

CDM-EB89

Meeting report

CDM Executive Board eighty-ninth meeting

Version 01.0

Date of meeting: 9 to 13 May 2016

Place of meeting: Bonn, Germany



United Nations
Framework Convention on
Climate Change

TABLE OF CONTENTS

AGENDA ITEM 1.	AGENDA AND MEETING ORGANIZATION	3
Agenda item 1.1.	Opening	3
Agenda item 1.2.	Adoption of the agenda	3
AGENDA ITEM 2.	GOVERNANCE AND MANAGEMENT MATTERS	4
Agenda item 2.1.	Membership issues	4
Agenda item 2.2.	Strategic planning and direction	4
Agenda item 2.3.	Performance management	6
Agenda item 2.4.	Matters related to the Board and its support structure	6
Agenda item 2.5.	Operations of panels and working groups	7
	(a). Methodologies Panel	7
	(b). Small-Scale Working Group	7
AGENDA ITEM 3.	RULINGS (CASE SPECIFIC)	7
Agenda item 3.1.	Accreditation	7
Agenda item 3.2.	Registration	8
Agenda item 3.3.	Issuance	8
Agenda item 3.4.	Other rulings	8
AGENDA ITEM 4.	REGULATORY MATTERS	8
Agenda item 4.1.	Standards/tools	8
	(a). Standards for CDM project activities and programmes of activities	8
	(b). Methodological standards for large-scale CDM project activities	10
	(c). Methodological standard for small-scale CDM project activities	11
Agenda item 4.2.	Procedures	12
Agenda item 4.3.	Policy issues	12
AGENDA ITEM 5.	RELATIONS WITH FORUMS AND OTHER STAKEHOLDERS	13
AGENDA ITEM 6.	OTHER MATTERS	14
AGENDA ITEM 7.	CONCLUSION OF THE MEETING	14
ANNEXES TO THE REPORT		16
ENDNOTES		19

Agenda item 1. Agenda and meeting organization

Agenda item 1.1. Opening

1. Mr. Eduardo Calvo, Chair of the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism (CDM) (hereinafter referred to as the Board), opened the meeting and asserted that the quorum requirement was met.
2. The Board noted that Mr. Maosheng Duan and Mr. Joseph Amougou were unable to attend the meeting. The members provided proper justification for their absence.
3. Table 1 below represents the attendance of members and alternate members at the meeting. The names of members and alternate members present at the meeting are in bold print. Where only the name of an alternate member is in bold print, the alternate participated as a member.

Table 1. Attendance

Members	Alternates
Mr. Amjad Abdulla^b	Mr. Gerald Lindo^b
Mr. Eduardo Calvo^a	Mr. Arthur Rolle^a
Mr. Maosheng Duan ^b	Mr. José Domingos Gonzalez Miguez^b
Mr. Martin Enderlin^a	Mr. Oliver Kassi^a
Mr. Balisi Gopolang^a	Mr. Joseph Amougou ^a
Ms. Natalia Kushko^b	Ms. Diana Harutyunyan^b
Mr. Kazunari Kainou^a	Mr. Lambert Schneider^{a1}
Mr. Muhammad Tariq^a	Mr. Daegyun Oh^a
Mr. Frank Wolke^b	Mr. Piotr Dombrowicki^b
Mr. Washington Zhakata^b	Mr. Julio Moises Alvarez^b

(a) Term: Two years, i.e. ending prior to the first meeting in 2017.

(b) Term: Two years, i.e. ending prior to the first meeting in 2018.

Agenda item 1.2. Adoption of the agenda

4. The Board adopted the agenda of the meeting. The Board agreed to add the following additional items to the agenda of this meeting: the work planned under application of E-policy in investment analysis for additionality demonstration and the selection of the baseline scenario; and a communication received by the Board.

Agenda item 2. Governance and management matters

Agenda item 2.1. Membership issues

5. The Board considered information provided by members and alternate members with respect to any potential conflict of interest. The statements on conflict of interest from members and alternate members can be viewed on the UNFCCC CDM website at [<http://cdm.unfccc.int/EB/index.html>](http://cdm.unfccc.int/EB/index.html).

Agenda item 2.2. Strategic planning and direction

6. The Board considered a concept note on "Options for using the CDM as a tool for other uses" and requested the secretariat to prepare a revised concept note based on the feedback provided by the Board for consideration at a future meeting, and in line with the approved workplan for 2016.
7. The Board took note of an oral report from the secretariat in relation to the use of the CDM beyond the true-up period of the second commitment period of the Kyoto Protocol, and agreed that no further work on this matter is required at this stage.
8. The Board considered a concept note on the "Revised proposals for simplification and streamlining of the CDM (third batch)" and provided guidance on the proposals as set out in paragraphs 9 to 12 below. The Board requested the secretariat to prepare draft revised regulatory documents based on the guidance below, for consideration by the Board at a future meeting and in line with Board's workplan for 2016.
9. With regard to the proposal on "Inclusion of more than one technology or measure in a generic component project activity (CPA)", the Board agreed to maintain current requirements.
10. With regard to the proposal on "Submission of first specific-case CPA corresponding to each generic CPA for approval by the Board", the Board agreed to remove the requirement for the first specific-case CPA corresponding to each of the generic CPAs to be submitted for the assessment by the secretariat and the Board, either at the registration of a programme of activities (PoA), or through the post-registration change process; and to instead introduce random sample checks by the secretariat and the Board for inclusions of specific-case CPAs. Due to this change, the Board also agreed to revise the provisions for determining the registration fee for PoAs.
11. With regard to the proposal on "Re-inclusion of excluded CPAs or qualifying as standalone project activities", the Board supported the direction in the proposal with the exception of requiring a statement on non-participation in any other scheme, or non-claiming of any credits for emission reductions under any other scheme; the Board requested the secretariat to elaborate the conditions to be met for re-inclusion in the same or different PoA, or registering as a standalone project activity.

12. With regard to the proposal on "Clarifying conditions for exemption from on-site inspection at validation and introducing delayed on-site inspection, and clarifying conditions for exemption from on-site inspection at verification", the Board agreed on the following directions and process:
- (a) On-site inspection at validation:
 - (i) For a project activity/PoA that achieves more than a certain threshold of emission reductions per year, an on-site inspection is always mandatory, regardless of whether it is a greenfield or brownfield project activity/PoA;
 - (ii) For a project activity/PoA that falls below the threshold, an on-site inspection is optional, unless it falls under certain circumstances, for example, relating to the traceability of pre-project information;
 - (iii) If an on-site inspection is not conducted, the designated operating entity (DOE) has to validate through alternative means and justify it;
 - (b) On-site inspection at verification:
 - (i) For the first verification for a project activity/CPA, an on-site inspection is always mandatory;
 - (ii) For subsequent verifications, an on-site inspection is optional, unless at least one of the following circumstances is applicable to the project activity/CPA:
 - The project activity/CPA has achieved a certain volume of emission reductions since the last verification, where an on-site inspection was conducted;
 - A certain length of time has elapsed since the last on-site inspection;
 - (iii) If an on-site inspection is not conducted, the DOE has to verify through alternative means and justify it;
 - (c) Process:
 - (i) The secretariat should elaborate the "threshold", "circumstances" and "the first verification" referred to above, when drafting the relevant regulatory documents;
 - (ii) When elaborating the threshold and circumstances, the secretariat should analyse and take into account the possible material impact of not conducting an on-site inspection on the environmental integrity, as well as on cost implications.
13. Based on the input from the DOE/accredited independent entity (AIE) Coordination Forum provided during its interaction with the Board, as referred to in paragraph 55 below, the Board reviewed the current requirements for DOEs to update the status of validation and verification (paragraphs 34 and 219 of the "CDM project cycle procedure" (PCP), version 09.0). The Board agreed to revise the requirements so that the status update shall be done by DOEs only twice a year, at specific timings applicable for all project activities, and PoAs that are due for the status update. The Board requested the secretariat to revise the relevant regulatory provisions to reflect this agreement in conjunction with the work referred to in paragraph 8 above, and also requested the

secretariat to modify the IT interface accordingly.

14. The Board considered the issue of how information specified in decision 3/CMP.1, appendix D, paragraph 12, can best be made publicly available and requested the secretariat to prepare a revised proposal for the Board's consideration at a future meeting, but not later than the ninety-first meeting of the Board, further elaborating the possible reporting formats of certified emission reduction (CER) transactions, taking into account the principles of confidentiality and transparency.
15. The Board considered the concept note on the "Simplified inclusion process for automatically additional microscale CPAs" and agreed to allow a simplified inclusion process for all types of microscale CPAs. The Board requested the secretariat to draft revised regulations and present them together with an assessment of changes required for the IT system for the consideration of the Board at its ninety-first meeting. In doing so, the secretariat should take into account the following guidance provided by the Board:
 - (a) Require the verifying DOE to assess the eligibility of the CPAs included by the coordinating/managing entity (CME) and to exclude CPAs in case of erroneous inclusion;
 - (b) Assign corresponding liability to the verifying DOE for any issuance from erroneously included CPAs, taking into account a legal analysis on the feasibility of this measure;
 - (c) Consider options for using a standardized inclusion template for CPAs;
 - (d) Consider requirements for excluding CMEs that erroneously included CPAs from further use of this simplified inclusion process.

Agenda item 2.3. Performance management

16. The Board took note of an update on the status of the work of the Board, noting the changes highlighted in its workplan for 2016.

Agenda item 2.4. Matters related to the Board and its support structure

17. The Board considered a concept note on the "Further development of the online platform for voluntary cancellation of CERs". The Board agreed not to implement any of the proposed options for the express selection of CERs at this stage and will review the matter at a future meeting, in light of information on implications for purchasers and providers of CERs and progress on business integration opportunities. The Board also requested the secretariat to commence work on translating the platform into French and Spanish and to hire a consultant to evaluate the user-friendliness of the platform and to report the findings back to the Board. Additionally, the Board requested the secretariat to further enhance transparency by extracting the text on sustainable development in the project design documents (PDDs) and making it visible on the platform directly.

18. The Board considered an analysis of different options for informal teleconferences with DOEs and the annual meeting of the DOE/AIE Coordination Forum, and the possible participation of Accreditation Panel (CDM-AP) members in these events, and provided the following guidance:
- (a) The secretariat should invite CDM-AP members to participate, as observers, in the teleconferences and annual meetings, while the decision to participate should be left to the individual members;
 - (b) The secretariat should prepare more concise minutes of the teleconferences and include a disclaimer that there are no legal implications related to the content of the minutes;
 - (c) Discussions during the teleconferences should be focused on the areas of interest from the outcome of Board meetings, as identified by DOEs prior to the teleconferences. Furthermore, no queries on case-specific issues or regulatory requirements should be discussed during the teleconferences, as other avenues are available to DOEs to do so. The Board requested the secretariat to ensure that this guidance is followed during the teleconferences;
 - (d) The frequency of DOE teleconferences in 2016 should be retained. The frequency for 2017 will be re-visited in the context of the 2017 CDM management plan.
19. The Board took note of an oral update on the status of the external evaluation of the CDM loan scheme and also noted that a further update would be provided at the ninetieth meeting of the Board.

Agenda item 2.5. Operations of panels and working groups

(a). Methodologies Panel

20. The Board took note of the report of the 69th meeting of the Methodologies Panel (MP). The report summarized information relating to the work of the panel, including submissions of methodologies and recommendations to the Board.

(b). Small-Scale Working Group

21. The Board took note of the report of the 50th meeting of the Small-Scale Working Group (SSC WG). The report summarized information relating to the work of the SSC WG, including submissions of methodologies and recommendations to the Board.

Agenda item 3. Rulings (case specific)

Agenda item 3.1. Accreditation

22. The Board noted that there were no accreditation rulings to be considered at this meeting.
23. The Board was notified of the partial and voluntary withdrawal of accreditation status by the entity E-0058 "Foundation for Industrial Development" (MASCI) for sectoral scopes 3, 4, 9, 10 and 15, based on the notification from the entity dated 27 April 2016.

Agenda item 3.2. Registration

24. The Board noted that 7,710 CDM project activities have been registered as at 13 May 2016. The status of requests for registration of project activities can be viewed on the UNFCCC CDM website at <http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/>.
25. The Board noted that 291 CDM PoAs have been registered as at 13 May 2016, and that under these PoAs 1,946 CPAs have been included. The status of requests for registration of PoAs can be viewed on the UNFCCC CDM website at <http://cdm.unfccc.int/ProgrammeOfActivities/>.
26. The Board noted that no registration rulings were to be considered at this meeting.

Agenda item 3.3. Issuance

27. The Board noted that 1,672,730,379 CERs have been issued for CDM project activities and that 4,805,968 CERs have been issued for PoAs as at 13 May 2016. The status of CERs issued can be viewed on the UNFCCC CDM website at http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance/cers_iss.html.
28. The Board noted that 11,617,897 CERs (CERs/temporary CERs (tCER)/long-term CERs (ICER)) have been voluntarily cancelled as at 13 May 2016. Out of these, 17,344 CERs have been voluntarily cancelled using the online platform for voluntary cancellation of CERs since its launch. The status of CERs voluntarily cancelled can be viewed on the UNFCCC CDM website at <https://cdm.unfccc.int/Registry/index.html>.
29. The Board noted that there were no issuance rulings to be considered at this meeting.

Agenda item 3.4. Other rulings

30. The Board noted that there were no "other rulings" to be considered at this meeting.

Agenda item 4. Regulatory matters

Agenda item 4.1. Standards/tools

(a). Standards for CDM project activities and programmes of activities

31. The Board took note of the progress of the work on the development of the revised and restructured "CDM project standard", "CDM validation and verification standard" and the PCP, separating the requirements that are applicable to PoAs from those applicable to project activities. In this regard, the Board emphasized the need to complete the work in 2016, and for that reason, requested the secretariat to prioritize the work and allocate necessary resources to it within the secretariat. The Board further requested the secretariat to present the work-in-progress documents on the areas that require further consideration by the Board at its ninetieth meeting with the publication by one week prior to the meeting on an exceptional basis, considering the workload of the secretariat.

32. The Board considered the concept note on standardized registration templates for automatically additional project activities and requested the secretariat to prepare revisions of the below forms for consideration at the next meeting of the Board:
- (a) Section B.5 of the “CDM-PDD-FORM” and “CDM-SSC-PDD-FORM” and the section D.8.6 of the “CDM-VAL-FORM”, using objective criteria for activities that qualify as automatically additional;
 - (b) “Instructions for filling out the CDM-PDD-FORM”, “Instructions for filling out the CDM-SSC-PDD-FORM” and “Instructions for filling out the CDM-VAL-FORM” in order to provide clear instructions, using objective criteria for activities that qualify as automatically additional, on how to fill out section B.5 of the CDM-PDD-FORM and CDM-SSC-PDD-FORM and section D.8.6 of the CDM-VAL-FORM when the technology adopted by a project is in the list of automatic additionality of the tools and/or applied methodologies.
33. The Board considered the concept note on a "Proposal on top-down methodological work for the transport sector" and agreed to initiate work in the following areas:
- (a) Development of a new top-down methodology for lightweight, two- or three-wheeled personal transportation infrastructure, including technologies/measures for bicycles, electric bicycles and tricycles, to shift from or reduce the use of fossil fuel in transportation; and
 - (b) Development of a new top-down methodology for the improved operation of public transportation (e.g. improved transit logistics, smart traffic systems).
34. The Board considered the concept note on the "Exploration of methodological options for developing 'agriculture CDM'" and requested the SSC WG, in consultation with the MP, and the secretariat, to initiate the work on the proposed revisions of approved methodologies (i.e. AMS-III.BK, AMS-III.Y and AMS-III.F). The work shall be led by the SSC WG, with input given by the MP. The Board further requested the secretariat, jointly with the MP and the SSC WG, to prepare a detailed concept note prioritizing areas for new methodological developments, taking into account the criteria provided by the Board, including a timeline for development, resource implications, potential demand, possible issues related to additionality, feasibility of the proposed measures under the CDM and collaboration opportunities, inter alia with the Food and Agriculture Organization for methodological work, and present it for the consideration of the Board at a future meeting.
35. The Board considered the concept note on "Indirect greenhouse gas emissions - definitions and accounting" and agreed that, whether or not to consider an emission source as leakage emissions in the methodology, depends on their relative magnitude compared to the baseline emissions. The Board further requested the MP and the SSC WG to revise the relevant methodologies by replacing the term “indirect emissions” with “leakage” prioritizing the methodologies that are most commonly used. For the remaining methodologies, the above revision will be implemented as and when these methodologies are revised for other reasons.
36. The Board considered the concept note on the "Establishment of a new CDM project activity in a physical or geographical location of an existing CDM project" and requested the secretariat to prepare a revised concept note, jointly with the MP, taking into account the further guidance provided by the Board.

37. The Board requested the MP to incorporate clarifying elements as previously included in the concept note "Package on further development of a standardized baseline framework"¹ into the "Guidelines for the establishment of sector specific standardized baselines" without revising any of the existing approaches in the guidelines.
38. The Board requested the MP to prepare a flowchart to help project participants navigate through fossil fuel cogeneration methodologies and include this in the draft revisions of relevant methodologies accordingly.
39. The Board agreed, on an exceptional basis, and after having analysed proper justification, to extend the validity of the current version of the "Standardized baseline: Grid emission factor for the Southern African power pool" (ASB0001) by one year.

(b). Methodological standards for large-scale CDM project activities

(i). Revisions to approved methodologies and tools (large-scale)

40. Based on the recommendation of the MP, the Board approved the following revised methodologies and tools as major revisions:
 - (a) "ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources", as contained in annex 1 to this report. The revision is based on the request for revision AM_REV_0256 and contains a provision for projects involving binary geothermal power plants to account for and monitor project emissions due to the physical leakage of (i) Non-condensable gases and (ii) Working fluid (hydrocarbon).
 - (b) "ACM0001: Flaring or use of landfill gas", as contained in annex 2 to this report. The revision is based on the request for revision AM_REV_0257 and the revision includes the expansion of the methodology to cover project activities supplying landfill gas to consumers through a dedicated pipeline.
 - (c) "AM0116: Electric taxiing systems for airplanes", as contained in annex 3 to this report. The revision expands the applicability of this methodology to cover non-commercial aircraft.
41. The Board requested the MP to expand the scope of the ongoing revision of the methodology "AM0103: Renewable energy power generation in isolated grids" to keep the methodology consistent with the improvement of the "Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system" (see paragraph 42 below).
42. The Board requested the MP to propose the following revisions to the "Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system": (i) better accommodate small isolated grids in small island developing States and least developed countries and (ii) enhance the clarity of the requirements related to the demonstration of transmission constraints.

(ii). Clarifications (large-scale)

43. The Board approved the responses by the MP to the requests for clarification AM_CLA_0274 and AM_CLA_0275, as referred to in the report of the 69th meeting of the MP.

(c). Methodological standard for small-scale CDM project activities

(i). New methodologies and tools (small-scale)

44. The Board approved the new top-down methodology "AMS-I.M: Solar power for domestic aircraft at-gate operations" for the aviation sector, as contained in annex 4 to this report. The methodology has been prepared in consultation with the International Civil Aviation Organization and took into account guidance provided by the Board at its eighty-eighth meeting. The scope of the new methodology covers solar-energy based renewable power for use for the at-gate operations of domestic aircraft, which would otherwise have been supplied by carbon-intensive sources (fossil fuels).

(ii). Revisions to approved methodologies and tools (small-scale)

45. Following the recommendation from the SSC WG, the Board approved the following revised methodologies:
- (a) "AMS-II.C: Demand-side energy efficiency activities for specific technologies", as contained in annex 5 to this report. The draft revision of the methodology:
 - (i) Introduces the use of conservative default values (i.e. 3.5 hours/day for residential applications and for commercial buildings);
 - (ii) Ensures consistency with AMS-II.J.
 - (b) "AMS-II.J: Demand-side activities for efficient lighting technologies", as contained in annex 6 to this report. The draft revision of the methodology:
 - (i) Enables the use of field-monitored data on lamp failure over the modelled lamp failure data;
 - (ii) Clarifies the application of the barrier analysis of the tool "Demonstration of additionality for small-scale methodologies" for technologies not included in the positive list.
46. The Board requested the SSC WG to propose revisions to the approved methodologies "AMS-I.E: Switch from non-renewable biomass for thermal applications by the user" and "AMS-II.G: Energy efficiency measures in thermal applications of non-renewable biomass" to address identified issues relevant to both methodologies, and to provide a consistent approach in the two cookstove methodologies. The issues identified are detailed in paragraph 33 of the meeting report of the 50th meeting of the SSC WG.

(iii). Clarifications (small-scale)

47. The Board approved the response by the SSC WG to the request for clarification SSC_720, as referred to in the report of the 50th meeting of the SSC WG.

Agenda item 4.2. Procedures

48. The Board adopted the revised “Procedure: Development, revision and clarification of baseline and monitoring methodologies and methodological tools”, as contained in annex 7 to this report, introducing the following changes/new requirements to the previous version:
- (a) For requesting a revision to a methodology or methodological tool, the submission of a draft PDD or programme of activities design document (PoA-DD) has been made optional at the time of the submission of a revision request, but the relevant panel or working group may request the submission of a draft PDD or PoA-DD at a later stage to facilitate its consideration;
 - (b) A clarification to a methodology or methodological tool shall specify which specific version(s) of the methodology or methodological tool the clarification applies to;
 - (c) The principles for revision, consolidation and clarification of methodologies and methodological tools (the appendix to the procedure) have been revised to clearly establish the difference between clarification and revision to a methodology or methodological tool;
 - (d) The top-down revision of a methodology or methodological tool to correct an obvious error may be initiated by the decision of the chair and the vice-chair of the relevant methodological panel or working group, and for such revision, global stakeholder consultation is skipped;
 - (e) A recommendation from a methodological panel or working group on a new or revised methodological tool shall include a list of methodologies that would need to be revised to introduce or modify references to the new or revised methodological tool; the Board shall request the revision of such affected methodologies when adopting the new or revised methodological tool; and for such revision, global stakeholder consultation is skipped;
 - (f) Abolish the fee for processing bottom-up proposals of a new methodology;
 - (g) Editorial improvement.

Agenda item 4.3. Policy issues

49. The Board continued its consideration of the concept note on “Applicability of a pro rata approach to issuance of temporary certified emission reductions (tCERs) and long-term certified emission reductions (lCERs)”, as contained in annex 12 to the annotated agenda of the eighty-eighth meeting. The Board agreed that the provisions contained in paragraph 254 of the CDM project standard should be revised as follows for afforestation and reforestation (A/R) project activities:
- (a) For lCERs, when a monitoring period falls partly in the first commitment period and partly in the second commitment period of the Kyoto Protocol, all removals occurring since the last verification should be allocated to the second commitment period;
 - (b) For tCERs, for any issuance, all removals occurring since the start of the project activity should be issued for the commitment period in which the monitoring

period ends.

50. The Board considered a concept note on “Reducing risk of disputes and irregularities in the modalities of communication (MoC) process” and requested the secretariat to explore options and develop draft procedures for inclusion of changes to the MoC process in the next revision of the PCP, taking into account the following guidance:
- (a) Provide for the voluntary inclusion of an end-date for participation of a project participant in the MoC statement;
 - (b) Extend the provisions for direct reporting by project participants on changes to the MoC statement;
 - (c) Develop a process for the voluntary withdrawal of focal points and the administrative withdrawal of project participants.
51. The Board further requests the secretariat to explore options for the implementation of a revised or a new electronic interface for MoC to be presented at a future meeting.

Agenda item 5. Relations with forums and other stakeholders

52. The Board interacted with the co-chair of the Global Designated National Authorities (DNA) Forum, Mr. Juan Carlos Monterrey, via teleconference, and took note of the input from the forum, as reported by its co-chair, such as:
- (a) DOEs in underrepresented countries;
 - (b) Use of guiding tools developed by the Board for DNAs to monitor sustainable development benefits;
 - (c) Role of the CDM post-2020;
 - (d) Role of the DNA pre- and post-2020.
53. The Board thanked the DNA Forum co-chair for the input. The Board further clarified that all topics presented by the DNA Forum during the interaction were explicitly proposed by the forum and not raised by the Board. The Board also noted that some of the issues raised by the forum were outside the scope of the CDM and therefore outside the mandate of the Board.
54. The Board took note of the external input received on the annotated agenda of the eighty-ninth meeting of the Board and its annexes, as received from the call for input in accordance with the “Procedure: Direct communication with stakeholders”. The input received was considered by the Board under the relevant agenda items. The Board thanked the stakeholder for the input.
55. The Board interacted with the DOE/AIE Coordination Forum chair, Mr. Werner Betzenbichler, via video conference, and took note of the inputs from the forum, as reported by its chair, such as:
- (a) Options for using the CDM as a tool for other uses;
 - (b) Proposals for simplification and streamlining of the CDM;

- (c) Different options for the informal teleconferences with the DOE/AIE Coordination Forum;
 - (d) Update on the status of validation and verification activities by DOEs.
56. In response to questions, the DOE/AIE Coordination Forum chair clarified that the forum is willing to offer its support in promotional activities by means of making presentations at events organized by the secretariat, highlighting the strength of DOEs as independent third-party validators and verifiers in the context of the existing CDM infrastructure. He also clarified that DOEs see their role as third-party in checking that the emission reductions are delivered in accordance with the Paris Agreement.
57. The Board thanked Mr. Betzenbichler for his input. The Board encouraged the forum to continue raising issues related to its guidance and proposing solutions.
58. The Board took note of the following activities undertaken with regard to stakeholders, DNAs, applicant entities (AEs) and DOEs:
- (a) Conference call with the DNA Forum co-chair on 17 March 2016 via teleconference;
 - (b) Thirty-third DOE conference call on 30 March 2016 via video conference.
59. The Board took note of a request for assistance from the DNA of Haiti to develop guidelines for local stakeholder consultation and requested the secretariat to prepare a concept note on the issue for consideration by the Board at a future meeting.
60. The Board considered one communication (INQ-04666) submitted by a stakeholder and agreed to respond to the submitter in accordance with the "Procedure: Direct communication with stakeholders".
61. The Board took note that one observer was registered for this meeting. Observers to the ninetieth meeting of the Board shall have registered with the secretariat by 27 June 2016.

Agenda item 6. Other matters

62. Taking note of the work undertaken by the Board related to the application of E- policy in investment analysis for additionality demonstration and the selection of the baseline scenario and progress made in the recent years, the Board confirmed to consider this matter at its ninety-first meeting in order to report to the CMP through its annual report.
63. The Board agreed to hold its ninety-first meeting from Tuesday, 13 September to Saturday, 17 September 2016.
64. The Board agreed to the provisional agenda for the ninetieth meeting, to be held in Bonn, Germany, from 18 to 22 July 2016, as contained in [annex 8](#) to this report.

Agenda item 7. Conclusion of the meeting

65. The Chair summarized the main conclusions, adopted the report of the eighty-ninth meeting, and closed the meeting.

66. Any decisions taken by the Board shall be made publicly available in accordance with paragraph 17 of the CDM modalities and procedures and with rule 31 of the Rules of procedure of the Board. The proceedings of the open sessions of the meeting can be accessed via webcast under <http://cdm.unfccc.int/EB/Meetings>.

Annexes to the report

Methodological standards for large-scale CDM project activities

- Annex 1 - ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources (version 17.0)
- Annex 2 - ACM0001: Flaring or use of landfill gas (version 17.0)
- Annex 3 - AM0116: Electric taxiing systems for airplanes (version 02.0)

Methodological standards for small-scale CDM project activities

- Annex 4 - AMS-I.M: Solar power for domestic aircraft at-gate operations (version 01.0)
- Annex 5 - AMS-II.C: Demand-side energy efficiency activities for specific technologies (version 15.0)
- Annex 6 - AMS-II.J: Demand-side activities for efficient lighting technologies (version 07.0)

Procedures

- Annex 7 - Procedure: Development, revision and clarification of baseline and monitoring methodologies and methodological tools (version 02.0)

Other matters

- Annex 8 - Provisional agenda: CDM Executive Board ninetieth meeting (version 01.0)

List of documents undergone editorial changes or issued since the last meeting

Regulatory Documents

- ASB0020: Grid emission factor of Sao Tome and Principe (version 01.0)
- ASB0022: Landfill gas capture and destruction in Cameroon (version 01.0)
- ASB0023: Landfill gas capture and destruction in the Republic of Sudan (version 01.0)
- ASB0024: Grid emission factor for the Republic of Sudan (version 01.0)
- General guidelines for SSC CDM methodologies (version 22.1)
- CDM EB workplan 2016 (version 01.1)
- Ruling note: request for registration for "use of biomass as an alternative fuel for the production of calcium oxide" (version 01.0)
- Quarterly report to the CDM EB for the first quarter of 2016: Financial resources (version 01.0)

Forms

- CDM-PDD-FORM: Project design document form for CDM project activities (version 07.0)
- CDM-AR-PDD-FORM: Project design document form for afforestation and reforestation CDM project activities (version 09.0)
- CDM-SSC-PDD-FORM: Project design document form for small-scale CDM project activities (version 07.0)
- CDM-PoA-DD-FORM: Programme design document form for CDM programmes of activities (version 06.0)
- CDM-SSC-PoA-DD-FORM: Programme design document form for small-scale CDM programmes of activities (version 05.0)
- CDM-CPA-DD-FORM: Component project activity design document form (version 05.0)
- CDM-SSC-CPA-DD-FORM: Component project activity design document form for small-scale component project activities (version 05.0)
- CDM-CCS-PDD-FORM: Project design document form for carbon capture and storage CDM project activities (version 03.0)

- - - - -

Document information

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
01.0	13 May 2016	EB89 meeting report. Initial publication
Decision Class: Operational Document Type: Meeting report Business Function: Governance Keywords: EB, reporting procedures		

Endnotes

1. Annex 11 to the annotated agenda to EB88 (CDM-EB88-AA-A11)