

**MONITORING REPORT FORM (CDM-MR)**  
**Version 01 - in effect as of: 28/04/2010**

**CONTENTS**

- A. General description of the project activity
  - A.1. Brief description of the project activity
  - A.2. Project participants
  - A.3. Location of the project activity
  - A.4. Technical description of the project
  - A.5. Title, reference and version of the baseline and monitoring methodology applied to the project activity
  - A.6. Registration date of the project activity
  - A.7. Crediting period of the project activity and related information
  - A.8. Name of responsible person(s)/entity(ies)
- B. Implementation of the project activity
  - B.1. Implementation status of the project activity
  - B.2. Revision of the monitoring plan
  - B.3. Request for deviation applied to this monitoring period
  - B.4. Notification or request of approval of changes
- C. Description of the monitoring system
- D. Data and parameters monitored
  - D.1. Data and parameters used to calculate baseline emissions
  - D.2. Data and parameters used to calculate project emissions
  - D.3. Data and parameters used to calculate leakage emissions
  - D.4. Other relevant data and parameters
- E. Emission reductions calculation
  - E.1. Baseline emissions calculation
  - E.2. Project emissions calculation
  - E.3. Leakage calculation
  - E.4. Emission reductions calculation
  - E.5. Comparison of actual emission reductions with estimates in the registered CDM-PDD
  - E.6. Remarks on difference from estimated value

**MONITORING REPORT**  
**Version number 00 of 02/05/2011**

**Quezon City Controlled Disposal Facility Biogas Emission Reduction Project (QCCDFBERP)**  
**CDM Registration Reference number 1258**  
**6<sup>th</sup> Monitoring Period: 01/10/2010 – 31/03/2011**

**SECTION A. General description of the project activity**

**A.1. Brief description of the project activity:**

The Project activity involves the extraction, collection, processing and flaring, including the conversion into electricity of the biogas emissions at the Quezon City Controlled Disposal Facility (“Facility”) located in Area 2, Barangay Payatas, Quezon City, Philippines. This project activity was developed primarily to address the environment, health and safety concerns of the local government of Quezon City for its constituents, particularly those residing in the immediate surroundings of the Facility. The project will be implemented in two phases. During phase 1, the combustion plant will be composed of a biogas extraction system (wells and blower), a high-temperature torch for flaring the methane extracted and an electrical engine for on-site power supply. The electrical engine will be fed by biogas during plant operation (about 8,000 hours/year). An electrical connection to the local grid will be provided in order to supply electricity requirement of the plant during engine maintenance and start-up operations. Phase 2 will begin on the next year (phase 2 will include, depending from the availability of biogas and the technical and financial viability, the installation of an additional engine -about 700 kW- as indicated in the PDD).



*Picture 1: flare and biogas plant*

The plant was completed and commissioned in March 2008.

For the current monitoring period (from the 1<sup>st</sup> October 2010 to the 31<sup>th</sup> March 2011), the total amount of emission reduction requested is equal to 55,274 CERs.

<b>A.2. Project Participants</b>
----------------------------------

Organization:	Quezon City
Street/P.O.Box:	Elliptical Road
Building:	Quezon City Hall
City:	Quezon City – Metro Manila
State/Region:	National Capital Region
Postfix/ZIP:	1101
Country:	Philippines
Telephone:	+6329243592
FAX:	+6329216750
E-Mail:	f.rento@yahoo.com
URL:	
Represented by:	
Title:	City Mayor
Salutation:	Honorable
Last Name:	Bautista
Middle Name:	
First Name:	Herbert
Department:	
Mobile:	
Direct FAX:	
Direct tel:	
Personal E-Mail:	


Organization:	Pangea Green Energy Philippines, Incorporated
Street/P.O.Box:	Zamboanga St., Group 3, Area B Brgy. Payatas
Building:	
City:	Quezon City
State/Region:	National Capital Region
Postfix/ZIP:	1119
Country:	Philippines
Telephone:	Tel. +6329134988
FAX:	Fax +6324210133
E-Mail:	jfe.pangegreen@gmail.com
URL:	
Represented by:	
Title:	President
Salutation:	
Last Name:	Campos
Middle Name:	Fernan
First Name:	Jennifer
Department:	
Mobile:	+639175351030
Direct FAX:	
Direct tel:	
Personal E-Mail:	

Organization:	Bunge Emissions Fund Limited
Street/P.O.Box:	Route de Florissant, PO Box 518
Building:	
City:	Geneva
State/Region:	
Postfix/ZIP:	12CH-1211:
Country:	Switzerland
Telephone:	+4122 5929 655
FAX:	+4122 580 3360
E-Mail:	
URL:	
Represented by:	
Title:	
Salutation:	
Last Name:	Gigante
Middle Name:	
First Name:	Francois
Department:	
Mobile:	
Direct FAX:	
Direct tel:	
Personal E-Mail:	

### **A.3. Location of the project activity:**

Area 2, Barangay Payatas, Quezon City, Metro Manila, Philippines.

GPS coordinates: Latitude: 14.715469° Longitude: 121.104114°



*Picture 2: location of Quezon City Controlled Disposal Facility*

#### A.4. Technical description of the project

From the first October 2010 until to the end of March 2011 the network has included 87 wells-trenches divided into two areas of the dumpsite, the Old and New Mound, on a surface of about 22 ha. Wells are around 15-21 meters deep and are approximately 45 meters from each other to achieve optimum efficiency of biogas extraction process.

Each well is connected to a controlling substation (total of 4 units at present); substations convey biogas from each well into main lines up to the extraction plant.



Picture 3: substation and pipes from wells

Two extractor fans in parallel, of which one is active and one is on stand-by, allow flow of biogas to the high temperature flare and the electricity generator.

Before going into the electricity generator, the biogas collected passes through a heat exchanger and condensate trap to remove remaining moisture. The most important equipment's characteristics are described in Table 1.

The capacity of the electricity generator presently installed is 200 kW. At present the generator is not capable to run with fossil fuel. Currently, the electricity is produced only for the internal consumption of the plant and for other users (free supplying) in Payatas area (street light, offices, multipurpose hall, dumping areas)

Equipment	Manufacturer	Type	Technical data
Gas collection network	Various	Wells	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• 250 mm pipe slotted</li><li>• 15-25 m deep</li><li>• Equipped with well head and regulation valve</li></ul>
		Pipeline	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• HDPE material</li><li>• 90 mm diameter</li></ul>
		Substation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• 5m x 2.5 - 2 arms</li><li>• Iron anti corrosion painted</li><li>• Butterfly valve equipped</li></ul>
		Main pipeline	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• HDPE material,</li><li>• 90 mm diameter-160 mm</li></ul>
Biogas blower	Continental Industrie	051A.03	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Flow: 2,500 Nm<sup>3</sup>/h</li><li>• Discharge pressure: 80 mbar</li><li>• Discharge temp: 56.4 °C</li><li>• Electric engine supplied also by Continental</li></ul>



Equipment	Manufacturer	Type	Technical data
			Industrie, 37 kW
High temperature enclosed gas flare	Biotechnogas	BTG2500HT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Capacity 500 – 2,500 Nm<sup>3</sup>/h</li> <li>• External diam: 2,200 mm</li> <li>• Height 9.50 m</li> <li>• Thickness 150 mm</li> <li>• Material: stainless steel AISI 304</li> <li>• Feeding pressure: 50 mbar</li> <li>• Min CH<sub>4</sub> %: 30%</li> <li>• Ratio CH<sub>4</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub>&gt;1</li> <li>• Combustion chamber: refractory made of ceramic fiber modules, thickness 150 mm</li> <li>• Combustion temperature: &gt; 850 C°</li> <li>• Retention time &gt;= 0.3 sec</li> <li>• Critical temperature: 1,260 C°</li> <li>• Combustion coeff. (CO<sub>2</sub>/ CO + CO<sub>2</sub>): min 99%</li> <li>• Output signal of the temperature control: continuous, by a thermocouple Pt-Rh-Pt with output signal 4÷20 mA</li> </ul>
Booster	Mapro	CL 18/01 G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Nominal flow rate: 150 Nm<sup>3</sup>/h ca</li> <li>• Pressure in: 50 mbar</li> <li>• Pressure out: 150 mbar</li> <li>• Power: 3.6 kW ca</li> </ul>
Engine	Iveco-ATME	Iveco Aifo 8281	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 8 cylinder turbo engine</li> <li>• Biogas feeding set</li> <li>• Capacity 250 kVA</li> <li>• 1,500 rpm</li> <li>• 400/230 V 50 Hz</li> <li>• 3 phases</li> </ul>

Table 1



Picture 4: plant picture from Old Mound

**A.5. Title, reference and version of the baseline and monitoring methodology applied to the project activity:**

**A.5.1. Baseline methodology:**

ACM0001 ver. 5 - Consolidated methodology for landfill gas project activities

AMS-I.D. ver. 10 – Grid connected renewable electricity generation

“Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality” Version 3

“Tool to determine project emissions from flaring gases containing methane”

**A.5.2. Monitoring methodology:**

ACM0001 ver. 5 - Consolidated methodology for landfill gas project activities

AMS-I.D. ver. 10 – Grid connected renewable electricity generation

“Tool to determine project emissions from flaring gases containing methane”

**A.6. Registration date of the project activity:**

1<sup>st</sup> February 2008

**A.7. Crediting period of the project activity and related information (start date and choice of crediting period):**

The chosen crediting period is of 10 years; it was started on 1<sup>st</sup> February 2008.

**A.8. Name of responsible person(s)/entity(ies):**

This monitoring report was developed and reviewed by:

*Pangea Green Energy Philippines Inc  
Zamboanga St., Group 3, Area B  
Brgy. Payatas, Quezon City 1119 Philippines*

*Eng. Anecito Sumalo  
Plant manger*



ANECITO SUMALO

*asu.pangeagreen@gmail.com  
Tel. [+632.913.4988](tel:+632.913.4988)  
Mob +63.922.846.2707*

*Mrs. Jenny Fernan Campos  
President*



PANGEA GREEN ENERGY  
PHILIPPINES INC

*jfe.pangeagreen@gmail.com  
Tel. [+632.913.4988](tel:+632.913.4988)  
Mob [+63.917.535.1030](tel:+63.917.535.1030)*

## **SECTION B. Implementation of the project activity**

### **B.1. Implementation status of the project activity**

The project started on 18<sup>th</sup> March 2008

The improvements from the start of plant activities have been directed, for the biogas collection system, to build new wells, horizontal trenches and substation (see Table 2); for the plant the new flow meters in the main, flare and engine line, have been installed to warranty a better reliability, and a new temperature meter has been installed in the flare at the same level of exhausts gas analyzer probe (done in the second verification period).

<b>Period</b>	<b>Wells</b>	<b>Horizontal trenches</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Substation</b>
1 <sup>st</sup> monitoring period (February 1 <sup>st</sup> 2008 - 31 August 2008)	48	-	<b>48</b>	3
2 <sup>nd</sup> monitoring period (1 <sup>st</sup> September 2008 - 30 June 2009)	64	-	<b>64</b>	4
3 <sup>rd</sup> monitoring period (1 <sup>st</sup> July 2009 - 31 December 2009)	71	6	<b>77</b>	4
4 <sup>th</sup> monitoring period (1 <sup>st</sup> January 2010 - 31 March 2010)	71	12	<b>83</b>	4
5 <sup>th</sup> monitoring period (1 <sup>st</sup> April 2010 – 30 September 2010)	71	14	<b>85</b>	4
6 <sup>th</sup> monitoring period (1 <sup>st</sup> October 2010– 31 March 2011)	71	16	<b>87</b>	4

Table 2

The garbage disposal activity of the Disposal Facility Management Office (POG), requests periodically the partial disconnection of some wells located in the two Mound area ; this situation has caused and causes a loss in biogas production in the areas occupied by dumping activity (is this also the reason for significant less CERs compared to PDD); the lost production is partially recovered through the installation of new wells in elevation, and horizontal trenches. This situation, despite the increasing of wells number, affected negatively the target achieving fixed in the PDD. However from the plant start up, is demonstrable an increasing of the biogas production trend.

The structures for biogas captation have been implemented to partially recover the lost production caused by the waste dumping activity; to support the existing drilled wells we built the increasing wells made for the biogas captation in dumping areas constructed in elevation and the horizontal trenches, with the same function, but made putting horizontal slotted pipes in the waste.

Related of Phase 2 (installation of an additional engine -about 700 kW- as indicated in the PDD) will begin on 2011; the delay related the start of this phase 2 (as described in PDD "...depending from the availability of biogas and the technical and financial viability" ....) is connected to the financial capability that was not available during the 2010, because the delay connected to the CERs issuance caused problems to start this development. During the period of 6<sup>th</sup> verification was set the final project and started the financial due diligence.



Since the beginning of plant operation, gas odor on top of the mounds has been greatly reduced. The subsidence of the garbage mounds was up to 7 meters in just 42 months of gas and leachate extraction. This means that the mounds have a better compaction and the quantity of perched water and leachate was also reduced thereby improving stability of the slopes of the dump. This has allowed a new dumping activity in the two mounds. Continuous extraction of gas from the dump has reduced the risk of fire and explosion in the area. There were no incidences of fire and explosion due to the project. The plant also supplies free energy for some Payatas users and developed in 2010 a food program in cooperation with NGO for Payatas children.

#### **B.2. Revision of the monitoring plan**

No revision has been made.

#### **B.3. Request for deviation applied to this monitoring period**

In the current monitoring period no request for deviation are made.


#### **B.4. Notification or request of approval of changes**

No major changes were made.

### **SECTION C. Description of the monitoring system**

#### **C.1. Monitoring equipment**

The picture below provides schematic information on which monitoring equipment was installed.



Picture 5: monitoring equipment installed

**C.1.1. Table providing information on the equipment used for ER calculation (including type, manufacturer, model, serial number, location, information to specific uncertainty, range calibration frequency and last calibration):**

ID	Type	Manufacturer	Model - Serial Number	Location	Error / Uncertainty	Range	Calibration frequency	Last calibration	Calibration Entity	Oper. period
FT03_a	Flow Meter	EMERSON - ROSEMOUNT	485 - 0075923	Main pipeline	0.0087% / 0.025%	130-2,500 m <sup>3</sup> /h	2 years	28/2/2009	EMERSON – PROCESS MANAGEMEN T	1/10/2010 – 23/02/2011
			3051S1CD1A2E12A1AB4D2E1L4Q4 -8696153		0% / 0.025%			24/2/2011	PREMIER Physic metrologie	24/2/11 – 31/3/2011
FT04_a	Flow Meter	EMERSON - ROSEMOUNT	485 - 0075924	Flare pipeline	0.01% / 0.025%	130-2,500 m <sup>3</sup> /h	2 years	28/2/2009	EMERSON – PROCESS MANAGEMEN T	1/10/2010 – 23/02/2011
			3051S1CD1A2E12A1AB4D2E1L4Q4 -8696152		0% / 0.025%			24/2/2011	PREMIER Physic metrologie	24/2/11 – 31/3/2011
FT05_a	Flow Meter	EMERSON - ROSEMOUNT	485 - 0075925	Engine pipeline	0.01% / 0.025%	13-250 m <sup>3</sup> /h	2 years	29/4/2009	EMERSON – PROCESS MANAGEMEN T	1/10/2010 – 23/02/2011
			3051S1CD1A2E12A1AB4D2E1L4Q4 -8696154		0.032% / 0.025%			24/2/2011	PREMIER Physic metrologie	1/10/2010 – 23/02/2011
GA01	Methane content analyzer	SIEMENS	ULTRAMAT 23 – N1-V7-0538	Main pipeline	1% CH <sub>4</sub> 0.5% O <sub>2</sub>	0-100% CH <sub>4</sub> 0-25% O <sub>2</sub>	1 year	18/3/2010	PANGEA AIR LIQ.	1/10/2010 – 17/01/2011
								18/01/2011	PANGEA AIR LIQ.	18/1/2011 – 31/3/2011
TT02	Temperature meter	ELSI	Probe Model: G1.U10-P20-B0150-S00, Transmitter Model: Y1-SEM203P - Serial number 08-07/305	Main pipeline	0.15% / 0.15%	0-250 °C	2 years	10/8/2009	PREMIER Physic metrologie	1/10/2010 – 31/03/2011
PT04	Pressure meter	ABB	264HS-6407024078	Main pipeline	0.39%	0-250 mbar	2 years	10/08/2009	PREMIER Physic metrologie	1/10/2010 – 31/03/2011
TT03	Temperature	ELSI	Probe Model: M1.U07-S00-	Flare	0.042% /	0-1,600	2 years	01/09/2010	Elsi Calibration	1/10/2010 –

ID	Type	Manufacturer	Model - Serial Number	Location	Error / Uncertainty	Range	Calibration frequency	Last calibration	Calibration Entity	Oper. period
	meter flare bottom		M00400.1-S20, Transmitter Model: Y1-SEM210/S - Serial number 11-10/63288	bottom	0.21%	°C			center	31/03/2011
TT05	Temperature meter flare top	ELSI	Probe Model: M1.U07-S00-M00400.1-S20, Transmitter Model: Y1-SEM210/S - Serial number 10-07/748	Flare top	0.14% / 0.30%	0-1,600 °C	1 year	12/7/2010	PREMIER Physic metrologie	1/10/2010 – 31/03/2011
GA02	Exhaust gas analyzer	SIEMENS	ULTRAMAT23 - N1-V0-0038	Flare	1% CH <sub>4</sub> 0.5 % O <sub>2</sub>	0-100% CH <sub>4</sub> 0-25% O <sub>2</sub>	1 year	18/3/2010	PANGAEA AIR LIQ.	1/10/2010 – 17/01/2011
								18/01/2011	PANGAEA AIR LIQ.	18/1/2011 – 31/3/2011
EM01	Electricity meter	GENIUS	EDMI N680 - 206584765	Main electrical panel	0.148%	5(20) A – 240V	2 years	10/3/2009	ERC Philippines	1/10/2010 – 28/02/2011
			EDMI N680 - 209074056		0.166%			01/03/2011	ERC Philippines	1/3/2011 – 31/3/2011
FT01	Flow meter line “A”	EMERSON - ROSEMOUNT	285G050ZCSP1S17 -0053154	Line “A”	0.1%	0-1,200 m <sup>3</sup> /h	2 years	10/8/2009	PREMIER Physic metrologie	1/10/2010 – 31/03/2011
		ABB	264DS - 6407021990							
FT02	Flow meter line “B”	EMERSON - ROSEMOUNT.	285G050ZCSP1S17 -0050959	Line “B”	0.1%	0-1,200 m <sup>3</sup> /h	2 years	10/8/2009	PREMIER Physic metrologie	1/10/2010 – 31/03/2011
		ABB	264DS - 6407021989							
HC01	Plant hours counter	BIOTECNOGAS	SCADA	Plant pc	-----	0-999999 h	Not required	-----	-----	1/10/2010 – 31/03/2011

Table 3

The flow meters FT01 and FT02 are backup flow meters. Other instrument installed for the plant management (pressure meter lines A and B, pressure meter before the blowers, temperature meter before the blower, portable gas analyzer) but not involved in the ER calculation are described in “QCCDFBERP Monitoring plan” in Annex 2. The calibration frequency of all instruments involved in the ER calculation is in compliance with “Guidelines for assessing compliance with the calibration frequency requirements” version 01, EB 52 Annex 60.



### **C.1.2. Calibration procedures**

See Table 3.

<b>C.2. Quality assurance and quality control measures</b>
--

See “QCCDFBERP Monitoring plan” in Annex 2.

**SECTION D. Data and parameters****D.1. Data and parameters determined at registration and not monitored during the monitoring period, including default values and factors**

<b>Data / Parameter:</b>	<b>GWP<sub>CH4</sub></b>
Data unit:	t CO <sub>2eq</sub>
Description:	Global Potential Warming of methane
Source of data used:	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Climate Change 1995: The Science of Climate Change (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 1996)
Value(s) :	21
Indicate what the data are used for (Baseline/ Project/ Leakage emission calculations)	Baseline/Project emission calculations
Additional comment:	

<b>Data / Parameter:</b>	<b>D<sub>CH4</sub></b>
Data unit:	t/m <sup>3</sup>
Description:	Methane density
Source of data used:	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Climate Change 1995: The Science of Climate Change (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 1996)
Value(s) :	0.0007168
Indicate what the data are used for (Baseline/ Project/ Leakage emission calculations)	Baseline/Project emission calculations
Additional comment:	

<b>Data / Parameter:</b>	<b>CEF<sub>electricity,y</sub></b>
Data unit:	t CO <sub>2</sub> /MWh
Description:	CO <sub>2</sub> emissions intensity of the electricity displaced
Source of data used:	Calculated according to AMS – I.D. Version 10 (see PDD)
Value(s) :	0.46
Indicate what the data are used for (Baseline/ Project/ Leakage emission calculations)	Baseline/Project emission calculations
Additional comment:	



**D.2. Data and parameters monitored**

<b>Data / Parameter:</b>	<b>LFG<sub>total,v</sub></b>
Data unit:	m <sup>3</sup>
Description:	Total amount of landfill gas
Measured /Calculated /Default:	Directly measured
Source of data:	FT03_a (see Annex 1: monitoring equipment location)
Value(s) of monitored parameter:	9,428,606 m <sup>3</sup> (total value in the monitoring period)
Indicate what the data are used for (Baseline/ Project/ Leakage emission calculations)	Baseline/Project emission calculations
Monitoring equipment (type, accuracy class, serial number, calibration frequency, date of last calibration, validity)	FT03_a. For detailed informations see Table 3 in the Section C.1.1.
Measuring/ Reading/ Recording frequency:	Continuously, h
Calculation method (if applicable):	
QA/QC procedures applied:	See “QCCDFBERP Monitoring plan” in Annex 2

<b>Data / Parameter:</b>	<b>LFG<sub>flare,v</sub></b>
Data unit:	m <sup>3</sup>
Description:	Amount of landfill gas flared
Measured /Calculated /Default:	Directly measured
Source of data:	FT04_a (see Annex 1: monitoring equipment location)
Value(s) of monitored parameter:	9,079,239 m <sup>3</sup> (total value in the monitoring period)
Indicate what the data are used for (Baseline/ Project/ Leakage emission calculations)	Baseline/Project emission calculations
Monitoring equipment (type, accuracy class, serial number, calibration frequency, date of last calibration, validity)	FT04_a. For detailed informations see Table 3 in the Section C.1.1.
Measuring/ Reading/ Recording frequency:	Continuously, h
Calculation method (if applicable):	
QA/QC procedures applied:	See “QCCDFBERP Monitoring plan” in Annex 2



<b>Data / Parameter:</b>	<b>LFG<sub>electricity,v</sub></b>
Data unit:	m <sup>3</sup>
Description:	Amount of landfill gas combusted in power plant
Measured /Calculated /Default:	Directly measured
Source of data:	FT05_a (see Annex 1: monitoring equipment location)
Value(s) of monitored parameter:	209,661 m <sup>3</sup> (total value in the monitoring period)
Indicate what the data are used for (Baseline/ Project/ Leakage emission calculations)	Baseline/Project emission calculations
Monitoring equipment (type, accuracy class, serial number, calibration frequency, date of last calibration, validity)	FT05_a. For detailed informations see Table 3 in the Section C.1.1.
Measuring/ Reading/ Recording frequency:	Continuously, h
Calculation method (if applicable):	
QA/QC procedures applied:	See “QCCDFBERP Monitoring plan” in Annex 2

<b>Data / Parameter:</b>	<b>FV<sub>RG,h</sub></b>
Data unit:	Nm <sup>3</sup> /h
Description:	Volumetric flow rate of the residual gas in dry basis at normal conditions in the hour <i>h</i>
Measured /Calculated /Default:	Calculated
Source of data:	Calculation based of LFG, T, P parameters measured
Value(s) of monitored parameter:	1,739 Nm <sup>3</sup> /h (average value in the monitoring period)
Indicate what the data are used for (Baseline/ Project/ Leakage emission calculations)	Baseline/Project emission calculations
Monitoring equipment (type, accuracy class, serial number, calibration frequency, date of last calibration, validity)	FT03_a, FT04_a, FT05_a, TT02, PT04. For detailed informations see Table 3 in the Section C.1.1.
Measuring/ Reading/ Recording frequency:	Continuously, h
Calculation method (if applicable):	Calculation by the normalization formula:  $FV_{RG,h} = LFG_{flare,h} \times \{ [P + p_{atm}] \times T_n \} / [P_n \times (T + T_n)]$ <p>where:  <math>T_n = 273.15 \text{ K}</math></p>



	$P_n = 1,013.25 \text{ mbar}$
QA/QC procedures applied:	See “QCCDFBERP Monitoring plan” in Annex 2

<b>Data / Parameter:</b>	$f_{vCH4,h}$
Data unit:	%
Description:	Volumetric fraction of methane in the residual gas in the hour $h$
Measured /Calculated /Default:	Directly measured
Source of data:	GA01 (see Annex 1: monitoring equipment location)
Value(s) of monitored parameter:	47.846% (average value in the monitored period)
Indicate what the data are used for (Baseline/ Project/ Leakage emission calculations)	Baseline/Project emission calculations
Monitoring equipment (type, accuracy class, serial number, calibration frequency, date of last calibration, validity)	GA01. For detailed informations see Table 3 in the Section C.1.1.
Measuring/ Reading/ Recording frequency:	Continuously, h
Calculation method (if applicable):	
QA/QC procedures applied:	See “QCCDFBERP Monitoring plan” in Annex 2

<b>Data / Parameter:</b>	$t_{O2,h}$
Data unit:	%
Description:	Volumetric fraction of $O_2$ in the exhaust gas of the flare in the hour $h$
Measured /Calculated /Default:	Directly measured
Source of data:	GA02 (see Annex 1: monitoring equipment location)
Value(s) of monitored parameter:	14.341% (average value in the monitoring period)
Indicate what the data are used for (Baseline/ Project/ Leakage emission calculations)	Baseline/Project emission calculations
Monitoring equipment (type, accuracy class, serial number, calibration frequency, date of last calibration, validity)	GA02. For detailed informations see Table 3 in the Section C.1.1.
Measuring/ Reading/ Recording frequency:	Continuously, h
Calculation method (if applicable):	
QA/QC procedures applied:	See “QCCDFBERP Monitoring plan” in Annex 2



<b>Data / Parameter:</b>	$f_{\text{CH}_4, \text{FG}, h}$
Data unit:	mg/m <sup>3</sup>
Description:	Concentration of methane in the exhaust gas of the flare in dry basis at normal condition in the hour $h$
Measured /Calculated /Default:	Directly measured
Source of data:	GA02 (see Annex 1: monitoring equipment location)
Value(s) of monitored parameter:	1.276 mg/m <sup>3</sup> (average value in the monitoring period)
Indicate what the data are used for (Baseline/ Project/ Leakage emission calculations)	Baseline/Project emission calculations
Monitoring equipment (type, accuracy class, serial number, calibration frequency, date of last calibration, validity)	GA02. For detailed informations see Table 3 in the Section C.1.1.
Measuring/ Reading/ Recording frequency:	Continuously, h
Calculation method (if applicable):	
QA/QC procedures applied:	See “QCCDFBERP Monitoring plan” in Annex 2

<b>Data / Parameter:</b>	$T_{\text{flare}}$
Data unit:	°C
Description:	Temperature in the exhaust gas of the flare
Measured /Calculated /Default:	Directly measured
Source of data:	TT05 (see Annex 1: monitoring equipment location)
Value(s) of monitored parameter:	662.851 °C (average value in the monitoring period)
Indicate what the data are used for (Baseline/ Project/ Leakage emission calculations)	Baseline/Project emission calculations
Monitoring equipment (type, accuracy class, serial number, calibration frequency, date of last calibration, validity)	TT05. For detailed informations see Table 3 in the Section C.1.1.
Measuring/ Reading/ Recording frequency:	Continuously, h
Calculation method (if applicable):	
QA/QC procedures applied:	See “QCCDFBERP Monitoring plan” in Annex 2



<b>Data / Parameter:</b>	<b>T</b>
Data unit:	°C
Description:	Temperature of the landfill gas
Measured /Calculated /Default:	Directly measured
Source of data:	TT02 (see Annex 1: monitoring equipment location)
Value(s) of monitored parameter:	67.893 °C (average value in the monitoring period)
Indicate what the data are used for (Baseline/ Project/ Leakage emission calculations)	Baseline/Project emission calculations
Monitoring equipment (type, accuracy class, serial number, calibration frequency, date of last calibration, validity)	TT02. For detailed informations see Table 3 in the Section C.1.1.
Measuring/ Reading/ Recording frequency:	Continuously, h
Calculation method (if applicable):	
QA/QC procedures applied:	See “QCCDFBERP Monitoring plan” in Annex 2

<b>Data / Parameter:</b>	<b>P</b>
Data unit:	mbar <sup>1</sup> (Pa)
Description:	Pressure of the landfill gas
Measured /Calculated /Default:	Directly measured
Source of data:	PT04 (see Annex 1: monitoring equipment location)
Value(s) of monitored parameter:	47.606 mbar = 4,760.6 Pa (average value in the monitoring period)
Indicate what the data are used for (Baseline/ Project/ Leakage emission calculations)	Baseline/Project emission calculations
Monitoring equipment (type, accuracy class, serial number, calibration frequency, date of last calibration, validity)	PT04. For detailed informations see Table 3 in the Section C.1.1.
Measuring/ Reading/ Recording frequency:	Continuously, h
Calculation method (if applicable):	
QA/QC procedures applied:	See “QCCDFBERP Monitoring plan” in Annex 2

---

<sup>1</sup> 1 mbar = 100 Pa



<b>Data / Parameter:</b>	<b>EL<sub>EX,LFG</sub></b>
Data unit:	MWh
Description:	Total amount of electricity exported out of the project boundary
Measured /Calculated /Default:	Directly measured
Source of data:	EM01 (see Annex 1: monitoring equipment location)
Value(s) of monitored parameter:	0 MWh (total value in the monitoring period)
Indicate what the data are used for (Baseline/ Project/ Leakage emission calculations)	Baseline/Project emission calculations
Monitoring equipment (type, accuracy class, serial number, calibration frequency, date of last calibration, validity)	EM01. For detailed informations see Table 3 in the Section C.1.1.
Measuring/ Reading/ Recording frequency:	Continuously, h
Calculation method (if applicable):	
QA/QC procedures applied:	See “QCCDFBERP Monitoring plan” in Annex 2

<b>Data / Parameter:</b>	<b>EL<sub>IMP</sub></b>
Data unit:	MWh
Description:	Total amount of electricity imported to meet project requirements
Measured /Calculated /Default:	Directly measured
Source of data:	EM01 (see Annex 1: monitoring equipment location)
Value(s) of monitored parameter:	29.888 MWh (total value in the monitoring period)
Indicate what the data are used for (Baseline/ Project/ Leakage emission calculations)	Baseline/Project emission calculations
Monitoring equipment (type, accuracy class, serial number, calibration frequency, date of last calibration, validity)	EM01. For detailed informations see Table 3 in the Section C.1.1.
Measuring/ Reading/ Recording frequency:	Continuously, h
Calculation method (if applicable):	
QA/QC procedures applied:	See “QCCDFBERP Monitoring plan” in Annex 2



<b>Data / Parameter:</b>	<b>H</b>
Data unit:	h
Description:	Working plant hours
Measured /Calculated /Default:	Directly measured
Source of data:	HC01 (see Annex 1: monitoring equipment location)
Value(s) of monitored parameter:	4,368 h (total value in the monitoring period)
Indicate what the data are used for (Baseline/ Project/ Leakage emission calculations)	Baseline/Project emission calculations
Monitoring equipment (type, accuracy class, serial number, calibration frequency, date of last calibration, validity)	HC01. For detailed informations see Table 3 in the Section C.1.1.
Measuring/ Reading/ Recording frequency:	Continuously, h
Calculation method (if applicable):	
QA/QC procedures applied:	See “QCCDFBERP Monitoring plan” in Annex 2

## SECTION E. Emission reductions calculation

### E.1. Baseline emissions calculation

The formula used for the Baseline emissions calculation is reported below (the spreadsheets **ER calculation sheet\_ 20XX month.**):

$$BE_y = (LFG_{\text{flared},n} \times w_{CH_4,y} \times \rho_{CH_4} \times GWP_{CH_4}) - (\epsilon_{MD \text{ flared before PE}} \times GWP_{CH_4}) + (LFG_{\text{electricity},n} \times w_{CH_4,y} \times \rho_{CH_4} \times GWP_{CH_4}) - (\epsilon_{MD \text{ electricity}} \times GWP_{CH_4})$$

For the meaning of all the terms see the sections D.1., D.2. and E.4.1.

### E.2. Project emissions calculation

The formula used for the Baseline emissions calculation is reported below (the spreadsheets **ER calculation sheet\_ 20XX month.**):

$$PE_y = BE_y - ER_y$$

### E.3. Leakage calculation

No leakage calculation is required.

#### E.4. Emission reductions calculation / table

##### E.4.1. Table providing the formulas used

Variable	Description	Unit of measure	Equation used
$PE_{flare}$	Project emissions	t CO <sub>2eq</sub>	$PE_{flare,y} = \sum_{h=1}^{8760} TM_{RG,h} \cdot (1 - \eta_{flare,h}) \cdot \frac{GWP_{CH_4}}{1000}$
$MM_{RG,h}$	Molecular mass of the residual gas	kg/kmol	$MM_{RG,h} = \sum_i (fv_{i,h} * MM_i)$ ( $fv_{i,h} = fv_{CH_4,h}$ )
$\rho_{RG,h}$	Density of the residual gas	kg/m <sup>3</sup>	$\rho_{RG,n,h} = \frac{P_n}{\frac{R_u}{MM_{RG,h}} \times T_n}$
$FM_{RG,h}$	Mass flow rate of the residual gas	kg/h	$FM_{RG,h} = \rho_{RG,n,h} \times FV_{RG,h}$
$TV_{n,FG,h}$	Volumetric flow rate of the exhaust gas	m <sup>3</sup> /h	$TV_{n,FG,h} = V_{n,FG,h} \times FM_{RG,h}$
$TM_{FG,h}$	Mass flow rate of methane in the exhaust gases	kg/h	$TM_{FG,h} = \frac{TV_{n,FG,h} * fv_{CH_4,FG,h}}{1000000}$
$TM_{RG,h}$	Mass flow rate of methane in the residual gas	kg/h	$TM_{RG,h} = FV_{RG,h} \times fv_{CH_4,RG,h} \times \rho_{CH_4,n}$
$\eta_{flare}$	Flare efficiency		$\eta_{flare,h} = 1 - \frac{TM_{FG,h}}{TM_{RG,h}}$
$MD_{electricity}$	Methane destroyed by generation of electricity	t CH <sub>4</sub>	$MD_{electricity,y} = LFG_{electricity,y} \cdot w_{CH_4} \cdot D_{CH_4}$
$MD_{flared}$	Methane flared	t CH <sub>4</sub>	$MD_{flared,y} = \{LFG_{flare,y} * w_{CH_4,y} * D_{CH_4}\} - (PE_{flare,y} / GWP_{CH_4})$
$MD_{project}$	Methane flared/combusted	t CH <sub>4</sub>	$MD_{project,y} = MD_{flared,y} + MD_{electricity,y} + MD_{thermal,y}$
$EL_y$	Net exported electricity	MWh	$EL_y = EL_{EX, LGFG} - EL_{IMP}$
$ER_y$	Emission reductions	t CO <sub>2eq</sub>	$ER_y = MD_{project,y} * GWP_{CH_4} + EL_y * CEF_{electricity,y}$

Table 4

The  $\mathcal{E}$  equivalent error calculation is applied the following variable:

$$\mathcal{E}_{PE_{flared}} = \sqrt{(err_{PT04})^2 + (err_{TT02})^2 + (err_{FT04\_a})^2 + (err_{GA02_{ch_4}})^2 + (err_{GA02_{02}})^2}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{MD_{flare\ d\ before\ PE\ reduction,\ y}} = \sqrt{(err_{PT04})^2 + (err_{TT02})^2 + (err_{FT04\_a})^2 + (err_{GA01})^2}$$

(error related the product  $LFG_{flare,y} * w_{CH4} * D_{CH4}$ )

$$\mathcal{E}_{MD_{electricity}} = \sqrt{(err_{PT04})^2 + (err_{TT02})^2 + (err_{FT05\_a})^2 + (err_{GA01})^2}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{EL} = \sqrt{(err_{EM01})^2}$$

Where the  $err_{aabb}$  is the sum of error plus uncertain associated to the instrument involved in the variable calculation (see Table 3)

The variable correction after equivalent error calculation is :

$$XX_{corr} = XX_y * (1 - \mathcal{E}_{xx})$$

#### E.4.2. Description and consideration of measurement uncertainties and error propagation

The data parameters are measured rounded to four decimals.

In the baseline calculation the numbers were rounded down after four decimals and in calculation of the project emissions the numbers were rounded up after four decimals. Then, the final emissions reductions were rounded down to the unit.

#### E.4.3. GHG emission reductions calculation

The data are available in the **ER calculation sheet\_20XX month** and provided to DOE.

For  $PE_{flare}$  calculation details and equivalent error calculation and correction see also **ER calculation sheet\_20XX month**.

The Table 5 and Table 6 shows the Baseline Emissions, the Project Emissions and the Emission Reductions during the monitoring period.

Period	BE [t CO <sub>2</sub> eq]	PE [t CO <sub>2</sub> eq]
1 <sup>st</sup> October – 31 October 2010	10,361.7	1.6
1 <sup>st</sup> November – 30 November 2010	8,786.3	3.2
1 <sup>st</sup> December – 31 December 2010	8,460.2	5.3
1 <sup>st</sup> January – 31 January 2011	8,718.1	4.1
1 <sup>st</sup> February – 28 February 2011	8,570.8	1.2
1 <sup>st</sup> March – 31 March 2011	10,393.6	0.9
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>55,290</b>	<b>16</b>

Table 5

Period	[t CO <sub>2</sub> eq]
1 <sup>st</sup> October – 31 October 2010	<b>10,360.1</b>
1 <sup>st</sup> November – 30 November 2010	<b>8,783.1</b>
1 <sup>st</sup> December – 31 December 2010	<b>8,454.9</b>
1 <sup>st</sup> January – 31 January 2011	<b>8,714.0</b>
1 <sup>st</sup> February – 28 February 2011	<b>8,569.6</b>
1 <sup>st</sup> March – 31 March 2011	<b>10,392.7</b>
<b>TOTAL ER REQUESTED</b>	<b>55,274</b>

Table 6

#### E.5. Comparison of actual emission reductions with estimates in the CDM-PDD

A comparison of actual values of the emission reductions achieved during the current monitoring period with the estimations in the registered CDM-PDD is reported in the table below.

Item	Values applied in ex-ante calculation of the registered CDM-PDD	Actual values reached during the monitoring period
<b>Emission reductions (tCO<sub>2</sub>e)</b>	<b>63,096*</b>	<b>55,274</b>

\*Since the values applied in ex-ante calculation of the registered CDM-PDD are yearly values (131,027 for the year 2010, 121,355 for the year 2011), the ex-ante value of ERs is calculated as follows:  $131,027 \times (3 / 12)$  (for October, November and December 2010) added to  $121,355 \times (3 / 12)$  (for January, February and March 2011), that is equal to 63,096

#### E.6. Remarks on difference from estimated value in the PDD

There is no increase in the actual emission reductions achieved during the current monitoring period compared to that stated in the registered CDM-PDD.

The garbage disposal activity of the Disposal Facility Management Office (POG), requests periodically the partial disconnection of some wells located in the two Mound area; this situation has caused and causes a loss in biogas production in the areas occupied by dumping activity (is this also the reason for significant less CERs compared to PDD); the lost production is partially recovered through the installation of new wells in elevation, and horizontal trenches. This situation, despite the increasing of wells number, affected negatively the target achieving fixed in the PDD. However from the plant start up, is demonstrable an increasing of the biogas production trend.