removed and examples of best practices can be reworded to ensure that they are not interpreted as requirements.
42. In relation to PoAs, the Board adopted amendments to the "CDM project standard" (PS), the "CDM validation and verification standard" (VVS), the "CDM project cycle procedure" (PCP) and the "Guideline for completing the monitoring report form" to allow the publication of up to two monitoring reports and/or up to two requests for issuance for the same monitoring period, as contained in [annex 4](#), [annex 5](#), [annex 6](#) and [annex 7](#) respectively to this report. The Board noted that the IT functionality allowing the publication of a second monitoring report in a monitoring period will become available in early 2014 and requested the secretariat to inform the Board and the public as soon as possible of the actual date from which second monitoring reports can be published.
43. Relating to the PoA discussion as referred to in paragraph 42 above, the Board requested the secretariat to continue working on the issue of batched issuance requests for a monitoring period of a PoA, to explore if and under what conditions a request for issuance for the subsequent monitoring period can be permitted before all the requests for issuance for the previous monitoring period have been submitted. In that regard, the secretariat should also assess the impacts of any negative emission reductions accruing to CPAs and provide an analysis on the applicability of the requirements for verification indicated in paragraph 64 of the annex to decision 3/CMP.1. The Board requested the secretariat to present the findings and a proposal at a future meeting of the Board. Furthermore, the Board requested the A/R WG to provide additional inputs on rationale that would justify the continuation of exceptions allowed for A/R project activities and A/R PoAs as indicated in paragraph 128(c) and footnote 18 of the PS (version 04.0).
44. The Board adopted the revised "Guideline: Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and PoAs", as contained in [annex 8](#) to this report.

45. The Board considered the concept note on the revision of the PS, the VVS and the PCP in relation to possible areas for the next general revision of the three documents based on the inputs received from stakeholders and the experience gained by the secretariat. The Board requested the secretariat to prepare a draft of the revised PS, VVS and PCP, taking into account the Board's feedback on the concept note as set out below, and to launch a public call for input on this version. The Board further requested the secretariat to present a revised draft PS, VVS and PCP to the Board at its seventy-seventh or seventy-eighth meeting, taking into account the inputs from the public call. The Board provided the following guidance on the concept note, and noted that the same principles should apply also to PoAs:
- (a) Prior consideration of the CDM: the information that project participants are to include in the notification of prior consideration needs further clarification. The current exemption for the notification of prior consideration regarding cases for which a new baseline and monitoring methodology, or a revision of an approved methodology, is proposed, is to be removed;
 - (b) Changes before registration to a published PDD that require new publication of the PDD: clarify that the change of all project participants under contractual relationship with the DOE necessitates the new publication of the PDD; further consider the need of introducing such a requirement for changes of DOE or project design (e.g. location, technologies) by assessing the potential impacts of the changes in the project activity;
 - (c) Direct communication on specific project activity cases: explore the feasibility of introducing an opportunity for DOEs or project participants to communicate with the secretariat to obtain clarifications via a telephone call on the rulings of the Board rejecting requests for registration, issuance or renewal of crediting period;
 - (d) Methodology applicability conditions check at implementation: further analyse whether there is a need to introduce a general requirement in regulatory documents for project participants to demonstrate that the applicability conditions of a methodology have been met during the monitoring period (if this is a requirement of the methodology);
 - (e) Post-registration change process: analyze the types of changes that require prior approval by the Board (prior approval track) and that may be combined with requests for issuance (issuance track), and if deemed appropriate, propose the changes, including the revision of appendix 1 of the PS, with justification;
 - (f) Withdrawal of monitoring report: introduce a simple process to allow project participants or DOEs to withdraw a published monitoring report;
 - (g) Withdrawal and resubmission of a request for issuance: the requirement to obtain the approval of the Board to resubmit a request for issuance, when the previous request has been withdrawn, is to be eliminated. Any restriction for project participants to reduce or extend the length of the monitoring period in a resubmission of a request for issuance, if deemed necessary, should aim to protect environmental integrity;
 - (h) Renewal of crediting period: crediting periods should be consecutive without a gap; whether and how a delay in the notification of the intention to renew the crediting period should impact on claiming CERs needs further consideration.

Consider also making publicly available the notification of the intention to renew the crediting period;

- (i) Deregistering/terminating a project activity: the secretariat shall draft a proposal on the rules to allow for the voluntary deregistration of CDM project activities.
46. The Board took note of the development of the UNFCCC manual “Afforestation and reforestation projects under the clean development mechanism”, which aims to improve the accessibility of the A/R CDM rules and regulations, including methodological standards and the project cycle process, and to facilitate the development of potential A/R CDM projects. The manual will be accessible through the UNFCCC CDM website prior to the ninth session of the CMP.
47. The Board considered the concept note on the improvement of the materiality guideline and requested the secretariat to:
- (a) Update the VVS to reflect requirements related to materiality (early to mid-2014);
 - (b) Update the materiality guideline to remove the requirements moved to the VVS and enhance the listing of examples in the guideline (early to mid-2014);
 - (c) Calibrate DOEs on the application of materiality to facilitate a more uniform understanding and application of the concept in verifications (throughout 2014);
 - (d) Monitor the impacts of (a)–(c) above to determine whether the consistency in the application of materiality by DOEs in verifications has improved and whether any follow-up actions are needed.
48. The Board considered a draft recommendation to the CMP on flexibility in the timing of verification of A/R projects and agreed to work further on this issue. The Board requested the secretariat to prepare a concept note on this issue, for its consideration at a later meeting, including consideration of whether the provisions contained in paragraph 71 of the report of the sixty-second meeting of the Board can be applied to the issuance of temporary and long-term CERs and taking account of work being undertaken on related issues by the Subsidiary Body for Implementation and the Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technical Advice.
49. Due to time constraints, the Board could not consider the draft "Guideline on application of E- policy in investment analysis for additionality demonstration and selection of baseline scenario and the proposed revision of the Combined tool and Additionality tool" and agreed to consider this matter at its next meeting.

(b). Methodological standards for large-scale CDM project activities

(i). New methodologies and tools (large-scale)

50. The Board approved the following new methodologies and tools:

- (a) "ACM0023: Introduction of an efficiency improvement technology in a boiler", based on the proposed new methodology NM0363. The approved methodology is contained in annex 9 to this report. The methodology is consolidated with the approved methodology "AM0054: Energy efficiency improvement of a boiler by introducing oil/water emulsion technology" and also incorporates elements from "AM_REV_0241: Improvement in Boiler Efficiency Using Advanced Coal Catalyst Technology". The technologies covered under the new consolidated methodology are:
 - (i) Oil/water emulsion technology;
 - (ii) Fireside cleaning technology;
 - (iii) Coal catalyst technology;
- (b) "AM0112: Less carbon intensive power generation through continuous reductive distillation of waste", based on the proposed new methodology NM0365. The approved methodology is contained in annex 10 to this report. The approved methodology is applicable to project activities where waste is treated by applying continuous reductive distillation technology and resultant outputs are used for power generation. The wastes covered under this methodology are municipal solid waste, biomass residue and end-of-life tyres;
- (c) Methodological tool "Project emissions from cultivation of biomass". The approved tool is applicable to estimate the project emissions from cultivation of biomass in dedicated plantations. The approved tool is contained in annex 11 to this report.

51. Following the recommendation from the Meth Panel, the Board agreed to withdraw the approved methodology "AM0054: Energy efficiency improvement of a boiler by introducing oil/water emulsion technology", as this methodology has been fully incorporated into the new consolidated methodology "ACM0023: Introduction of an efficiency improvement technology in a boiler", based on the proposed new methodology NM0363, AM_REV_0241 and AM0054.

(ii). Revisions of approved methodologies and tools (large-scale)

52. The Board agreed on the responses prepared by the Meth Panel to the requests for revision of methodologies as follows:

- (a) Not to accept request for revision AM_REV_0249 regarding AM0102 "Greenfield cogeneration facility supplying electricity and steam to a Greenfield Industrial Consumer and exporting excess electricity to a grid and/or project customer(s)";
- (b) To accept request for revision AM_REV_0250 regarding ACM0001 "Flaring or use of landfill gas".

53. The Board considered the information note "Approaches to demonstrating additionality of publicly funded BRTs in AM0031", as contained in annex 4 to the report of the 60th meeting of the Meth Panel, and requested the Meth Panel to improve the current approach of demonstrating additionality by not using CER revenues and to explore other possible means of demonstrating additionality and identifying baselines.
54. The Board approved the following revised methodologies and tools as major revisions:
- (a) "ACM0001: Flaring or use of landfill gas", as contained in annex 12 to this report. The revision broadens the applicability of the methodology to include projects distributing compressed/liquefied landfill gas using trucks to consumers and improve the PoA provision;
 - (b) "ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources", as contained in annex 13 to this report. The revision improves the PoA provision in the methodology;
 - (c) "ACM0010: GHG emission reductions from manure management systems", as contained in annex 14 to this report. The revision improves the PoA provision in the methodology;
 - (d) "Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system", as contained in annex 15 to this report. The revision includes the PoA provision in the tool.
55. Following the recommendation by the Meth Panel, the Board acknowledged that a contradiction exists between the requirements in paragraph 36(b) of the "Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system" and footnote 39 (paragraph 245(e)) of the VVS in relation to ex post determination of the grid emission factor. The Board agreed to delete the requirements in footnote 39 of the VVS in its future revision of this document.
56. The Board considered the proposal from the Meth Panel to revise the approved consolidated methodology ACM0002 "Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources and agreed to initiate a revision to improve and incorporate elements related to:
- (a) The quantity of net electricity generation that is produced and fed into the grid as a result of the implementation of the CDM project activity in year y ($EG_{P,J,y}$);
 - (b) The annual average historical net electricity generation delivered to the grid by an existing renewable energy plant that was operated at the project site prior to the implementation of the project activity ($EG_{\text{historical}}$).

(iii). Guidelines (large-scale)

57. The Board considered the information note "Issues related to the "Guidelines on the assessment of investment analysis", as contained in annex 17 to the report of the 60th meeting of the Meth Panel. The Board requested the Meth Panel to develop further guidance on how the cost of equity should be calculated based on "best financial practices", including an approach for the application of financial models to determine benchmarks against which projects may be compared (e.g. capital asset pricing model (CAPM)), as well as circumstances under which the application of financial models can be considered appropriate (including but not restricted to the CAPM). The Board further requested the Meth Panel to update the default values in annex A of the "Guidelines on the assessment of investment analysis", taking into account the latest available data.

(iv). Clarifications (large-scale)

58. The Board agreed to the approval the responses to the requests for clarifications AM_CLA_0241, AM_CLA_0247 and AM_CLA_0249 referred to in paragraph 37 of the report of the 60th meeting of the Meth Panel.

(c). Methodological standards for small-scale CDM project activities

59. The Board considered the recommendation of the SSC WG to provide general guidance on choosing appropriate information sources for parameter values, as part of a future revision of the PS and the VVS to ensure that the most conservative value is chosen in cases where more than one source is available, and ensure that detailed calculation and the underlying data to derive the value are provided where relevant. The Board requested the secretariat, in consultation with the SSC WG, to propose revisions to the PS and VVS to indicate, in particular, that for small-scale and large-scale projects:
- (a) The project participants shall transparently list the sources of literature consulted in order to select the most appropriate/conservative value for a parameter. Original sources should be referenced using a standard method of referencing rather than quoting a secondary publication that refers to the sources. When more than one source is used to aggregate the data to derive the value, the sources used should be clearly indicated;
 - (b) The DOE shall determine whether the sources listed by the project participant are comprehensive and, based on their professional judgement, confirm whether the sources selected are the most appropriate based on the hierarchy of the documents, suitability of the data vintage, and relevance of the source, among other criteria.
60. The Board considered the recommendation of the SSC WG to require in the PS and the VVS a sample-based survey for each monitoring period to estimate the parameter values and request corresponding issuance of CERs, when the applied methodology does not provide specific requirement in that regard. The Board requested the SSC WG to continue exploring options to reduce transaction costs related to sample-based surveys, without compromising the environmental integrity of emission reduction estimates. In doing so, the SSC WG should assess whether it would be more appropriate to specify a survey frequency based on a period of time elapsed (e.g. one year) rather than requiring a correspondence between an issuance request and the survey conducted. The Board requested the SSC WG to make a recommendation for the consideration of the Board at a future meeting.

61. The Board, following the recommendation of the SSC WG, approved the default values for the fraction of non-renewable biomass for Vanuatu and noted that, when the DNA of the host country communicates the endorsement of the default value to the secretariat, the project participants will be able to apply the default value in CDM projects.
62. The Board considered the recommendation of the SSC WG to provide policy guidance on the small-scale limits (threshold) that apply to project activities at the time of renewal of the crediting period and agreed to provide a clarification as contained in [annex 16](#) to this report.

(i). New methodologies and tools (small-scale)

63. The Board approved the following new small-scale methodologies:
 - (a) AMS-III.BH “Displacement of production of brick and cement by manufacture and installation of gypsum concrete wall panels”, as contained in [annex 17](#) to this report. The new methodology is applicable to project activities that use gypsum to manufacture gypsum concrete wall panels that will substitute commonly used construction materials, such as brick and cement resulting in emission reductions;
 - (b) AMS-III.BI “Flare gas recovery in gas treating facilities”, as contained in [annex 18](#) to this report. The new methodology is applicable for off-spec gas capture and injection into a gas sales line for transportation to the market after cleaning/processing and compressing in dedicated project facilities;
 - (c) AMS-III.BJ “Destruction of hazardous waste using plasma technology including energy recovery”, as contained in [annex 19](#) to this report. The new methodology is applicable to project activities that construct and operate hazardous waste incinerators applying plasma technology.
64. Following the recommendation of the SSC WG, the Board rejected the proposed new methodology SSC-NM086-rev “Capture of an external current of fossil CO₂ for urea production in an integrated ammonia-urea manufacturing plant”.

(ii). Revisions and amendments of approved methodologies and tools (small-scale)

65. The Board agreed to revise the following approved small-scale methodologies:
 - (a) AMS-II.L “Demand-side activities for efficient outdoor and street lighting technologies”, as contained in [annex 20](#) to this report. The revision removes the limitations that restrict the methodology to a fixed crediting period;
 - (b) AMS-II.M “Demand-side energy efficiency activities for installation of low-flow hot water savings devices”, as contained in [annex 21](#) to this report. The revision removes the limitations that restrict the methodology to a fixed crediting period;
 - (c) AMS-II.N “Demand-side energy efficiency activities for installation of energy efficient lighting and/or controls in buildings”, as contained in [annex 22](#) to this report. The revision removes the limitations that restrict the methodology to a fixed crediting period;

- (d) AMS-II.D “Energy efficiency and fuel switching measures for industrial facilities”, as contained in annex 23 to this report. The revision:
 - (i) Provides further clarity/guidance on the scope/applicability (service level, fuel switch, signal-noise);
 - (ii) Includes information on reference plant baseline calculations;
 - (iii) Includes three options for baseline calculation procedures (consistent with AMS.II-C). These include a constant load option, variable load option, and specific energy consumption rate per unit of production option including examples;
 - (iv) Further elaborates on the estimation of project emissions and monitoring procedures;
 - (e) AMS-III.BC “Emission reductions through improved efficiency of vehicle fleets”, as contained in annex 24 to this report. The revision:
 - (i) Allows vehicle engine efficiency retrofits that also improve combustion efficiency;
 - (ii) In the case of a PoA, emission reduction claims of greater than 20 per cent of the baseline emissions are allowed provided that sufficient documentation is submitted during validation.
66. The Board considered a recommendation by the SSC WG and agreed to request the SSC WG to initiate a revision of the “General guidelines for SSC CDM methodologies” to ensure that consistent approaches and data sources are used while estimating baseline and project emissions.
67. The Board considered the proposal of the SSC WG and agreed that the SSC WG may initiate work to develop top-down methodologies covering technologies for specific industrial application (e.g. motor drive system) with standardized approaches for baseline-setting.

(iii). Clarifications (small-scale)

68. The Board agreed to the approval of the responses to the requests for clarification SSC_681–SSC_683, SSC_685, SSC_686, and SSC_688–SSC_691 referred to in paragraphs 30 to 38 of the report of the 41st meeting of the SSC WG.

(iv). Guidelines (small-scale)

69. The Board agreed on the recommendation of the SSC WG to reject the proposed revision of the “Guidelines on assessment of debundling for SSC project activities”, as requested in submission SSC_680, as it involved a revision to the definition of project participant. The Board confirmed that the definition of project participant is to remain as set out in the “Glossary of CDM terms”.
70. The Board agreed to launch a call for public input on an information note relating to the expansion and framework for assessing graduation of the positive list of technologies as contained in annex 11 to the report of the 41st meeting of the SSC WG. The call for public input will be open from **7 October 2013** to **7 November 2013**.

(d). Methodological standards for afforestation and reforestation CDM project activities

71. The Board approved the A/R "Methodological tool: Demonstration of eligibility of lands for A/R CDM project activities", as contained in annex 25 to this report. This is a revised procedure, which, inter alia:
- (a) Incorporates related Board decisions (e.g. multi-storey forests, inclusion or exclusion of palms and bamboos);
 - (b) Removes the distinction between the requirements for demonstration of land eligibility for "afforestation" versus "reforestation";
 - (c) Changes the document from a procedure: "Procedures to demonstrate the eligibility of lands for afforestation and reforestation CDM project activities" to a tool, and in consequence also its title to be in line with the nature of the document.

(i). Revisions and amendments of approved methodologies and tools (A/R)

72. The Board approved the following revised A/R methodology and tools:
- (a) "Tool for Estimation of carbon stocks and change in carbon stocks of trees and shrubs in A/R CDM project activities", as contained in annex 26 to this report. The revision, inter alia:
 - (i) Allows the use of remote sensing data (e.g. the normalized difference vegetation index) and the use of other secondary variables (e.g. basal area);
 - (ii) Allows monitoring without conducting an inventory and provides flexibility in the choice of different methods;
 - (iii) Incorporates the relevant content of clarification AR_AM_CLA_0020;
 - (b) "Tool for Estimation of carbon stocks and change in carbon stocks in dead wood and litter in A/R CDM project activities", as contained in annex 27 to this report. The revision incorporates conditions for the use of deadwood as fuel wood for addressing concerns of environmental integrity;
 - (c) "Tool for Estimation of the increase in GHG emissions attributable to displacement of pre-project agricultural activities in A/R CDM project activity", as contained in annex 28 to this report. The revision, inter alia:
 - (i) Incorporates related Board decisions (e.g. market leakage, leakage as increase in emission above pre-project level);
 - (ii) Delinks leakage emission from the project carbon stocks;
 - (iii) Estimates leakage from actual carbon stocks in the receiving lands;
 - (iv) Uses a revised template and thus enhances readability and consistency;

-
- (d) AR-AM0014 “Afforestation and reforestation of degraded mangrove habitats”, as contained in annex 29 to this report. The revision, inter alia:
 - (i) Corrects the variable relating to soil organic carbon in equation (3);
 - (ii) Expands the applicability by allowing the use of approved standardized baselines;
 - (e) AR-ACM0003 “Afforestation and reforestation of lands except wetlands”, as contained in annex 30 to this report. The revision expands the applicability by allowing the use of approved standardized baselines;
 - (f) AR-AMS0003 “Afforestation and reforestation project activities implemented on wetlands”, as contained in annex 31 to this report. The revision, inter alia:
 - (i) Expands the applicability by allowing the use of approved standardized baselines;
 - (ii) Uses a revised template and thus enhances readability and consistency;
 - (g) AR-AMS0007 “Afforestation and reforestation project activities implemented on lands other than wetlands”, as contained in annex 32 to this report. The revision, inter alia:
 - (i) Expands the applicability by allowing the use of approved standardized baselines;
 - (ii) Uses a revised template and thus enhances readability and consistency.
73. Following the recommendation of the A/R WG, the Board agreed to withdraw the following guidance and guidelines, as these have been fully incorporated into the draft revised tool recommended for approval, “Estimation of the increase in GHG emissions attributable to displacement of pre-project agricultural activities in A/R CDM project activity”:
- (a) “Guidance related to market leakage”;
 - (b) “Guidance related to pre-project emissions in methodologies applying baseline scenario corresponding to the approach defined in paragraph 22(b) of the decision 5/CMP.1”;
 - (c) “Guidelines on conditions under which increase in GHG emissions attributable to displacement of pre-project crop cultivation activities in A/R CDM project activity is insignificant”;
 - (d) “Guidelines on conditions under which increase in GHG emissions related to displacement of pre-project grazing activities in A/R CDM project activity is insignificant”.
74. Following the recommendation of the A/R WG, the Board agreed to withdraw the following A/R methodological documents as the content of these is no longer needed in stand-alone documents:
- (a) “Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality in A/R CDM project activities”;

- (b) Tool for “Estimation of GHG emissions related to fossil fuel combustion in A/R CDM project activities”,
- (c) “Procedure to determine when accounting of the soil organic carbon pool may be conservatively neglected in CDM A/R project activities”;
- (d) Tool for “Estimation of direct nitrous oxide emission from nitrogen fertilization”;
- (e) Tool for “Calculation of GHG emissions due to leakage from increased use of non-renewable woody biomass attributable to an A/R CDM project activity”;
- (f) “Tool for the identification of degraded or degrading lands for consideration in implementing CDM A/R project activities”;
- (g) “Guidance on accounting GHG emissions in A/R CDM project activities” (part I and II);
- (h) “Guidance on conditions under which the change in carbon stocks in existing live woody vegetation are insignificant”;
- (i) “Guidance on size of the losses of carbon due to the construction of access roads”;
- (j) “Guidelines on conditions under which GHG emissions from removal of existing vegetation due to site preparation are insignificant”.

(ii). Clarifications (A/R)

75. Following the recommendation of the A/R WG, the Board agreed to withdraw the following policy clarifications issued by the Board, as these have been fully incorporated into the approved A/R Methodological tool: "Demonstration of eligibility of lands for A/R CDM project activities":
- (a) “Clarification on application of the A/R CDM definition of “forest” to stands with several storeys of trees differing in height”;
 - (b) “Further clarification on application of the A/R CDM definition of “forest” to stands with several storeys of trees differing in height”.

Agenda item 4.2. Procedures

(a). Procedures related to project cycle

76. The Board considered the draft "Procedure for process for dealing with letters from DNAs that withdraw approval/authorization". The Board requested the secretariat to work further on the proposal, for consideration at its next meeting, including options for the Board to refuse to process letters received that lack certain information, implications for PoAs with more than one host Party, and any relevant issues concerning the CDM registry and the international transaction log.
77. The Board adopted the revised "Procedure: Development, revision, clarification and update of standardized baselines", as contained in [annex 33](#) to this report, and agreed that its effective date shall be 1 December 2013. The Board requested the secretariat to develop the necessary forms for the implementation of this procedure and to upload them to the UNFCCC CDM website on 1 December 2013.

78. The Board adopted the revised "Procedure: Voluntary cancellation of CERs in the CDM registry", as contained in annex 34 to this report.
79. The Board considered the concept note on transitional measures for the implementation of the "CDM accreditation procedure" (version 11.0), which was adopted by the Board at its seventy-fourth meeting and is to enter into force on 1 January 2014. The Board agreed that:
- (a) The accreditation term for existing DOEs shall be automatically extended to five years counting from their respective last dates of accreditation/reaccreditation;
 - (b) In relation to the assessment plans for 2014:
 - (i) The number of regular on-site surveillance should be re-calculated so that DOEs from 1 January 2014 onwards are subject to two regular on-site surveillance within a period of five years;
 - (ii) The number of performance assessments for 2014 should be estimated taking into account the number of requests for registration and the requests for issuance in the six-month period from 1 March to 31 August 2013;
 - (iii) The addition and reduction of the estimated number of performance assessments for 2014 should be based on the outcomes of the DOE performance monitoring (excluding the mandatory performance assessments);
 - (iv) Initial accreditation, reaccreditation, extension of sectoral scope cases and all assessment processes initiated prior to 1 January 2014 shall switch to the new procedure as of 1 January 2014;
 - (c) In relation to the remaining assessment plans for 2013: as a response to the current market situation and input from stakeholders on performance assessments in the remainder of 2013, the Board agreed to prioritize the DOEs that have not been subject to performance assessments during the year as well as to conduct the assessments for those DOEs that had negative outcomes earlier.
80. The Board took note of the following timelines relating to the operational aspects of the transitional measures of the revised accreditation procedure referred to in paragraph 79 above:
- (a) Modifications of IT workflows should be operational as of 1 January 2014;
 - (b) Modifications of application forms and documentation for accreditation assessments should be made available to applicant entities (AEs), DOEs, and CDM-ATs as of 1 January 2014;
 - (c) Training based on modifications of IT workflows should be provided to relevant secretariat staff members who support the accreditation process before 1 January 2014.
81. The Board revised the "Procedures to demonstrate the eligibility of lands for afforestation and reforestation CDM project activities" making it a tool and renaming it as "Tool: Demonstration of eligibility of lands for A/R CDM project activities", as referred to in

paragraph 71 above.

Agenda item 4.3. Policy issues

82. The Board considered the issue of double counting and issuance in the context of project activities registered under the CDM that are also subject to national or regional emission reduction programmes. The Board requested the secretariat to prepare a concept note assessing issues and potential options throughout the CDM project cycle, including in relation to guidance provided by the CMP, for protecting the environmental integrity of the CDM.
83. The Board agreed to defer the discussion relating to the effective registration date of CDM project activities to the next meeting of the Board.

Agenda item 5. Relation with forums and other stakeholders

Agenda item 5.1. Designated national authorities

(a). Information on activities related to DNAs

84. The Board took note of the Regional Training for Asia, the Pacific and Eastern Europe, which took place in Manila, Philippines, on 2 and 3 September 2013. This training was co-organized with the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) and focused on PoAs and standardized baselines. The training saw the participation of over 90 participants from DNAs, coordinating and managing entities (CMEs) and project participants. The training was held back-to-back with the Fourth Regional Workshop on Enhancing the Regional Distribution of CDM Projects in Asia and the Pacific, jointly organized with the Asian Development Bank (ADB) and the Institute for Global Environmental Strategies (IGES). This workshop focused on current and possible future opportunities for the CDM in the region and globally. The Board also took note of the global DNA Forum that will take place in Warsaw, Poland, on 9 and 10 November 2013 and the coming interaction with the DNA Forum co-chairs planned for the seventy-sixth meeting of the Board.

Agenda item 5.2. Designated operational entities

(a). Interaction with the DOE/AIE Coordination Forum Chair

85. The Board took note of the inputs from the DOE/AIE Coordination Forum, as reported by its Chair, Mr. Werner Betzenbichler.
86. Mr. Betzenbichler provided the following comments on the annotated agenda:
- (a) CDM accreditation standard: guidance and clarity are still needed, particularly regarding the self-surveillance to check implementation, rules for rotating verification teams and some requirements that pose a barrier for small entities. The Chair of the DOE Forum mentioned that the current proposal is in places too prescriptive and should allow flexibility and assign more responsibility to DOEs, particularly on nomination of technical reviewers, recruitment of staff, examinations and internal audits. He suggested that additional guidance on the demonstration of competence should be available when discussing the transitional measures;

- (b) Voluntary cancellation of CERs: double cancellation should be excluded and already cancelled CERs should be taken into account in a possible future request to cancel CERs or other Kyoto units as a result of significant deficiencies being found, and an incentive for its application should be created;
 - (c) Transitional measures for the CDM-AP: there should not be any delays in reducing the number of assessments; the figures provided do not include figures for surveillance assessments at non-central sites, and the indicated period for assessments in 2014 is not representative.
- 87. The Chair of the forum also reiterated its request to the Board to support the financing of the forum, taking into account the activities that the forum needs to perform.
- 88. The Board thanked Mr. Betzenbichler for his inputs and encouraged the forum to continue raising issues related to its guidance and offering appropriate solutions and recommendations.
- 89. The Board reconsidered the request from Chair of the DOE/AIE Coordination Forum to support the financing of the activities of the forum. The Board, with regret, expressed its inability to accept the proposal to provide such support because of the reasons outlined in its response to the previous funding request (2013-272-DOE) already communicated to the forum.
- (b). Information activities related to AEs/DOEs**
- 90. The Board took note of the following activities with DOEs:
 - (a) The regional calibration workshops for the AEs/DOEs in Bonn, Germany on 5 and 6 September 2013; a total of 22 DOE representatives attended and the workshop focused on standardized baselines, PoAs, sampling, post-registration changes, and materiality;
 - (b) Two DOE teleconferences in August and September 2013;
 - (c) The AIE/DOE Coordination Forum meeting that will take place on 28 October 2013 in Bonn, Germany.
- (c). Letters to the Board from AEs/DOEs**
- 91. The Board considered a confidential letter from a DOE (2013-297-DOE) requesting an extension of the deadline for the request for review of a project. The Board decided not to provide the extension. The Board clarified that the case will be treated in accordance with the process outlined in the PCP.
- 92. The Board considered two confidential letters from DOEs (2013-298-DOE and 2013-299-DOE) with regard to the possibility of undertaking verification activities for PoAs that were validated by the same DOE in accordance with the VVS (version 4, paragraph 297, footnote 42) and the report of the seventieth meeting of the Board (EB 70, paragraphs 86 and 87). The Board decided to reject the requests of both DOEs.

Agenda item 5.3. Communication and activities with stakeholders

(a). External input to items on the agenda of the meeting

93. The Board took note of the external input on the issues included on the annotated agenda of the seventy-fifth meeting of the Board and its annexes, as received from the call for input in accordance with the “Modalities and procedures for direct communications with stakeholders”. The input received on specific agenda items was considered by the Board in the relevant agenda item. The Board thanked stakeholders for the comments.

(b). Information on activities related to stakeholders

94. The Board took note of the 9th CDM Round Table held in Bonn, Germany, on 23 August 2013, with focused discussions on the PoA rules, sampling, accreditation standard, monitoring guidelines for methodologies and revisions/improvements of the PS, VVS, and PCP.

(c). Letters to the Board from stakeholders

95. The Board considered a letter from a stakeholder (2013-271-S) relating to guidance on the calculation of beta in the CAPM model. The Board considered the request and requested the Meth Panel to work on this matter as specified in paragraph 57 above.
96. The Board considered a letter from a stakeholder (2013-267-S) requesting a lowering of registration fees or a refund of part of the registration fees due to the change of the Kyoto Protocol status of Cyprus. The Board decided to further discuss the issue raised in the letter at its next meeting.
97. The Board considered a letter from a stakeholder (2013-296-S) relating to the “Tool to promote sustainable development benefits”. The Board took note that the tool has not yet been integrated into the IT infrastructure of the secretariat as part of the new information system currently under development and that, in the meantime, a pilot implementation is being conducted to trial the tool and collect initial information. The Board requested the secretariat to extend the pilot implementation of the tool by making available in document form on the UNFCCC CDM website for use by a wider range of project participants and for easier viewing access by stakeholders.

Agenda item 5.4. Interaction with registered observers

98. The Board met with the registered observer for an informal interaction. The observer urged the Board to communicate more about the issue of lack of demand that is causing the price of CERs to be very low. The observer also suggested that the Board should have the flexibility to extend the duration of its meetings if the workload requires it.
99. The Board thanked the observers for their comments and ensured them that the Board and its support structure are communicating in all fora about the issue of lack of demand. The Board also informed that the secretariat is still making arrangements for venue procurement for the next Board meeting that will be held in Warsaw. Information about the venue will be made available as soon as known. The Board further encouraged the observers to continue their interaction with the Board.
100. The Board further agreed to continue its practice to meet with registered observers at the end of the meeting and to reconsider this arrangement when necessary. Observers

to the seventy-sixth meeting of the Board shall have registered with the secretariat by **14 October 2013**.

Agenda item 6. Other matters

101. The Board agreed to the provisional agenda for its seventy-sixth meeting, to be held in Warsaw, Poland, from 4 to 8 November 2013, as contained in annex 35 to this report.

Agenda item 7. Conclusion of the meeting

102. The Chair summarized the main conclusions and closed the meeting.
103. Any decisions taken by the Board shall be made publicly available in accordance with paragraph 17 of the CDM modalities and procedures and with rule 31 of the Rules of procedure of the Board. The proceedings of the open sessions of the meeting can be accessed via webcast under <http://cdm.unfccc.int/EB/Meetings>.

Annexes to the report

Strategic planning and direction

Annex 1 - CDM business plan for 2014–2015 (version 01.0)

Standards for CDM project activities and programme of activities

Annex 2 - Standard: CDM accreditation standard (version 05.0)

Annex 3 - Transitional provisions for the implementation of the revised CDM accreditation standard (version 01.0)

Annex 4 - Standard: Amendment to version 04.0 of CDM project standard (version 01.0)

Annex 5 - Standard: Amendment to version 04.0 of the CDM validation and verification standard (version 01.0)

Annex 6 - Procedure: Amendment to version 04.0 of CDM project cycle procedure (version 01.0)

Annex 7 - Guideline: Completing the monitoring report form (version 04.0)

Annex 8 - Guideline: Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and PoAs (version 03.0)

Methodological standards for large-scale CDM project activities

Annex 9 - ACM0023: Introduction of an efficiency improvement technology in a boiler (version 01.0)

Annex 10 - AM0112: Less carbon intensive power generation through continuous reductive distillation of waste (version 01.0)

Annex 11 - Methodological tool: Project emissions from cultivation of biomass (version 01.0)

Annex 12 - ACM0001: Flaring or use of landfill gas (version 14.0)

Annex 13 - ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources (version 14.0)

Annex 14 - ACM0010: GHG emission reductions from manure management systems (version 08.0)

Annex 15 - Methodological tool: Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system (version 04.0)

Methodological standards for small-scale CDM project activities

Annex 16 - Clarification: Application of small-scale CDM project activity thresholds at the renewal of the crediting period of a small-scale project activity or a CPA (version 01.0)

Annex 17 - AMS-III.BH: Displacement of production of brick and cement by manufacture and installation of gypsum concrete wall panels (version 01.0)

- Annex 18 - AMS-III.BI: Flare gas recovery in gas treating facilities (version 01.0)
- Annex 19 - AMS-III.BJ: Destruction of hazardous waste using plasma technology including energy recovery (version 01.0)
- Annex 20 - AMS-II.L: Demand-side activities for efficient outdoor and street lighting technologies (version 02.0)
- Annex 21 - AMS-II.M: Demand-side energy efficiency activities for installation of low-flow hot water savings devices (version 02.0)
- Annex 22 - AMS-II.N: Demand-side energy efficiency activities for installation of energy efficient lighting and/or controls in buildings (version 02.0)
- Annex 23 - AMS-II.D: Energy efficiency and fuel switching measures for industrial facilities (version 13.0)
- Annex 24 - AMS-III.BC: Emission reductions through improved efficiency of vehicle fleets (version 02.0)

Methodological standards for afforestation and reforestation CDM project activities

- Annex 25 - A/R methodological tool: Demonstration of eligibility of lands for A/R CDM project activities (version 02.0)
- Annex 26 - A/R Methodological tool: Estimation of carbon stocks and change in carbon stocks of trees and shrubs in A/R CDM project activities (version 04.0)
- Annex 27 - A/R Methodological tool: Estimation of carbon stocks and change in carbon stocks in dead wood and litter in A/R CDM project activities (version 03.0)
- Annex 28 - A/R Methodological tool: Estimation of the increase in GHG emissions attributable to displacement of pre-project agricultural activities in A/R CDM project activity (version 02.0)
- Annex 29 - AR-AM0014: Afforestation and reforestation of degraded mangrove habitats (version 03.0)
- Annex 30 - AR-ACM0003: Afforestation and reforestation of lands except wetlands (version 02.0)
- Annex 31 - AR-AMS0003: Afforestation and reforestation project activities implemented on wetlands (version 03.0)
- Annex 32 - AR-AMS0007: Afforestation and reforestation project activities implemented on lands other than wetlands (version 03.0)

Procedures

- Annex 33 - Procedure: Development, revision, clarification and update of standardized baselines (version 03.0)
- Annex 34 - Procedure: Voluntary cancellation of CERs in the CDM registry (version 02.0)

Other matters

Annex 35 - Provisional agenda: CDM Executive Board seventy-sixth meeting (version 01.0)

List of documents undergone editorial changes or issued since the last meeting

Regulatory Documents

- DOE performance monitoring for the seventh monitoring period (1 January to 30 April 2013) [Data finalized: 31 July 2013] (version 01.0)
- EB74 Summary - English (version 01.0)
- EB73 Summary - English (version 01.1)
- DOE performance monitoring for the sixth monitoring period (1 July to 31 December 2012) [Data finalized: 30 June 2013] (version 02.0)
- Quarterly reports to the CDM EB for the second quarter of 2013: Regional Calibration Workshops for DOE/AEs (version 01.0)
- Quarterly reports to the CDM EB for the second quarter of 2013: Eighth CDM round table (version 01.0)
- Quarterly reports to the CDM EB for the second quarter of 2013: Seventh CDM round table (version 01.0)
- Quarterly reports to the CDM EB for the second quarter of 2013: Regional distribution (version 01.0)
- Quarterly reports to the CDM EB for the second quarter of 2013: Finance resources (version 01.0)
- Quarterly reports to the CDM EB for the second quarter of 2013: Compliance with process-related timelines (version 01.0)
- Quarterly reports to the CDM EB for the second quarter of 2013: Performance management (version 01.0)
- Amendment to version 03.0 of CDM validation and verification standard (version 01.1)
- Amendment to version 03.2 of CDM project cycle procedure (version 01.1)
- Provisional agenda: CDM Executive Board seventy-fifth meeting (version 01.1)
- Procedure: Terms of reference of the CDM rosters of experts (version 01.1)
- CDM validation and verification standard (version 04.0)
- CDM project standard (version 04.0)
- CDM project cycle procedure (version 04.0)

Forms

- F-CDM-PRC: Post-registration changes request form (version 03.0)

Rulings

- Project activity 2401 - Ruling note: Request for issuance for “Construction of additional cooling tower cells at AES Lal Pir (Pvt.) Limited. Muzaffar Garh, Pakistan” (version 01.0)
- Project activity 9012 - Ruling note: Request for registration for “Fuel Switching from Mazout to Natural Gas in Misr Edfu Pulp, Writing & Printing Paper Co. (MEPPCO)” (version 01.0)
- Project activity 9010 - Ruling note: Request for registration for “Fuel Switching from Mazout to Natural Gas in Quena Paper Industry Co. (QPIC)” (version 01.0)
- Project activity 9133 - Ruling note: Request for registration for “Methane Recovery and Utilization at Prosper Palm Oil Mill, Malaysia” (version 01.0)
- Project activity 7684 - Ruling note: Request for registration for “Construction and operation of the Hydraulic Power Plant Chicoasén II” (version 01.0)

- - - - -

Document information

Version	Date	Description
01.0	4 October 2013	CDM EB 75 meeting report
Decision Class: Operational Document Type: Meeting report Business Function: Governance Keywords: reporting procedures, EB		